

An Identity with Skew Derivations on Lie Ideals

WANG ZHENG-PING¹, REHMAN UR NADEEM² AND HUANG SHU-LIANG^{3,*}

(1. *Supervising Section, Chuzhou Vocational and Technical College, Chuzhou, Anhui, 239000*)

(2. *Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, 202002, India*)

(3. *School of Mathematics and Finance, Chuzhou University, Chuzhou, Anhui, 239000*)

Communicated by Du Xian-kun

Abstract: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and L a noncommutative Lie ideal of R . Suppose that (d, σ) is a skew derivation of R such that $x^s d(x)x^t = 0$ for all $x \in L$, where s, t are fixed non-negative integers. Then $d = 0$.

Key words: skew derivation, generalized polynomial identity, Lie ideal, prime ring

2010 MR subject classification: 16N20, 16W25, 16N55

Document code: A

Article ID: 1674-5647(2016)01-0083-05

DOI: 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2016.01.06

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, unless specifically stated, R always denotes a prime ring with center $Z(R)$, Q its Martindale quotient ring. Note that Q is also a prime ring and the center C of Q , which is called the extended centroid of R , is a field (we refer the readers to [1] for the definitions and related properties of these notions). For any $x, y \in R$, the symbol $[x, y]$ stands for the commutator $xy - yx$. For subsets A, B of R , $[A, B]$ is the additive subgroup generated by all $[a, b]$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. An additive subgroup L of R is said to be a Lie ideal of R if $[l, r] \in L$ for all $l \in L$ and $r \in R$. A Lie ideal L is called noncommutative if $[L, L] \neq 0$. Let L be a noncommutative Lie ideal of R . It is well known that $[R[L, L]R, R] \subseteq L$ (see the proof of Lemma 1.3 in [2]). Since $[L, L] \neq 0$, we have $0 \neq [I, R] \subseteq L$ for $I = R[L, L]R$ a nonzero ideal of R . Recall that a ring R is called prime if for any $x, y \in R$, $xRy = 0$ implies that either $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. Given any

Received date: July 23, 2014.

Foundation item: The NSF (1408085QA08) of Anhui Provincial, the Key University Science Research Project (KJ2014A183) of Anhui Province of China, and the Training Program (2014PY06) of Chuzhou University of China.

* **Corresponding author.**

E-mail address: 598438243@qq.com (Wang Z P), shulianguang@sina.com (Huang S L).

automorphism σ of R , an additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ satisfying

$$d(xy) = d(x)y + \sigma(x)d(y), \quad x, y \in R$$

is called a σ -derivation of R , or a skew derivation of R with respect to σ , denoted by (d, σ) . It is easy to see that if $\sigma = 1_R$, the identity map of R , then a σ -derivation is merely an ordinary derivation. And if $\sigma \neq 1_R$, then $\sigma - 1_R$ is a skew derivation. Thus the concept of skew derivations can be regarded as a generalization of derivations. When $d(x) = \sigma(x)b - bx$ for some $b \in Q$, then (d, σ) is called an inner skew derivation, and otherwise it is outer. Any skew derivation (d, σ) extends uniquely to a skew derivation of Q (see [3]) via extensions of both maps to Q . Thus we may assume that any skew derivation of R is the restriction of a skew derivation of Q . Recall that σ is called an inner automorphism if when acting on Q , $\sigma(q) = uqu^{-1}$ for some invertible $u \in Q$. When σ is not inner, then it is called an outer automorphism. The skew derivations have been extensively studied by many researchers from various views (see for instance [4]–[7] where further references can be found).

A well-known paper of Herstein^[2] states that if I is a right ideal of R such that $x^n = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $I = 0$. Chang and Lin^[8] studied a more general case when $d(x)x^n = 0$ and $x^n d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$, where d is a nonzero derivation and I is a nonzero right ideal of a prime ring R . Dhara and De Filippis^[9] proved the following: Let R be a prime ring, F a generalized derivation of R and L a noncommutative Lie ideal of R . Suppose that $x^s F(x)x^t = 0$ for all $x \in L$, where $s \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$ are fixed integers, then $F = 0$ except when $\text{char} R = 2$ and R satisfies s_4 .

In this paper, we continue to investigation on Lie ideals of prime rings, involving a skew derivation (d, σ) with a nontrivial associated automorphism σ . Here we examine what happens replacing the generalized derivation F by a skew derivation (d, σ) in the result of [9].

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1 *Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and L be a noncommutative Lie ideal of R . Suppose that (d, σ) is a skew derivation of R such that $x^s d(x)x^t = 0$ for all $x \in L$, where s, t are fixed non-negative integers. Then $d = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $d \neq 0$. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that (d, σ) is X -outer. Set $I = R[L, L]R$. Then $0 \neq [I, R] \subseteq L$. By the assumption, we have $[x, y]^s (d([x, y])) [x, y]^t = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$ and also for all $x, y \in Q$ by Theorem 2 in [10]. By Theorem 1 in [11], we get

$$[x, y]^s (zy + \sigma(x)w - wx - \sigma(y)z) [x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y, z, w \in Q. \quad (2.1)$$

Subcase 1.1. If σ is X -inner, that is, $\sigma(x) = gxg^{-1}$ for some $g \in Q - C$ since σ is nontrivial. This implies that

$$[x, y]^s (zy + gxg^{-1}w - wx - gyg^{-1}z) [x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y, z, w \in Q. \quad (2.2)$$

Letting $z = 0$ and replacing w by gw in (2.2), we find that

$$[x, y]^s g[x, w] [x, y]^t = 0,$$

and, in particular, when $w = y$, we have

$$[x, y]^s g[x, y][x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y \in Q. \tag{2.3}$$

Set $F(x) = gx$ for all $x \in R$. It is easy to see that F is a generalized derivation of R . Using Theorem 1 in [9], we find that $F = 0$, that is, $g = 0$, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. Suppose that σ is X -outer. By (2.1), we find that

$$[x, y]^s (zy + mw - wx - nz)[x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y, z, m, n, w \in Q. \tag{2.4}$$

Letting $z = 0$ and replacing m by x in (2.4), we get

$$[x, y]^s [x, w][x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y, w \in Q.$$

In particular,

$$[x, y]^s [x, y][x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y \in Q.$$

Using Theorem 1 in [9] again, we conclude that $1 = 0$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that d is X -inner, that is, $d(x) = \sigma(x)b - bx$ with $0 \neq b \in Q$.

Subcase 2.1. If σ is X -inner, then there exists an invertible element $q \in Q$ such that $\sigma(x) = qxq^{-1}$, where $q \in Q - C$. So Q satisfies the generalized polynomial identity

$$[x, y]^s (q[x, y]q^{-1}b - b[x, y])[x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y \in Q. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $\dim_C V = \infty$ and recall that as Lemma 2 in [12]. The set $[Q, Q]$ is dense in Q and so from

$$[x, y]^s (q[x, y]q^{-1}b - b[x, y])[x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y \in [Q, Q], \tag{2.6}$$

we have

$$x^s (qxq^{-1}b - bx)x^t = 0, \quad x \in Q.$$

Let $v \in V$ such that $\{v, q^{-1}bv\}$ is linearly C -independent. Therefore there exist $v_1, \dots, v_t, w \in V$ such that $\{v, q^{-1}bv, v_1, \dots, v_t, w\}$ is linearly C -independent. By the density of Q , there exists an $r \in Q$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} rv_i &= v_{i+1}, & i &= 1, \dots, t-1, & rv_t &= v, \\ rv &= 0, & r(q^{-1}bv) &= q^{-1}w, & rw &= w. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the contradiction

$$0 = r^s (qrq^{-1}b - br)r^t v_1 = w.$$

Hence $\{v, q^{-1}bv\}$ is linearly C -dependent for all $v \in V$ and a standard argument shows that $q^{-1}b \in C$, that is, $d = 0$.

Let $\dim_C V = k$ be a finite integer, that is, $Q = M_k(C)$ for $k \geq 2$. Denote $p = q^{-1}b$. Let $i \neq j$ and choose $[x, y] = e_{ii} - e_{jj}$ in (2.5). Both left multiplying by e_{jj} and right multiplying by e_{ii} it follows

$$e_{jj}q e_{ii}p e_{ii} - e_{jj}q e_{jj}p e_{ii} - e_{jj}b e_{ii} = 0,$$

in particular,

$$q_{ji}p_{ii} - q_{jj}p_{ji} - b_{ji} = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Let ϕ and ξ be the following automorphisms of $M_k(C)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= x + e_{ij}x - x e_{ij} - e_{ij}x e_{ij}, \\ \xi(x) &= x - e_{ij}x + x e_{ij} - e_{ij}x e_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(q)$, $\phi(p)$, $\xi(q)$ and $\xi(p)$ satisfy the same property of q and p , it follows that

$$\phi(q)_{ji}\phi(p)_{ii} - \phi(q)_{jj}\phi(p)_{ji} - \phi(b)_{ji} = 0,$$

and also

$$\xi(q)_{ji}\xi(p)_{ii} - \xi(q)_{jj}\xi(p)_{ji} - \xi(b)_{ji} = 0,$$

which means that

$$q_{ji}(p_{ii} + p_{ji}) - (q_{jj} - q_{ji})p_{ji} - b_{ji} = 0,$$

and also

$$q_{ji}(p_{ii} - p_{ji}) - (q_{jj} + q_{ji})p_{ji} - b_{ji} = 0.$$

Comparing these last two relations we get $4q_{ji}p_{ji} = 0$, that is,

$$q_{ji}p_{ji} = 0, \quad i \neq j. \quad (2.8)$$

If $k \geq 3$, then by Proposition 1 in [13], it follows that either $p \in C$ or $q \in C$. In the first case we get $d = 0$. On the other hand, if $q \in C$ then $d(x) = [x, b]$ and the result follows as an application of main theorem in [14]. Let $k = 2$, that is, $Q \cong M_2(C)$. Assume that neither q nor p is a diagonal matrix in $M_2(C)$. Without loss of generality, we consider $p_{21} \neq 0$. Thus, by (2.8), it follows $q_{21} = 0$, then $q_{12} \neq 0$ and so $p_{12} = 0$. Moreover, since q is invertible, we also have $q_{22} \neq 0$. Notice that, if $u \in [Q, Q]$ is an invertible matrix, then by (2.6) it follows that

$$X = qup - bu = 0.$$

For $u = e_{11} - e_{22}$ and by computations it follows that the $(2, 1)$ -entry of the matrix X is

$$q_{22}p_{21} + b_{21} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

On the other hand, for $u = e_{12} + e_{21}$, it follows that the $(2, 2)$ -entry of the matrix X is $b_{21} = 0$. Thus, by (2.9) we get the contradiction $q_{22}p_{21} = 0$. The previous contradiction means that either q or p is diagonal. In this case, a standard argument shows that either q or p is central and we are done as above.

Subcase 2.2. If σ is X -outer, then

$$[x, y]^s([\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]b - b[x, y])[x, y]^t = 0.$$

So by Kharchenko^[15] we find that

$$[x, y]^s([m, n]b - b[x, y])[x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y, m, n \in Q.$$

Setting $m = 0$, we get

$$[x, y]^s(b[x, y])[x, y]^t = 0, \quad x, y \in Q.$$

Repeating the same argument already used after (2.3) we get $b = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Acknowledgment The authors sincerely thank to Professor Asma Ali for her suggestions in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Beidar K I, Martindale W S, Mikhalev V. Rings with Generalized Identities. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1996.
- [2] Herstein I N. Topics in Ring Theory. Chicago: Univ. of Chicago Press, 1969.

-
- [3] Kharchenko V K, Popov A Z. Skew derivations of prime rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 1992, **20**: 3321–3345.
 - [4] Chou M C, Liu C K. An Engel condition with skew derivations. *Monatsh. Math.*, 2009, **158**: 259–270.
 - [5] Chuang C L, Chou M C, Liu C K. Skew derivations with annihilating Engel conditions. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 2006, **68**: 161–170.
 - [6] Lanski C. Skew derivations and Engel conditions. *Comm. Algebra*, 2014, **42**: 139–152.
 - [7] Liu C K. On skew derivations in semiprime rings. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 2013, **16**: 1561–1576.
 - [8] Chang C M, Lin Y C. Derivations on one-sided ideals of prime rings. *Tamsui Oxf. J. Manag. Sci.*, 2001, **17**: 139–145.
 - [9] Dhara B, De Filippis V. Notes on generalized derivations on Lie ideals in prime rings. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2009, **46**(3): 599–605.
 - [10] Chuang C L. GPIs having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1988, **103**(3): 723–728.
 - [11] Chuang C L, Lee T K. Identities with a single skew derivation. *J. Algebra*, 2005, **288**: 59–77.
 - [12] Wong T L. Derivations with power central values on multilinear polynomials. *Algebra Colloq.*, 1996, **3**(4): 369–378.
 - [13] De Filippis V, Scudo G. Strong commutativity and Engel condition preseving maps in prime and semiprime rings. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 2013, **61**(7): 917–938.
 - [14] Dhara B, De Filippis V, Scudo G. Power values of generalized derivations with annihilator conditions in prime rings. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 2013, **10**(1): 123–135.
 - [15] Kharchenko V K. Generalized identities with automorphisms. *Algebra Logika*, 1975, **14**(2): 215–237.