

# A Note on Donaldson’s “Tamed to Compatible” Question

TAN QIANG AND XU HAI-FENG

(School of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, 225002)

Communicated by Lei Feng-chun

**Abstract:** Recently, Tedi Draghici and Weiyi Zhang studied Donaldson’s “tamed to compatible” question (Draghici T, Zhang W. A note on exact forms on almost complex manifolds. arXiv: 1111. 7287v1 [math. SG]. Submitted on 30 Nov. 2011). That is, for a compact almost complex 4-manifold whose almost complex structure is tamed by a symplectic form, is there a symplectic form compatible with this almost complex structure? They got several equivalent forms of this problem by studying the space of exact forms on such a manifold. With these equivalent forms, they proved a result which can be thought as a further partial answer to Donaldson’s question in dimension 4. In this note, we give another simpler proof of their result.

**Key words:** compact almost complex 4-manifold,  $\omega$ -tame almost complex structure,  $\omega$ -compatible almost complex structure

**2010 MR subject classification:** 53D35, 53D45, 58D29

**Document code:** A

**Article ID:** 1674-5647(2014)02-0179-04

**DOI:** 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2014.02.08

## 1 Introduction

Donaldson<sup>[1]</sup> asked the following question:

**Question 1.1** *For a compact almost complex 4-manifold  $(M^4, J)$ , if  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form, is there a symplectic form compatible with  $J$ ?*

An almost complex structure  $J$  on a manifold  $M^{2n}$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ , if  $\omega$  is  $J$ -positive, i.e.,

$$\omega(X, JX) > 0, \quad X \in TM, X \neq 0.$$

---

**Received date:** Nov. 19, 2012.

**Foundation item:** The NSF (11071208 and 11126046) of China and the Postgraduate Innovation Project (CXZZ13\_0888) of Jiangsu Province.

**E-mail address:** tanqiang1986@hotmail.com.

An almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be compatible with  $\omega$ , if  $\omega$  is  $J$ -positive and  $J$ -invariant, i.e.,

$$\omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in TM.$$

Taubes<sup>[2]</sup> showed that this question has a positive answer on all compact almost complex 4-manifolds  $(M^4, J)$  with  $b^+ = 1$  for generic almost complex structures. This problem is related to an almost-Kähler analogue of Yau's theorem (see [3]).

Draghici and Zhang<sup>[4]</sup> obtained the following result, which can be thought as a further partial answer to the Donaldson's question in dimension 4.

**Theorem 1.1**<sup>[4]</sup> *Let  $(M^4, J)$  be a compact almost complex manifold. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $J$  admits a compatible symplectic form;
- (ii) For any  $J$ -anti-invariant form  $\alpha$ , there exists a  $J$ -tamed symplectic form whose  $J$ -anti-invariant part is  $\alpha$ .

Draghici and Zhang<sup>[4]</sup> proposed several equivalent statements of Donaldson's question. If we use some notations as introduced in [4] (also see the next section for explanation), then Question 1.1 can be rewritten as

**Question 1.2** *If  $\mathcal{S}_J^t \neq \emptyset$ , is  $\mathcal{S}_J^c \neq \emptyset$  as well?*

We denote by  $\mathcal{S}_J^c \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{S}_J^t \neq \emptyset$  the set of symplectic forms, which are  $J$ -compatible and  $J$ -tamed, respectively.

Question 1.1 has the following two equivalent forms:

**Question 1.3** *Is it true that either  $d\Omega^{J,-} \cap d\Omega^{J,\oplus} = \emptyset$  or  $d\Omega^{J,\oplus} = d\Omega^{J,+}$ ?*

**Question 1.4** *If  $\alpha \in \Omega^{J,-}$  satisfies  $d\alpha \in d\Omega^{J,\oplus}$ , is it true that  $d(-\alpha) \in d\Omega^{J,\oplus}$  as well?*

For a detailed proof of equivalent statements, we refer to [4].

Let  $(M^4, J)$  be a compact almost complex manifold.  $\wedge^2(M)$  is the vector bundle of (real) 2-forms on  $M$ .  $\Omega^2(M)$  denotes the space of real  $C^\infty$  2-forms, i.e., the  $C^\infty$  sections of the bundle  $\wedge^2(M)$ .

$J$  acts on  $\Omega^2(M)$  as an involution via

$$\begin{aligned} J: \Omega^2(M) &\longrightarrow \Omega^2(M), \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \alpha^J, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha^J(\cdot, \cdot) = \alpha(J\cdot, J\cdot)$ . Thus, we can define  $J$ -invariant forms and  $J$ -anti-invariant forms by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{J,+}(M) &:= \{\alpha \in \Omega^2(M) \mid \alpha^J = \alpha\}, \\ \Omega^{J,-}(M) &:= \{\alpha \in \Omega^2(M) \mid \alpha^J = -\alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\Omega^{J,+}(M)$  and  $\Omega^{J,-}(M)$  are vector spaces and we have

$$\Omega^2(M) = \Omega^{J,+}(M) \oplus \Omega^{J,-}(M).$$