

Unstabilized Self-amalgamation of a Heegaard Splitting along Disks

LIANG LIANG, LEI FENG-CHUN AND LI FENG-LING*

(School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, Liaoning, 116024)

Abstract: In this paper, we prove that a self-amalgamation of a strongly irreducible Heegaard splitting along disks is unstabilized.

Key words: Heegaard splitting, self-amalgamation, unstabilized

2010 MR subject classification: 57N10, 57M50

Document code: A

Article ID: 1674-5647(2016)02-0117-05

DOI: 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2016.02.04

1 Introduction

Let M be a compact connected orientable 3-manifold. If an embedded closed orientable surface S cuts M into two compression bodies V and W such that $\partial_+V = S = \partial_+W$, then $V \cup_S W$ is a Heegaard splitting of M and S is called a Heegaard surface. A Heegaard splitting $V \cup_S W$ for M is called to be stabilized if there are essential disks D in V and E in W such that $|D \cap E| = 1$. Let F be a closed connected embedded surface in M . If F is separating in M , F cuts M into two pieces M_1 and M_2 with $F_1 \subset \partial M_1$ and $F_2 \subset \partial M_2$, the two cutting sections of F , we say M is an amalgamation of M_1 and M_2 along F_1 and F_2 ; if F is non-separating in M , the manifold \tilde{M} obtained by cutting M open along F is connected with the two cutting sections F_1, F_2 of F lying in $\partial \tilde{M}$, we say M is a self-amalgamation of \tilde{M} along boundary components of F_1 and F_2 , and call $F = F_1 = F_2$ in M the amalgamated surface.

Suppose that M is an amalgamation of M_1 and M_2 along F_1 and F_2 , and $F = F_1 = F_2$ in M . For a given Heegaard splitting $M_i = V_i \cup_{S_i} W_i$ of M_i , $i = 1, 2$, Schultens^[1] constructed a natural Heegaard splitting for M , which is called amalgamation of $V_1 \cup_{S_1} W_1$ and $V_2 \cup_{S_2} W_2$, refer to Section 2 for the definition.

So a natural question is when is an amalgamation of two unstabilized Heegaard splittings unstabilized? A well-known result is the Gordon conjecture: The connected sum of

Received date: Nov. 24, 2014.

Foundation item: The NSF (11101058, 11329101 and 11471151) of China and the Fundamental Research Funds (DUT14ZD208 and DUT14LK12) for the Central Universities.

* **Corresponding author.**

E-mail address: liang.liang@aliyun.com (Liang L), dutlfl@163.com (Li F L).

unstabilized Heegaard splittings is never stabilized (see [2], Problem 3.91). This was proved independently by Bachman^[3] and by Qiu and Scharlemann^[4]. When the genus of the amalgamated surface is positive, there exist many counterexamples showing that an amalgamation of two unstabilized Heegaard splittings might be stabilized (see [5]–[8]). On the other hand, many sufficient conditions for an amalgamation of two unstabilized Heegaard splittings to be unstabilized are given, see [9]–[12], where the gluing maps are required to be complicated enough, and [13]–[15], where the the factor Heegaard splittings are of “high” distance.

Let M be a self-amalgamation of \tilde{M} along boundary components F_1 and F_2 of $\partial\tilde{M}$. Given a Heegaard splitting $V' \cup_{S'} W'$ for \tilde{M} , there is amalgamated Heegaard splittiing for M , obtained by an analogous construction to that of Schulten’s. It has been proved by Du and Qiu^[16] that the self-amalgamation of a “high” distance Heegaard splitting is unstabilized. Recently, Zou *et al.*^[17] proved that the self-amalgamation of a Heegaard splitting of distance at least 3 is unstabilized. In [18], we generalize the self-amalgamation of a Heegaard splitting to the case where the amalgamated surface could be with nonempty boundaries. And we proved that if the Heegaard splitting is strongly irreducible and annulus-busting, then any self-amalgamation of the Heegaard splitting along any essential subsurfaces is unstabilized.

In this paper, we consider a special case when the amalgamated surface is a disk. We prove that the self-amalgamation of a strongly irreducible Heegaard splitting along two disjoint disks is unstabilized. The article is organized as follows: in Section 2, we review some necessary preliminaries. The statement and proof of the main result is given in Section 3.

2 Preliminary

Let M be a compact orientable 3-manifold and F be a properly embedded surface in M . F is said to be compressible if either F is a 2-sphere which bounds a 3-ball or there is an essential simple closed curve on F which bounds a disk in M ; otherwise, F is said to be incompressible. F is said to be essential if F is incompressible and no component of F is ∂ -parallel in M . A simple closed curve in F is said to be essential if it is not contractible or ∂ -parallel in F .

A Heegaard splitting $M = V \cup_S W$ is said to be reducible if there is an essential simple closed curve in S which bounds essential disks in both V and W ; otherwise, it is irreducible. A Heegaard splitting $M = V \cup_S W$ is said to be weakly reducible if there are essential disks D in V and E in W such that $\partial D \cap \partial E = \emptyset$; otherwise, it is strongly irreducible (see [19]).

Assume that F is a closed surface in a compact orientable 3-manifold M which cuts M into two 3-manifolds M_1 and M_2 . Let $V_i \cup_{S_i} W_i$ be a Heegaard splitting for M_i such that $F \subset \partial_- W_1, \partial_- V_2$ where $i = 1, 2$. Let $F \times [0, 1]$ be a regular neighborhood of F in M such that W_1 is obtained by attaching a collection of 1-handles to $F \times \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ along $F \times \{0\}$ and V_2 is obtained by attaching a collection of 1-handles to $F \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ along $F \times \{1\}$. Denote