Hausdorff Dimension of a Class of Weierstrass Functions

Huojun Ruan^{*} and Na Zhang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, China

Received 20 September 2020; Accepted (in revised version) 5 November 2020

Dedicated to Professor Weiyi Su on the occasion of her 80th birthday

Abstract. It was proved by Shen that the graph of the classical Weierstrass function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \cos(2\pi b^n x)$ has Hausdorff dimension $2 + \log \lambda / \log b$, for every integer $b \ge 2$ and every $\lambda \in (1/b, 1)$ [Hausdorff dimension of the graph of the classical Weierstrass functions, Math. Z., 289 (2018), 223–266]. In this paper, we prove that the dimension formula holds for every integer $b \ge 3$ and every $\lambda \in (1/b, 1)$ if we replace the function cos by sin in the definition of Weierstrass function. A class of more general functions are also discussed.

Key Words: Hausdorff dimension, Weierstrass function, SRB measure. **AMS Subject Classifications**: 28A80

1 Introduction

Weierstrass functions are classical fractal functions. The non-differentiability of these functions were studied by Weierstrass and Hardy [2]. Recently, Shen [7] proved that the graph of the classical Weierstrass function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \cos(2\pi b^n x)$ has Hausdorff dimension $2 + \log \lambda / \log b$, for every integer $b \ge 2$ and every $\lambda \in (1/b, 1)$, which solved a long-standing conjecture. Some relevant results can be found in [1, 3–5, 8]. Naturally, we want to study the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of Weierstrass functions with the following form:

$$W_{\lambda,b, heta}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda^n \cos(2\pi b^n x + heta), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $b \ge 2$ is an integer, $\lambda \in (1/b, 1)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Denote $D_{\lambda,b} = 2 + \log \lambda / \log b$. Denote by dim_H $\Gamma W_{\lambda,b,\theta}$ the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of $W_{\lambda,b,\theta}$. Our main result is:

http://www.global-sci.org/ata/

482

©2020 Global-Science Press

^{*}Corresponding author. Email addresses: ruanhj@zju.edu.cn (H. J. Ruan), 21535049@zju.edu.cn (N. Zhang)

Theorem 1.1. If $\theta = -\pi/2$, then $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} \Gamma W_{\lambda,b,\theta} = D_{\lambda,b}$ for every integer $b \ge 3$ and every $\lambda \in (1/b, 1)$. If the integer $b \ge 7$, then the dimension formula holds for every $\lambda \in (1/b, 1)$ and every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In next section, we present necessary notations and properties introduced by Shen [7] and Tsujii [8]. In Sections 3 and 4, we prove the main result.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present necessary notations and properties introduced in [7,8]. Denote $\gamma = 1/(\lambda b)$, $\phi_{\theta}(x) = \cos(2\pi x + \theta)$, and $\psi_{\theta}(x) = \phi'_{\theta}(x)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{u} = \{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$, we define

$$S_{\theta}(x,\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{n-1} \psi_{\theta}(x(\mathbf{u}|_n)),$$

where $\mathbf{u}|_n = (u_1, \cdots, u_n)$ and

$$x(\mathbf{u}|_n) = \frac{x}{b^n} + \frac{u_1}{b^n} + \frac{u_2}{b^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{u_n}{b}$$

For simplicity, we will use $S(x, \mathbf{u})$ to denote $S_{\theta}(x, \mathbf{u})$ if no confusion occurs.

Given $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$. Two words $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ are called (ε, δ) -*tangent* at a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$|S(x_0,\mathbf{i}) - S(x_0,\mathbf{j})| \le \varepsilon$$
 and $|S'(x_0,\mathbf{i}) - S'(x_0,\mathbf{j})| \le \delta$

Let $E(q, x_0; \varepsilon, \delta)$ denote the set of pairs $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \in \mathcal{A}^q \times \mathcal{A}^q$ for which there exist $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ such that **ku** and **lv** are (ε, δ) -tangent at x_0 . Let

$$e(q, x_0; \varepsilon, \delta) = \max_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}} \#\{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{A}^q : (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \in E(q, x_0; \varepsilon, \delta)\},\$$

$$E(q, x_0) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigcap_{\delta > 0} E(q, x_0; \varepsilon, \delta),\$$

$$e(q, x_0) = \max_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{A}^q} \#\{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{A}^q : (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \in E(q, x_0)\}.$$

For $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, define

$$E(q, J; \varepsilon, \delta) = \bigcup_{x_0 \in J} E(q, x_0; \varepsilon, \delta),$$

$$E(q, J) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigcap_{\delta > 0} E(q, J; \varepsilon, \delta),$$

$$e(q, J) = \max_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{A}^q} \#\{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{A}^q : (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \in E(q, J)\}.$$