

# Bilinear Pseudo-Differential Operator and Its Commutator on Generalized Fractional Weighted Morrey Spaces

Guanghai Lu\* and Shuangping Tao

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, Gansu, China

Received 6 June 2021; Accepted (in revised version) 15 November 2023

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to establish the boundedness of bilinear pseudo-differential operator  $T_\sigma$  and its commutator  $[b_1, b_2, T_\sigma]$  generated by  $T_\sigma$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  on generalized fractional weighted Morrey spaces  $L^{p, \eta, \varphi}(\omega)$ . Under assumption that a weight satisfies a certain condition, the authors prove that  $T_\sigma$  is bounded from products of spaces  $L^{p_1, \eta_1, \varphi}(\omega_1) \times L^{p_2, \eta_2, \varphi}(\omega_2)$  into spaces  $L^{p, \eta, \varphi}(\vec{\omega})$ , where  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}$ ,  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ ,  $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  with  $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$ . Furthermore, the authors show that the  $[b_1, b_2, T_\sigma]$  is bounded from products of generalized fractional Morrey spaces  $L^{p_1, \eta_1, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2, \eta_2, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^{p, \eta, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As corollaries, the boundedness of the  $T_\sigma$  and  $[b_1, b_2, T_\sigma]$  on generalized weighted Morrey spaces  $L^{p, \varphi}(\omega)$  and on generalized Morrey spaces  $L^{p, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is also obtained.

**Key Words:** Generalized fractional weighted Morrey space, bilinear pseudo-differential operator, commutator, space  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**AMS Subject Classifications:** 42B20, 42B25, 42B35

## 1 Introduction

In 1967, Hörmander first introduced the definition of a pseudo-differential operator (see [13]), that is, let  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  be a smooth function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , then the pseudo-differential operator  $\tilde{T}_\sigma$  is defined by

$$\tilde{T}_\sigma(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\widehat{f}$  represents the Fourier transform of  $f$ , and the smooth function  $\sigma$  belongs to the symbol classes  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ , which consist of all  $\sigma$  with satisfying the differential inequality

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m - \rho|\beta| + \delta|\alpha|}$$

\*Corresponding author. Email addresses: lghwmm1989@126.com (G. Lu), taosp@nwnu.edu.cn (S. Tao)

for multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$ . Such operators not only generalize the definition of differential operators with variable coefficients, but also have a key application in PDE. Therefore, the study of the pseudo-differential operator  $\tilde{T}_\sigma$  is widely focused. For example, Calderón and Vaillancourt in [5] proved that  $\tilde{T}_\sigma$  is bounded on space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In 1988, Cardery and Seeger obtained the boundedness of pseudo-differential operator  $\tilde{T}_\sigma$  on spaces  $L^p$  (see [4]). The more researches about the pseudo-differential operators  $\tilde{T}_\sigma$  on various of function spaces can be seen [1, 2, 10, 11, 14] and the references therein.

However, in 1975, Coifman and Meyer obtained the definition of bilinear pseudo-differential operators and their some properties (see [8]). Namely, let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$ . A symbol in  $BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$  is a smooth function  $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for all multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , the following inequality

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} (1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m - \rho(|\beta| + |\gamma|) + \delta|\alpha|}$$

holds. Respectively, the bilinear pseudo-differential operators  $T_\sigma$  associated with the above function  $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \in BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$  is defined by

$$T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \widehat{f}_1(\xi) \widehat{f}_2(\eta) e^{ix \cdot (\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta \quad \text{for } f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper, we will mainly consider the symbol  $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \in BS_{1, 0}^0$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)| \\ & \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} (1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{-(|\beta| + |\gamma|)} \quad \text{for all multi-indices } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

If we denote  $\kappa(x, y, z)$  by the inverse Fourier transform (in the  $\xi$ -variable and  $\eta$ -variable) of the function  $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$  (i.e.,  $\kappa(x, y, z) = \mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1} \mathcal{F}_\eta^{-1} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$ ), then

$$T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa(x, y, z) f_1(x - y) f_2(x - z) dy dz. \quad (1.4)$$

Further, if we set  $K(x, y, z) = \kappa(x, x - y, x - z)$ , then the bilinear pseudo-differential operators  $T_\sigma$  defined as in (1.4) is changed into the following standard form

$$T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y, z) f_1(y) f_2(z) dy dz. \quad (1.5)$$

Since then, the research about  $T_\sigma$  defined as in (1.5) on various function spaces is widely focused. For example, Bényi and Torres proved that  $T_\sigma$  is bounded from the products of spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$  for all  $1 < p, q < \infty$  (see [3]). In 2012, Xiao et al. [26] showed that  $T_\sigma$  is bounded on the products of local Hardy spaces. More researches on the bilinear pseudo-differential operators can be seen [18–20, 25].

Before stating the organization of this paper, we first recall the definition of bound mean oscillation space =  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in [15].