

PS-injective Modules, *PS*-flat Modules and *PS*-coherent Rings

XIANG YUE-MING

(Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Huaihua University,
Huaihua, Hunan, 418000)

Communicated by Du Xian-kun

Abstract: A left ideal I of a ring R is small in case for every proper left ideal K of R , $K + I \neq R$. A ring R is called left *PS*-coherent if every principally small left ideal Ra is finitely presented. We develop, in this paper, *PS*-coherent rings as a generalization of *P*-coherent rings and *J*-coherent rings. To characterize *PS*-coherent rings, we first introduce *PS*-injective and *PS*-flat modules, and discuss the relation between them over some spacial rings. Some properties of left *PS*-coherent rings are also studied.

Key words: *PS*-injective module, *PS*-flat module, *PS*-coherent ring

2000 MR subject classification: 16P70, 16N20, 16D10

Document code: A

Article ID: 1674-5647(2013)02-0121-10

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. The Jacobson radical of R is denoted by $J(R)$ and its right singular ideal is denoted by $Z(R_R)$. Let M and N be R -modules. $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ (resp. $\text{Ext}^n(M, N)$) means $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ (resp. $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$), and similarly $M \otimes N$ (resp. $\text{Tor}_n(M, N)$) denotes $M \otimes_R N$ (resp. $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N)$). The character module M^+ is defined by $M^+ = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. If X is a subset of R , the right (resp. left) annihilator of X in R is denoted by $r_R(X)$ (resp. $l_R(X)$). For the usual notations we refer the reader to [1–4].

Let R be a ring. A left R -module M is called finitely presented if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where F is finitely generated free and K is finitely generated. A ring R is called left coherent if every finitely generated left ideal of R is finitely presented. Coherent rings and their generalizations have been extensively studied by many authors (see [5–8]). A left ideal I of a ring R is small in case for every proper left ideal K of R , $K + I \neq R$. A ring R is said to be left *J*-coherent (see [5]) (resp. *P*-coherent (see [8]))

if every finitely generated small (resp. principally) left ideal of R is finitely presented. In Section 3 of this paper, we say that a ring R is left PS -coherent if every principally small left ideal of R is finitely presented. The concept of PS -coherent rings is introduced as a proper generalization of J -coherent rings and P -coherent rings. Some examples of PS -coherent rings are given, and some properties of PS -coherent rings are studied. It is shown that R is left J -coherent if and only if the matrix ring $M_n(R)$ is left PS -coherent for all $n \geq 1$. If R is semiregular, then R is left P -coherent if and only if R is left PS -coherent.

In order to characterize PS -coherent rings, PS -flat modules are firstly introduced in Section 2. We discuss the relation between PS -injective modules and PS -flat modules over some spacial rings. In light of these facts, we give some characterizations of semiprimitive rings (that is, $J(R) = 0$).

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of R -modules. For an R -module M , a homomorphism $g : C \rightarrow M$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is called a \mathcal{C} -cover (see [2]) of M if the following hold:

- (1) For any homomorphism $g' : C' \rightarrow M$ with $C' \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a homomorphism $f : C' \rightarrow C$ with $g' = gf$;
- (2) If f is an endomorphism of C with $gf = g$, then f must be an automorphism.

If (1) holds but (2) may not, $g : C \rightarrow M$ is called a \mathcal{C} -precover. Dually, we have the definition of a \mathcal{C} -(pre)envelope. \mathcal{C} -covers and \mathcal{C} -envelopes may not exist in general, but if they exist, they are unique up to isomorphism. In Section 3 of this paper, we show that R is left PS -coherent if and only if every right R -module has a PS -flat preenvelope. If R is left PS -coherent, then every left R -module has a PS -injective cover. Furthermore, we consider when every left R -module has an epimorphic PS -injective cover and when every right R -module has a monomorphic PS -flat preenvelope.

2 PS -injective Modules and PS -flat Modules

We start with the following definition.

Definition 2.1 *Let R be a ring and Ra be any principally small left ideal. A left R -module M is called PS -injective if every R -homomorphism $f : Ra \rightarrow M$ can be extended to $R \rightarrow M$, equivalently, if $\text{Ext}^1(R/Ra, M) = 0$. A right R -module N is said to be PS -flat if the sequence $0 \rightarrow N \otimes Ra \rightarrow N \otimes R$ is exact, or equivalently, $\text{Tor}_1(N, R/Ra) = 0$. Similarly, we have the concept of right PS -injective modules and left PS -flat modules.*

Remark 2.1 (1) A left R -module M is said to be divisible (see [8]) (or P -injective) if $\text{Ext}^1(R/Ra, M) = 0$ for all $a \in R$. A right R -module N is called torsionfree if

$$\text{Tor}_1(N, R/Ra) = 0, \quad a \in R.$$

Clearly, every divisible module (resp. torsionfree module) is PS -injective (resp. PS -flat). But the converse is not true in general. For example, let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ be the ring of integers. Then every module is PS -injective and PS -flat because $J(R) = 0$. However, \mathbb{Z} is not a divisible \mathbb{Z} -module and \mathbb{Z}_2 is not a torsionfree \mathbb{Z} -module.