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#### Abstract

We construct merely Lipschitz and $C^{1, \alpha}$ with rational $\alpha \in(0,1-2 / n]$ viscosity solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation with constant right hand side.
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## 1 Introduction

In this note, we construct (convex) Lipschitz and $C^{1, \alpha}$ viscosity solutions to the MongeAmpère equation with constant right hand side via Cauchy-Kovalevskaya, after integerizing fractional powers in the corresponding equation for those singular profiles from [8] and $[3,5]$.

Theorem 1.1. There exists a merely Lipschitz viscosity solution to $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$ in $B_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$. There also exist merely $C^{1, \alpha-1}$ with rational $\alpha=\frac{q}{p} \in\left(1,2-\frac{2}{n}\right]$ viscosity solutions to $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$ in $B_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$.

These $C^{1, \alpha}$ solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$ illustrate a regularity wall phenomena: merely $C^{1, \alpha}$ with rational $\alpha \in(0,1-2 / n]$ solutions can never become better. This is in contrast with the regularity theory for Monge-Ampère equations [9] and [4]: once solutions are $C^{1,(1-2 / n)+}$, they self-improve to smoothness.

Note that our singular solutions via Cauchy-Kovalevskaya to the Monge-Ampère equation $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$ are singular precisely along a segment of one axis, where the convex solutions are linear, or zero, to be precise. If one tries to produce higher dimensional

[^0]subspace singular set, where the dimension $S$ must be less than $n / 2$ by the theorem in [3], a good start is the Pogorelov type profile there,
$$
u(x)=\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2-2 S / n} f\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)
$$
with $x=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n-S}^{\prime}, x_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, x_{S}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The profile with
$$
f\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)=1+\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}
$$
satisfies the Monge-Ampère with the right hand side being a polynomial of $\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}$, positive near the origin. The ODE for $f\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)$ with singular term $f^{\prime}\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) /\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|$ corresponding to $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$ can be solved by the method in [2] and [1].

Alternatively, relying on the existence of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for Monge-Ampère equations, with $S$ dimensional singular set profile $\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2-2 S / n}\left(1+\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}\right)$ as boundary value in a small ball, one obtains the following

Proposition 1.1. There exist local merely $C^{1,1-S / n}$ viscosity solutions to det $D^{2} u=1$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ such that singular set of the solutions is the $S$ dimensional set

$$
\mathrm{S}=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right):\left|x^{\prime}\right|=0\right\}
$$

in a small ball for $1 \leq S<n / 2$.
Let us sketch a proof for this proposition. Case $S=1$ is also noted in the above. The Lipschitz limit of a family of (convex) smooth solutions to $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$ with smooth boundary value approximations of subsolution

$$
u_{-}=\gamma\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2-2 S / n}\left(1+\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

for $\gamma=(1-2 S / n)^{-1 / n}$ on the boundary of a small ball is our viscosity solution. The convex solution $u(x)$ vanishes in subspace $x^{\prime \prime}$ with $\left|x^{\prime}\right|=0$, because it is between the convex combinations of zero boundary value and the subsolution $u_{-}$there. Surely $u(x)$ is singular in the $S$ dimensional subspace $\left(0^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

We show that $u$ is regular everywhere else. By [4,5], the other possible singular set of $u$ outside S , must contain a line segment, where $u$ is linear. This singular segment intersects the boundary of the small ball or the set S . The barrier argument in [9] and [4,5] shows the two ends of the segment cannot be both on the boundary of the small ball, where $u$ is smooth. The only other scenario that the segment has one end on S, and the other end on the boundary of the small ball is not possible either. This is because the linear function, the restriction of $u$ on the segment, equaling 0 and $u_{-}>0$ respectively on the two ends, cannot be less than the supersolution

$$
u^{+}=2 \gamma\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2-2 S / n}
$$

with sublinear growth near $\left|x^{\prime}\right|=0$.
Note that the solution $u$ is trapped between the supersolution $u^{+}$and the subsolution

$$
u_{-}=\gamma\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2-2 S / n}\left(1+\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

We see that $u$ is exactly $C^{1,1-2 S / n}$. This finishes the sketch of the proof for Proposition 1.1.
In closing, we remark that Mooney [6] recently showed that the $n-1$ dimensional Hausdorff measure of the singular set of every subsolution to $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$ is zero, and the collection of $S$-dimensional affine singular sets, on each of which the subsolution is linear, also has zero $n-S$ dimensional Hausdorff measure. In particular, the affine dimension $S$ is less than $n / 2$. This provides a new proof for the theorem in [3]. The no better than $C^{1, \beta}$ with $\beta \in[0,1 / 3]$ solutions in $[6,7]$ have almost $n-1$ and exactly $n-1$ respectively Hausdorff dimensional singular sets, where each of the solutions is not a single linear function.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. Lipschitz case. We seek for solutions in the Lipschitz profile from [5]

$$
u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)=\rho+\rho^{n / 2} f\left(\rho, x_{n}\right)
$$

with

$$
\rho=\left|x^{\prime}\right|=\left|\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)\right| .
$$

The upper half Hessian $D^{2} u$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1+\frac{n}{2} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-1} f+\rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{\rho}}{\rho} & & & \\
& \cdots & & & \\
& & \frac{1+\frac{n}{2} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-1} f+\rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{\rho}}{\rho} & & \\
& & & \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-2} f & \frac{n}{2} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-1} f_{n} \\
& & & +2 \frac{n}{2} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-1} f_{\rho}+\rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{\rho \rho} & +\rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{n} \\
& & & \rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{n n}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and its determinant

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{det} D^{2} u & =\left[\frac{1+\frac{n}{2} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-1} f+\rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{\rho}}{\rho}\right]^{n-2}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-2} f \\
+2 \frac{n}{2} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}}-1
\end{array} f_{\rho}+\rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{\rho \rho}\right.}
\end{array}\right] \rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{n n} \\
-\left(\frac{n}{2} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-1} f_{n}+\rho^{\frac{n}{2}} f_{\rho n}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We make the following change of variable to move to an analytic equation.
Set

$$
s=\rho^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad h\left(s, x_{n}\right)=f\left(s^{2}, x_{n}\right)
$$

then

$$
\partial_{s}=2 s \partial_{\rho} \quad \text { or } \quad \partial_{\rho}=\frac{1}{2 s} \partial_{s}, \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{\rho}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{-1}{s^{3}} \partial_{s}+\frac{1}{s^{2}} \partial_{s}^{2}\right) .
$$

The determinant becomes

$$
\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=\binom{1+\frac{n}{2} s^{n-2} h}{+\frac{1}{2} s^{n-1} h_{s}}^{n-2}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) h \\
+\frac{n}{2} s h_{s}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-s h_{s}+s^{2} h_{s s}\right) \\
-\left(\frac{n}{2} h_{n}+\frac{1}{2} s h_{s n}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right] h_{n n}\right\} .
$$

Now we solve the reduced Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{n n}=\frac{\left(1+\frac{n}{2} s^{n-2} h+\frac{1}{2} s^{n-1} f_{s}\right)^{2-n}+\left(\frac{n}{2} h_{n}+\frac{1}{2} s h_{s n}\right)^{2}}{\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) h+\frac{(2 n-1)}{4} s h_{s}+\frac{1}{4} s^{2} h_{s s}} \\
h_{n}(s, 0)=0 \\
h(s, 0)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Cauchy-Kovalevskaya gives the analytic solution in $B_{r_{1}}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
h\left(s, x_{n}\right)=1+\frac{2}{n(n-2)} x_{n}^{2}+\cdots
$$

Thus we have a Lipschitz solution to $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$

$$
u(x)=\left|x^{\prime}\right|+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{n}{2}} h\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}, x_{n}\right)
$$

in $B_{1}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by scaling.
Lastly, let us check our $u$ is a viscosity to $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$. For any convex quadratic $Q(x)$ touching $u(x)$ from above, observe that the touching point can never be a singular Lipschitz point of $u(x)$, and in turn, $\operatorname{det} D^{2} Q \geq 1$ at the smooth touching point of $u(x)$. On the lower side, for any quadratic $Q(x)$ touching $u(x)$ from below, when the touching point is at $x^{\prime}=0$, observe that convex $Q(x)$ must vanish along $x^{\prime}=0$ as $u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)$ vanishes, then $\operatorname{det} D^{2} Q=0<1$; when the touching point is at $x^{\prime} \neq 0$, immediately $\operatorname{det} D^{2} Q \leq 1$ as $u(x)$ is smooth nearby.
$C^{1, \frac{q}{p}-1}$ case. We search for solutions in the form

$$
u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)=\rho^{\alpha} f\left(\rho, x_{n}\right)=\rho^{\alpha}\left[1+\rho^{\beta} g\left(\rho, x_{n}\right)\right] \text { with } \beta=2(n-1)-n \alpha
$$

The upper half Hessian $D^{2} u$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{\alpha \rho^{\alpha-1} f+\rho^{\alpha} f_{\rho}}{\rho} & & & & \\
& \cdots & & & \\
& & \frac{\alpha \rho^{\alpha-1} f+\rho^{\alpha} f_{\rho}}{\rho} & & \\
& & & \alpha(\alpha-1) \rho^{\alpha-2} f & \alpha \rho^{\alpha-1} f_{n}+\rho^{\alpha} f_{\rho n} \\
& & & +2 \alpha \rho^{\alpha-1} f_{\rho}+\rho^{\alpha} f_{\rho \rho} & \rho^{\alpha} f_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and its determinant

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} D^{2} u & =\left[\rho^{\alpha-2}\left(\alpha f+\rho f_{\rho}\right)\right]^{n-2} \rho^{2 \alpha-2}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\alpha(\alpha-1) f+2 \alpha \rho f_{\rho}+\rho^{2} f_{\rho \rho}\right] f_{n n}} \\
-\left(\alpha f_{n}+\rho f_{\rho n}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left(\alpha f+\rho f_{\rho}\right)^{n-2}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\alpha(\alpha-1) f+2 \alpha \rho f_{\rho}+\rho^{2} f_{\rho \rho}\right] f_{n n}} \\
-\left(\alpha f_{n}+\rho f_{\rho n}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right\} \rho^{n \alpha-2(n-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=1+\rho^{\beta} g\left(\rho, x_{n}\right) \\
& f_{\rho}=\beta \rho^{\beta-1} g+\rho^{\beta} g_{\rho} \\
& f_{\rho \rho}=\beta(\beta-1) \rho^{\beta-2} g+2 \beta \rho^{\beta-1} g_{\rho}+\rho^{\beta} g_{\rho \rho},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{det} D^{2} u= & {\left[\alpha+\alpha \rho^{\beta} g+\beta \rho^{\beta} g+\rho^{\beta+1} g_{\rho}\right]^{n-2} \rho^{n \alpha-2(n-1)}} \\
& \left.\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha(\alpha-1)\left(1+\rho^{\beta} g\right)+2 \alpha\left(\beta \rho^{\beta} g+\rho^{\beta+1} g_{\rho}\right) \\
+\beta(\beta-1) \rho^{\beta} g+2 \beta \rho^{\beta+1} g_{\rho}+\rho^{\beta+2} g_{\rho \rho}
\end{array}\right] \rho^{\beta} g_{n n}\right\} \\
-\left(\alpha \rho^{\beta} g_{n}+\beta \rho^{\beta} g_{n}+\rho^{\beta+1} g_{\rho_{n}}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right\}, \begin{array}{c} 
\\
=
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\left.\alpha+(\alpha+\beta) \rho^{\beta} g+\rho^{\beta+1} g_{\rho}\right]^{n-2} \rho^{n \alpha-2(n-1)+\beta} \\
\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left.\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha(\alpha-1)+(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta-1) \rho^{\beta} g \\
+2(\alpha+\beta) \rho^{\beta+1} g_{\rho}+\rho^{\beta+2} g_{\rho \rho} \\
-\rho^{\beta}\left[(\alpha+\beta) g_{n}+\rho g_{\rho}\right]^{2}
\end{array}\right] g_{n n}\right\} \\
=
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{c}
\left.\alpha+(\alpha+\beta) \rho^{\beta} g+\rho^{\beta+1} g_{\rho}\right]^{n-2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where we used $n \alpha-2(n-1)+\beta=0$.

We make the following change of variable for $\alpha=q / p$ to move to an analytic equation.

Set

$$
s=\rho^{1 / p} \quad \text { and } \quad h\left(s, x_{n}\right)=g\left(s^{p}, x_{n}\right),
$$

then

$$
\partial_{s}=p s^{p-1} \partial_{\rho} \quad \text { or } \quad \partial_{\rho}=\frac{1}{p s^{p-1}} \partial_{s}, \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{\rho}^{2}=\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left(\frac{1-p}{s^{2 p-1}} \partial_{s}+\frac{1}{s^{2 p-2}} \partial_{s}^{2}\right) .
$$

The determinant becomes

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{det} D^{2} u= & {\left[\alpha+(\alpha+\beta) s^{p \beta} h+s^{p \beta} \frac{1}{p} s h_{s}\right]^{n-2}} \\
& \left\{\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha(\alpha-1)+(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta-1) s^{p \beta} h \\
+2(\alpha+\beta) s^{p \beta} \frac{1}{p} s h_{s}+s^{p \beta} \frac{1}{p^{2}}\left[(1-p) s h_{s}+s^{2} h_{s s}\right]
\end{array}\right\} h_{n n}\right. \\
-s^{p \beta}\left[(\alpha+\beta) h_{n}+\frac{1}{p} s h_{s n}\right]^{2}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Now we solve the reduced Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{n n}=\frac{\left[\alpha+(\alpha+\beta) s^{p \beta} h+s^{p \beta} \frac{1}{p} s h_{s}\right]^{2-n}+s^{p \beta}\left[(\alpha+\beta) h_{n}+\frac{1}{p} s h_{s n}\right]^{2}}{\alpha(\alpha-1)+(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta-1) s^{p \beta} h+2(\alpha+\beta) s^{p \beta} \frac{1}{p} s h_{s}+s^{p \beta} \frac{1}{p^{2}}\left[(1-p) s h_{s}+s^{2} h_{s s}\right]^{\prime}} \\
h_{n}(s, 0)=0, \\
h(s, 0)=1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where integer $p \beta=2 p(n-1)-n q \geq 0$. Cauchy-Kovalevskaya gives the analytic solution in $B_{r_{q / p}}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
h\left(s, x_{n}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha^{2-n}}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} x_{n}^{2}+\cdots .
$$

Thus we have a $C^{1, \frac{q}{p}-1}$ solution to $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$

$$
u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)=\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{q}{p}}\left[1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2(n-1)-n \frac{q}{p}} h\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{p}}, x_{n}\right)\right]
$$

in $B_{1}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by scaling.
Exactly as in the Lipschitz case, we verify that our $u(x)$ is a viscosity solution to the Monge-Ampère equation $\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=1$.
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