

## Local Well-Posedness for the Compressible Nematic Liquid Crystals Flow with Vacuum

Jishan Fan<sup>1</sup>, Fucui Li<sup>2,\*</sup> and Gen Nakamura<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210037, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, China

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

Received 22 August 2019; Accepted (in revised version) 12 January 2020

Dedicated to Professor Weiyi Su on the occasion of her 80th birthday

---

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove the local well-posedness of strong solutions to the compressible nematic liquid crystals flow with vacuum in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Key Words:** Liquid crystals, vacuum, Local well-posedness, strong solution.

**AMS Subject Classifications:** 76D03, 35Q30, 35Q35

---

### 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the following simplified version of the Ericksen-Leslie system modeling the flow of compressible nematic liquid crystals (see [2, 3]):

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p(\rho) - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u = -\nabla d \cdot \Delta d, \quad (1.1b)$$

$$\partial_t d + u \cdot \nabla d - \Delta d = d |\nabla d|^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.1c)$$

with boundary and initial conditions:

$$u = 0, \quad \frac{\partial d}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.2a)$$

$$(\rho, u, d)(\cdot, 0) = (\rho_0, u_0, d_0)(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.2b)$$

where  $\rho \geq 0$  is the density of the fluid,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$  represents velocity field of the fluid,  $d \in \mathbb{S}^2$  represents the macroscopic average of the nematic liquid crystals orientation field. The

---

\*Corresponding author. Email addresses: fanjishan@njfu.edu.cn (J. S. Fan), fli@nju.edu.cn (F. C. Li), nakamuragenn@gmail.com (G. Nakamura)

parameters  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are shear viscosity and the bulk viscosity coefficients of the fluid, respectively, satisfying the physical conditions:

$$\mu > 0, \quad 3\lambda + 2\mu \geq 0.$$

We assume that the pressure  $p$  satisfies the  $\gamma$ -law, i.e.,  $p =: a\rho^\gamma$  with constants  $a > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$ . The domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $n$  is the unit outward normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ .

Below let us review some results to the system (1.1a)-(1.1c) briefly. Ding et al. [2] first introduced the system (1.1a)-(1.1c) and studied the low Mach number limit of it, see [9–11] on the recent progress on this topic. Huang, Wang, and Wen [3] (see also [1,5]) showed the local well-posedness of strong solutions with vacuum under the following compatibility condition:

$$-\mu\Delta u_0 - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla\operatorname{div} u_0 + \nabla(a\rho_0^\gamma) + \nabla d_0 \cdot \Delta d_0 = \sqrt{\rho_0}g \tag{1.3}$$

for some  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Jiang, Jiang, and Wang [4] (see also [6]) proved the global existence of weak solutions in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Lin, Lai and Wang [7] established the existence of global weak solutions with finite energy and density satisfying the renormalized continuity equation, provided the initial orientation director field lies in the hemisphere  $S_+^2$ .

The purpose of this paper is to establish the local well-posedness of strong solutions of the compressible nematic liquid crystal model (1.1a)-(1.1c) without the compatibility condition (1.3).

We will prove

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $0 \leq \rho_0 \in W^{1,q}$ , ( $3 < q < 6$ ),  $u_0 \in H_0^1$ ,  $d_0 \in H^2$  with  $|d_0| = 1$ . Then the problem (1.1a)-(1.2b) has a unique local strong solution  $(\rho, u, d)$  satisfying*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \rho \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,q}), & \partial_t \rho \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \\ \rho u \in C([0, T]; L^2), u \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2), & \sqrt{\rho} \partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; L^2), \\ \sqrt{t} u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{2,q}), & \sqrt{t} \partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1), \\ d \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3), \partial_t d \in L^2(0, T; H^1), & \sqrt{t} \partial_t d \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \end{array} \right. \tag{1.4}$$

for some  $0 < T \leq \infty$ .

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in the following way: For  $\delta > 0$ , we choose  $0 < \delta \leq \rho_0^\delta \in H^2$  and  $u_0^\delta \in H_0^1 \cap H^2$  satisfying

$$\rho_0^\delta \rightarrow \rho_0 \quad \text{in } W^{1,q} \quad \text{and} \quad u_0^\delta \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Then it is easy to verify that the problem (1.1a)-(1.2b) has a unique local strong solution  $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, d^\delta)$  in  $[0, T_\delta)$ .

We further define

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(t) = & 1 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\{ \|\rho(\cdot, s)\|_{W^{1,q}} + \|u(\cdot, s)\|_{H^1} + \sqrt{s} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \right. \\
 & + \|d(\cdot, s)\|_{H^2} + \sqrt{s} \|d_t(\cdot, s)\|_{H^1} \left. \right\} + \|u\|_{L^2(0,t;H^2)} \\
 & + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2(0,t;L^2)} + \|\sqrt{s} \nabla u_t\|_{L^2(0,t;L^2)} \\
 & + \|d\|_{L^2(0,t;H^3)} + \|d_t\|_{L^2(0,t;H^1)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

and prove

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any  $t \in [0, T_\delta)$ , we have that*

$$M(t) \leq C_0(M_0) \exp \left\{ t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M) \right\} \tag{1.7}$$

for some nondecreasing continuous functions  $C_0(\cdot)$  and  $C(\cdot)$ .

It follows from (1.7) that (see [8]):

$$M(t) \leq C \tag{1.8}$$

and thus the proof of existence part of Theorem 1.1 is complete by taking  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and the standard compactness principle. We present the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 2 and the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.1 in Section 3.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Below, for the sake of notational simplicity, we shall drop the superscript “ $\delta$ ” of  $\rho^\delta, u^\delta$  and  $d^\delta$ . We also ignore to write down the domain  $\Omega$  in the subsequent integrals.

Testing (1.1b) by  $u$  and using (1.1a), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |u|^2 dx + \mu \int |\nabla u|^2 dx + (\lambda + \mu) \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx \\
 & = \int p \operatorname{div} u dx - \int (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Testing (1.1c) by  $-\Delta d - d|\nabla d|^2$  and using  $d \cdot d_t = 0$  and  $d \cdot \nabla d = 0$ , we find that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d|^2 dx + \int |\Delta d + d|\nabla d|^2|^2 dx = \int (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d dx. \tag{2.2}$$

Summing (2.1) and (2.2) up, and rewriting the continuity equation (1.1a) as

$$p_t + u \cdot \nabla p + \gamma p \operatorname{div} u = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho |u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2) dx + \int (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + |\Delta d + d |\nabla d|^2|) dx \\ &= \int p \operatorname{div} u dx = -\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int p_t dx, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left( \rho |u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2 + \frac{2a}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma \right) dx + 2 \int_0^T \int (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta d + d |\nabla d|^2|) dx dt \\ & \leq \int \left( \rho_0 |u_0|^2 + |\nabla d_0|^2 + \frac{2a}{\gamma-1} \rho_0^\gamma \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Testing (1.1c) by  $d_t$  and using  $d \cdot d_t = 0$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d|^2 dx + \int |d_t|^2 dx = - \int u \cdot \nabla d \cdot d_t dx \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|d_t\|_{L^2} \leq C(M) \|d_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\int_0^t \|d_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C_0(M_0) + C(M)t. \quad (2.5)$$

Applying  $\nabla$  to (1.1c), we see that

$$\nabla d_t - \nabla \Delta d + \nabla(u \cdot \nabla d) = \nabla(d |\nabla d|^2). \quad (2.6)$$

Testing (2.6) by  $\nabla d_t$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\Delta d|^2 dx + \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx \\ &= \int (\nabla(d |\nabla d|^2) - \nabla(u \cdot \nabla d)) \nabla d_t dx \\ & \leq C(\|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^3 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} + \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(M)(1 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(M)(1 + \|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) + C(M) \|d\|_{H^3}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\int |\Delta d|^2 dx + \int_0^t \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C_0(M_0) + C(M)t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Here we have used the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities

$$\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}\|d\|_{H^3}, \tag{2.8a}$$

$$\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3}^2 \leq C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}\|d\|_{H^3}. \tag{2.8b}$$

Eq. (1.1b) can be written as

$$-\mu\Delta u - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \operatorname{div} u = f =: -\rho\partial_t u - \rho u \cdot \nabla u - \nabla p(\rho) - \nabla d \cdot \Delta d. \tag{2.9}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W^{2,q}} &\leq C\|f\|_{L^q} \leq C\|\rho\partial_t u\|_{L^q} + C\|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^q} + C\|\nabla p\|_{L^q} + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta d\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{5q-6}{4q}} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|u_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} + C(M)\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^q} + C(M)\|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C(M)\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} + C(M)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C(M)\|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C(M)\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} + C(M)\|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C(M)\|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|u\|_{W^{2,q}} ds &\leq C(M) \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} ds + C(M) \int_0^t \|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} ds + C(M) \int_0^t \|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{2}} ds \\ &\leq C(M) \int_0^t s^{-\frac{3q-6}{4q}} (\sqrt{s}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2})^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} ds \\ &\quad + C(M) \left(\int_0^t ds\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^t \|u\|_{H^2}^2 ds\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + C(M) \left(\int_0^t ds\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^t \|d\|_{H^3}^2 ds\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq C(M) \left(\int_0^t s^{-\frac{3q-6}{2q}} ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t s\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds\right)^{\frac{3q-6}{4q}} \left(\int_0^t \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds\right)^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} + C(M)t^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C(M)t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} + C(M)t^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C(M)t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for all  $0 < t \leq 1$ .

Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2q-6}{5q-6}} \|u\|_{W^{2,q}}^{\frac{3q}{5q-6}}, \tag{2.11}$$

we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} ds &\leq C(M) \int_0^t \|u\|_{W^{2,q}}^{\frac{3q}{5q-6}} ds \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^t ds\right)^{\frac{2q-6}{5q-6}} \left(\int_0^t \|u\|_{W^{2,q}} ds\right)^{\frac{3q}{5q-6}} \\ &\leq C(M)t^{\frac{2q-6}{5q-6}} \cdot t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} \cdot \frac{3q}{5q-6} = C(M)t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Testing (1.1a) by  $\rho^{m-1}$ , we see that

$$\frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho^m dx = - \int \operatorname{div}(\rho u) \rho^{m-1} dx = \int \rho u \nabla \rho^{m-1} dx = - \frac{m-1}{m} \int \rho^m \operatorname{div} u dx,$$

which leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\rho\|_{L^m} \leq \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^m},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho\|_{L^m} &\leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^m} \exp\left(\int_0^t \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} ds\right) \\ &\leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^m} \exp\left\{t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M)\right\}, \quad 2 \leq m < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

For  $m = \infty$ , (2.13) still holds.

Taking  $\nabla$  to (1.1a), testing the result by  $|\nabla \rho|^{q-2} \nabla \rho$ , we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} + C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^q},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left( \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^q} + \int_0^t \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^q} ds \right) \exp\left(\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} ds\right) \\ &\leq C \left(1 + C(M) t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}}\right) \exp\left\{t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M)\right\} \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp\left\{t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Testing (1.1b) by  $u_t$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u)^2) dx + \int \rho |u_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx + \int p \operatorname{div} u_t dx + \int \left( \nabla d \odot \nabla d - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \right) : \nabla u_t dx \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

We bound  $I_1, I_2$  and  $I_3$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \\ &\leq C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \|u\|_{H^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \frac{d}{dt} \int p \operatorname{div} u \, dx - \int p_t \operatorname{div} u \, dx \\
 &= \frac{d}{dt} \int p \operatorname{div} u \, dx + \int (u \cdot \nabla p + \gamma p \operatorname{div} u) \operatorname{div} u \, dx \\
 &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int p \operatorname{div} u \, dx + (\|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla p\|_{L^3} + \gamma \|p\|_{L^\infty} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int p \operatorname{div} u \, dx + C(M).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the equality (2.3). And for the term  $I_3$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &= \frac{d}{dt} \int \left( \nabla d \odot \nabla d - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \right) : \nabla u \, dx - \int \partial_t \left( \nabla d \odot \nabla d - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \right) : \nabla u \, dx \\
 &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left( \nabla d \odot \nabla d - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \right) : \nabla u \, dx + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left( \nabla d \odot \nabla d - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \right) : \nabla u \, dx + C(M) \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimates into (2.15), integrating over  $(0, t)$ , and using (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \\
 &\leq C_0(M_0) + C(M)t^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(M)t + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^4}^2 \\
 &\leq C_0(M_0) + C(M)t^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C_0(M_0) + C(M)t^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_0(M_0) \exp \left\{ t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Applying  $\partial_t$  to (1.1b) and using (1.1a), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\rho \partial_t^2 u + \rho u \cdot \nabla u_t - \mu \Delta u_t - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u_t \\
 &= -\nabla p_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u)(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) - \rho u_t \cdot \nabla u \\
 &\quad - \partial_t \operatorname{div} \left( \nabla d \odot \nabla d - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Testing (2.23) by  $u_t$  and using (1.1a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |u_t|^2 \, dx + \int (\mu |\nabla u_t|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u_t)^2) \, dx \\
 &= \int p_t \operatorname{div} u_t \, dx - \int \rho u \nabla |u_t|^2 \, dx - \int \rho u \cdot \nabla (u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t) \, dx \\
 &\quad - \int \rho u_t \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t \, dx + \int \partial_t \left( \nabla d \odot \nabla d - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \right) : \nabla u_t \, dx \\
 &=: \sum_{i=4}^8 I_i.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

We bound  $I_i$  ( $i = 4, \dots, 8$ ) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4| &= \left| \int (u \cdot \nabla p + \gamma p \operatorname{div} u) \operatorname{div} u_t \, dx \right| \\
&\leq (\|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla p\|_{L^3} + \gamma \|p\|_{L^\infty} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}) \|\operatorname{div} u_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M) \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M), \\
|I_5| &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^3} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \leq C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \leq C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2, \\
|I_6| &\leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \|u_t\|_{L^6} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \|u_t\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M) (\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2}) \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M) (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{H^2} + \|u\|_{H^2}) \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M) \|u\|_{H^2} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \|u\|_{H^2}^2, \\
|I_7| &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^4}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2, \\
|I_8| &\leq C \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \|d\|_{H^3} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimates into (2.18) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |u_t|^2 \, dx + \frac{11}{16} \mu \int |\nabla u_t|^2 \, dx \\
&\leq C(M) + C(M) \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + C(M) \|d\|_{H^3} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Multiplying the above inequality by  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \int \rho u_t^2 \, dx \right) + \frac{11}{16} \mu t \int |\nabla u_t|^2 \, dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int \rho |u_t|^2 \, dx + C(M)t + C(M)t \int \rho |u_t|^2 \, dx + C(M)t \|u\|_{H^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C(M) \|d\|_{H^3} \cdot t \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

which implies that

$$t \int \rho |u_t|^2 \, dx + \int_0^t s \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp \left\{ t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M) \right\}. \tag{2.21}$$



It follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^2} &\leq C\|f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\rho u_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p + \nabla d \cdot \Delta d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \\ &\quad + C\|\nabla p\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C(M)\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + C(M) + C(M)\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C(M)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(M) + C(M)\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C(M) + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C(M)\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty},$$

whence

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,t;H^2)} \leq C_0(M_0) \exp \left\{ t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M) \right\}. \tag{2.22}$$

Taking  $\partial_t$  to (1.1c), testing the result by  $d_t$ , and using  $d \cdot d_t = 0$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |d_t|^2 dx + \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int u_t \cdot \nabla d \cdot d_t dx - \int u \cdot \nabla d_t \cdot d_t dx + \int |d_t|^2 |\nabla d|^2 dx \\ &\leq \|u_t\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|d_t\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|d_t\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 \|d_t\|_{L^6} \|d_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|d_t\|_{L^2} + C(M)\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \|d_t\|_{L^3} + C(M)\|d_t\|_{L^6} \|d_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|d_t\|_{L^2} + C(M)\|d_t\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |d_t|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx \leq C\|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|d_t\|_{L^2} + C(M)\|d_t\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Multiplying the above inequality by  $t$ , using (2.21), (2.5), and (2.7), we obtain

$$t \int |d_t|^2 dx + \int_0^t s \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp \left\{ t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M) \right\}. \tag{2.23}$$

Taking  $\partial_t$  to (1.1c), testing the result by  $-\Delta d_t$ , and using (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx + \int |\Delta d_t|^2 dx \\ &= \int (u_t \cdot \nabla d + u \cdot \nabla d_t) \Delta d_t dx - \int (d_t |\nabla d|^2 + d \partial_t |\nabla d|^2) \Delta d_t dx \\ &\leq (\|u_t\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} + \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^3}) \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + (\|d_t\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^3}) \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M)(\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_t\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above inequality by  $t$ , using (2.21), (2.5), (2.7), and (2.23), we have

$$t \int |\nabla d_t|^2 dx \leq C_0(M_0) \exp \left\{ t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M) \right\}. \quad (2.24)$$

It follows from (1.1c) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\|_{H^3} &\leq C\|d\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|d\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla(d_t + u \cdot \nabla d - d|\nabla d|^2)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C + C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^6}^3 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C + C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C(M)\|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(M), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|d\|_{H^3} \leq C + C(M) + C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\|_{L^2(0,t;H^3)} &\leq Ct + C(M)t + C(M)t^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(M)t^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp \left\{ t^{\frac{6-q}{4q}} C(M) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Combining (2.16) and (2.21)-(2.25), we conclude that (1.7) holds true. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the existence part has been given, we only need to show the uniqueness part. Let  $(\rho_i, u_i, d_i)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2)$  be the two strong solutions satisfying (1.4) with the same initial data.

We denote

$$(\rho, u, d) =: (\rho_1 - \rho_2, u_1 - u_2, d_1 - d_2).$$

Then it is easy to verify that

$$\partial_t \rho + u_2 \cdot \nabla \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} u_2 + \rho_1 \operatorname{div} u + u \cdot \nabla \rho_1 = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_1 \partial_t u + \rho_1 u_1 \cdot \nabla u - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u \\ &= -\rho_1 u \cdot \nabla u_2 - \rho(\partial_t u_2 + u_2 \cdot \nabla u_2) - \nabla(p(\rho_1) - p(\rho_2)) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{div} \left( \nabla d_1 \odot \nabla d_1 - \nabla d_2 \odot \nabla d_2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d_1|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d_2|^2 \mathbb{I}_3 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\partial_t d + u_1 \cdot \nabla d + u \cdot \nabla d_2 - \Delta d = d_1 |\nabla d_1|^2 - d_2 |\nabla d_2|^2. \quad (3.3)$$

Testing (3.1) by  $\rho$  and using (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho^2 dx &= - \int (u_2 \nabla \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} u_2 + \rho_1 \operatorname{div} u + u \cdot \nabla \rho_1) \rho dx \\ &= - \int \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \operatorname{div} u_2 + \rho_1 \operatorname{div} u \rho + u \nabla \rho_1 \rho \right) dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\rho_1\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla \rho_1\|_{L^3} \|\rho\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\rho\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.4}$$

By the Gronwall inequality, we get

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2} \leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} ds. \tag{3.5}$$

Testing (3.2) by  $u$ , using (1.1a), (1.4), and (3.5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int \rho_1 |u|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u)^2) dx ds \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u)^2) dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \int \rho_1 |u|^2 dx + C \|\partial_t u_2\|_{L^6} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\rho\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + C \|u_2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^6} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\rho\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|p(\rho_1) - p(\rho_2)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C (\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \int \rho_1 |u|^2 dx + C \|\nabla u_{2t}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^2} + C \|u_2\|_{H^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|\rho\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C (\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \int \rho_1 |u|^2 dx + C (\|\nabla u_{2t}\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{H^2} + 1) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\quad + C (\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \int \rho_1 |u|^2 dx + C (\|\nabla u_{2t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + 1) \left( \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} ds \right)^2 \\ &\quad + C (\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty} \int \rho_1 |u|^2 dx + C (t \|\nabla u_{2t}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^2}^2 + 1) \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\quad + C (\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Testing (3.3) by  $d$  and using (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |d|^2 dx + \int |\nabla d|^2 dx \\
 & \leq \|u_1\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|d\|_{L^3} + \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^3} \|d\|_{L^2} + \|d\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\
 & \quad + C(\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|d\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C\|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|d\|_{L^3} + C\|d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 & \quad + C(\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|d\|_{L^2} + \frac{\mu}{16} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Testing (3.3) by  $-\Delta d$  and using (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla d|^2 dx + \int |\Delta d|^2 dx \\
 & \leq \|u_1\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^3} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} \\
 & \quad + C\|d\|_{L^6} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d_1\|_{L^6}^2 + C(\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C_1 \mu \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|d\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 & \quad + C(\|\nabla d_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla d_2\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Doing (3.6)  $\times 8C_1 + (3.7) + (3.8)$  and using the Gronwall inequality, we arrive at

$$\rho = 0, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

Fan is supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11971234). Li is supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11671193) and A Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

## References

- [1] Y. Chu, X.-G. Liu and X. Liu, Strong solutions to the compressible liquid crystal system, *Pac. J. Math.*, 257 (2012), 37–52.
- [2] S. Ding, J. Huang, H. Wen and R. Zi, Incompressible limit of the compressible nematic liquid crystal flow, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 264 (2013), 1711–1756.
- [3] T. Huang, C. Wang and H. Wen, Strong solutions of the compressible nematic liquid crystal flow, *J. Differential Equations*, 252 (2012), 2222–2265.
- [4] F. Jiang, S. Jiang and D. Wang, On multi-dimensional compressible flow of nematic liquid crystals with large initial energy in a bounded domain, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 265 (2013), 3369–3397.

- [5] X. Li and B. Guo, Well-posedness for the three-dimensional compressible liquid crystal flows, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, **9** (2016), 1913–1937.
- [6] F. Lin and C. Wang, Recent developments of analysis for hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, **372** (2014), 20130361.
- [7] J. Lin, B. Lai and C. Wang, Global finite energy weak solutions to the compressible nematic liquid crystal flow in dimension three, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **47** (2015), 2952–2983.
- [8] G. Metivier and S. Schochet, The incompressible limit of the non-isentropic Euler equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **158** (2001), 61–90.
- [9] G. Qi and J. Xu, The low Mach number limit for the compressible flow of liquid crystals, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **297** (2017), 39–49.
- [10] X. Yang, Uniform well-posedness and low Mach number limit to the compressible nematic liquid flows in a bounded domain, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **120** (2015), 118–126.
- [11] L. Zeng, G. Ni and X. Ai, Low Mach number limit of global solutions to 3-D compressible nematic liquid crystal flows with Dirichlet boundary condition, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **42** (2019), 2053–2068.