

## A QUASI-PROJECTION ANALYSIS FOR ELASTIC WAVE PROPAGATION IN FLUID-SATURATED POROUS MEDIA\*

Chen Zhang-xin

(Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, USA)

### Abstract

This paper deals with the superconvergence phenomena for Galerkin approximations of solutions of Biot's dynamic equations describing elastic wave propagation in fluid-saturated porous media. An asymptotic expansion to high order of Galerkin solutions is used to derive these results.

### §1. Introduction

An isotropic, elastic porous solid saturated by a compressible viscous fluid can be described by the system of partial differential equations [1], [6],

$$A \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + C \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - L(u) = F(x, t), (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $R^2$ ,  $u(x, t) = (u_1, u_2)$  is the displacement vector on  $\Omega$ ,  $u_1 = (u_{11}, u_{12})$  and  $u_2(x, t) = (u_{21}, u_{22})$  are the displacement of the solid and the average fluid displacement, respectively, and  $F(x, t)$  is the force applied to the system. The differential operator  $L(u)$  is defined by

$$L(u) = (\nabla \cdot \theta_1(u), \nabla \cdot \theta_2(u), \nabla s(u)),$$

where the vectors  $\theta_i(u)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and the scalar  $s(u)$  are

$$\theta_i(u) = (\theta_{i1}, \theta_{i2}), \quad i = 1, 2; \quad s(u) = Q \nabla \cdot u_1 + R \nabla \cdot u_2,$$

and

$$\theta_{ij}(u) = \sigma_{ij}(u_1) + Q \delta_{ij} \nabla \cdot u_2, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Here  $\delta_{ij}$  denotes the Kronecker symbol, and the stress tensors  $\sigma_{ij}$  and the strain tensors  $\epsilon_{ij}$  for  $\Omega$  are related by

$$\epsilon_{ij}(u_1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_{1i}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_{1j}}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 2,$$

$$\sigma_{ij}(u_1) = A \delta_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^2 \epsilon_{kk}(u_1) + 2N \epsilon_{ij}(u_1), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 2.$$

\* Received May 4, 1989.

$A = A(x), N = N(x), Q = Q(x),$  and  $R = R(x)$  are the elastic coefficients for  $\Omega$ . They will be assumed to satisfy the constraints

$$0 < N_* \leq N(x) \leq N^* < \infty, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega,$$

$$0 < A_* \leq A(x) \leq A^* < \infty, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

$$0 < Q_* \leq Q(x) \leq Q^* < \infty, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

$$0 < R_* \leq R(x) \leq R^* < \infty, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

$$R(A + N) - Q^2 > 0, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

In (1.1),  $\mathcal{A} \in R^{4 \times 4}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \in R^{4 \times 4}$  denote the density matrix and the dissipative matrix given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{11} & 0 & \rho_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{11} & 0 & \rho_{12} \\ \rho_{12} & 0 & \rho_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{12} & 0 & \rho_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C} = b(x) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\rho_{11} = \rho_1 - \rho_{12}, \rho_{22} = \rho_2 - \rho_{12}, \rho_1 = \rho_1(x)$  (respectively,  $\rho_2 = \rho_2(x)$ ) is the mass of solid (respectively, fluid) per unit of the aggregate, and  $\rho_{12} = \rho_{12}(x)$  is a mass coupling parameter between fluid and solid;  $b = b(x)$  is the dissipation coefficient for  $\Omega$ .

From physical consideration, it will be assumed that

$$\rho_{11}\rho_{22} - \rho_{12}^2 > 0, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$0 < b_* \leq b(x) \leq b^* < \infty, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}. \tag{1.3}$$

Then, it follows that  $\mathcal{A}$  is positive-definite and  $\mathcal{C}$  is nonnegative.

We shall impose initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u^0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = v^0, \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.4}$$

and the homogeneous boundary conditions

$$(\theta_1(u) \cdot n, \theta_2(u) \cdot n, s(u)) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \tag{1.5}$$

where  $n = n(x)$  is the outward unit normal along  $\partial\Omega$ .

In this paper we shall analyze superconvergence phenomena for the numerical solution of (1.1). The analysis is based on a regularity assumption on the solution of (1.1) [6], [7], and the general method of an asymptotic expansion, called a quasi-projection, of the approximate solution [4]. We shall also rely on some earlier results on the subject [6], [7], which analyzed the existence and uniqueness of solution of (1.1) and the Galerkin procedure for the approximate solution of such equations.