

CASPT2 study on the low-lying electronic states of $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion

Shu-Yuan Yu^{a,*} and Cheng-Gen Zhang^{a,b}

^a Department of Chemistry and Material Science, Langfang Normal College, Langfang 065000, China

^b College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 4588, Beijing 100049, China

Received 2 September 2013; Accepted (in revised version) 22 November 2013

Published Online 28 February 2014

Abstract. Electronic states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion were studied by using the CASPT2 and CASSCF methods in conjunction with a contracted atomic natural orbital (ANO-L) basis set. For the five lowest-lying states, geometries and adiabatic excitation energies (T_0) were calculated at the CASPT2 level. The CASPT2 T_0 values and CASPT2 T'_v values are in reasonable agreement with the available experimental data. The assignments of the B, C, and D states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion were difficult since the three states are closely lying. Based on the CASPT2 T_0 calculations, the X, A, B, C, and D states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion were assigned to 1^2A_2 , 1^2B_1 , 2^2B_1 , 1^2A_1 , and 1^2B_2 , respectively, which supports the suggested assignment of the lowest excited state to 2^2B_1 by Tsuchiya *et al.* based on their experiments.

PACS: 31.10.+Z, 31.25.Eb, 31.25.Jf

Key words: CASPT2, electronic states, excitation energies, geometries

1 Introduction

Halogen-benzene ions have long attracted a great deal of interest for its great significance for environmental protection. Fluorobenzene ions have attracted a great interest with respect to their emissive properties, and have been the subject of a great many spectroscopic studies [1-10]. Assignments of electronic states are fundamental to understanding of the experimental facts. Based on the energy orderings of the occupied molecular orbitals (MOs) in the electronic configurations of the ground-state $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2$ molecule, the X, A, B, C, and D states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion could be presumably assigned to 1^2A_2 , 1^2B_1 , 2^2B_1 ,

*Corresponding author. Email address: yushuyuan05@mailsucas.ac.cn (S.-Y. Yu)

1^2A_1 , and 1^2B_2 , respectively. The assignments of the B, C, and D states are difficult since the B, C, and D states were observed to be closely lying.[1] Tsuchiya *et al.*[2] suggested assignment of the lowest excited state of $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ to 2B_1 based on their analyses by dissociation spectroscopy. But this was contrary to the generally accepted criterion that the lowest excited state of the nonemissive fluorobenzene cation is $D(\sigma, \pi)$. The accurate assignment on the electronic excited states of an ion should be based on the high-level theoretical calculations.

The experimental adiabatic ionization potential (AIP) values for the X, A, and B states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion were reported by Bieri *et al.*[1] to be 9.32, 9.68, and 12.19 eV, respectively. The adiabatic excitation energy (T_0) values for the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion are considered to be equal to the differences between the AIP values for excited states and the AIP value for the ground state, and therefore the experimental T_0 values for the A and B states of $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ are 0.36 and 2.87 eV, respectively, evaluated using the AIP values of Bieri *et al.*[1] The experimental vertical ionization potential (VIP) values for the X, A, B, C, D, and E states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion were reported by Bieri *et al.*[1] to be 9.5, 10.0, 12.4, 12.9, 13.6, and 13.6 eV, respectively. The differences between the VIP values for excited states and the VIP value for the ground state of a molecular ion are the relative energy (denoted as T'_v) values of the excited states to the ground state of the ion at the ground-state geometry of the neutral molecule. Therefore, the experimental T'_v values for the A, B, C, D, and E states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion are 0.5, 2.9, 3.4, 4.1, and 4.1 eV, respectively, evaluated using the VIP values of Bieri *et al.* [1].

Theoretical studies on excited electronic states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion are few in the literature. The ground state of $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ was previously calculated by using the HF,[6,7] MP2,[7] and B3LYP[7] methods. Vysotsky *et al.*[8] calculated the geometries and relative energies of the X, A, and B states of $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ at the HF and CASSCF levels. These theoretical studies, while helpful, fell short of clarifying the excited electronic states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion.

In the present work we mainly studied the five lowest-lying states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion using the CASSCF (complete active space self-consistent field)[11] and CASPT2 (multiconfiguration second-order perturbation theory)[12,13] methods, which are effective for theoretical studies of excited electronic states of molecules and molecular ions.[14-18] We will report the equilibrium geometries and excitation energies of these states and present our assignments for the X, A, B, C, and D states of $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ based on our CASPT2 calculation results. We hope the results would be helpful for further experimental and theoretical studies on electronic states of the $m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}_2^+$ ion.

2 Theoretical method and computational details

The CASPT2 and CASSCF calculations were carried out using the MOLCAS 7.8 quantum-chemistry software.[19] With a CASSCF wavefunction constituting the reference function, the CASPT2 calculations were performed to compute the first-order wavefunction