

THE NEAREST BISYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS OF LINEAR MATRIX EQUATIONS ^{*1)}

Zhen-yun Peng

(Department of Applied Mathematics, Hunan University of Science and Technology,
Xiangtan 411201, China)

Xi-yan Hu Lei Zhang

(College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China)

Abstract

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of and the expressions for the bisymmetric solutions of the matrix equations (I) $A_1X_1B_1 + A_2X_2B_2 + \cdots + A_kX_kB_k = D$, (II) $A_1XB_1 + A_2XB_2 + \cdots + A_kXB_k = D$ and (III) $(A_1XB_1, A_2XB_2, \cdots, A_kXB_k) = (D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_k)$ are derived by using Kronecker product and Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of matrices. In addition, in corresponding solution set of the matrix equations, the explicit expression of the nearest matrix to a given matrix in the Frobenius norm is given. Numerical methods and numerical experiments of finding the nearest solutions are also provided.

Mathematics subject classification: 5A24, 65F30, 65F05.

Key words: Bisymmetric matrix, Matrix equation, Matrix nearness problem, Kronecker product, Frobenius norm, Moore-Penrose generalized inverse.

1. Introduction

Denote by R^n the set of all real n -component vectors, $R^{m \times n}$ the set of all $m \times n$ real matrices and $BSR^{n \times n}$ the set of all $n \times n$ real bisymmetric matrices (A symmetric matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$ is called bisymmetric if $a_{ij} = a_{n+1-j, n+1-i}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$). I_n represents the $n \times n$ identity matrix. $\|A\|_F$, A^+ and A^T stand for the Frobenius norm, Moore-Penrose generalized inverse and transpose of a matrix A , respectively. On $R^{m \times n}$ we define inner product: $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{trace}(B^T A)$ for all $A, B \in R^{m \times n}$, then $R^{m \times n}$ is a Hilbert inner product space and the norm of a matrix generated by this inner product is Frobenius norm. For $A = (a_{ij}) \in R^{m \times n}$, $B = (b_{ij}) \in R^{p \times q}$, let $A \otimes B \in R^{mp \times nq}$ be the Kronecker product of A and B .

Various aspects for the solution of linear matrix equations have been investigated. For example, Baksalary and Kala [1], Chu [4], He [8], and Xu, Wei and Zheng [13] considered the nonsymmetric solution of the matrix equation $AXB + CXD = E$ by using Moore-Penrose generalized inverse and the generalized singular value decomposition of matrices, while Chang and Wang [3], Jameson [9] and Dai [6] considered the symmetric conditions on the solution of the matrix equations: $AXA^T + BYB^T = C$, $AX + YA = C$, $AX = YB$ and $AXB = C$. Zietak [14, 15] discussed the l_p -solution and chebyshev-solution of the matrix equation $AX + YB = C$. Dobovisek [7] discussed the minimal solution of the matrix equation $AX - YB = 0$. Chu [5], and Kucera [11] and Jameson [10] are, respectively, studied the nonsymmetric solution of the matrix equation $AXB + CXD = E$ and its special case $AX + XB = C$. Mitra [12], Chu [4] and the references therein studied the nonsymmetric solution of the matrix equation $(AXB, CXD) = (E, F)$.

In this paper, the following problems are considered

* Received January 7, 2003.

¹⁾ Research supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Problem I. Given $X_i^* \in R^{n_i \times n_i}$, $A_i \in R^{p \times n_i}$, $B_i \in R^{n_i \times q}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) and $D \in R^{p \times q}$. Let

$$H_1 = \{[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k] : A_1 X_1 B_1 + A_2 X_2 B_2 + \dots + A_k X_k B_k = D, X_i \in BSR^{n_i \times n_i}\}, \quad (1.1)$$

find $[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X}_k] \in H_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_k] - [X_1^*, \dots, X_k^*]\|_F &\equiv (\|\hat{X}_1 - X_1^*\|_F^2 + \|\hat{X}_2 - X_2^*\|_F^2 + \dots + \|\hat{X}_k - X_k^*\|_F^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \min_{[X_1, \dots, X_k] \in H_1} \|[X_1, \dots, X_k] - [X_1^*, \dots, X_k^*]\|_F. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Problem II. Given $X^* \in R^{n \times n}$, $A_i \in R^{p \times n}$, $B_i \in R^{n \times q}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) and $D \in R^{p \times q}$. Let

$$H_2 = \{X \in BSR^{n \times n} : A_1 X B_1 + A_2 X B_2 + \dots + A_k X B_k = D\}, \quad (1.3)$$

find $\hat{X} \in H_2$ such that

$$\|\hat{X} - X^*\|_F = \min_{X \in H_2} \|X - X^*\|_F. \quad (1.4)$$

Problem III. Given $X^* \in R^{n \times n}$, $A_i \in R^{p_i \times n}$, $B_i \in R^{n \times q_i}$ and $D_i \in R^{p_i \times q_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$). Let

$$H_3 = \{X \in BSR^{n \times n} : A_1 X B_1 = D_1, A_2 X B_2 = D_2, \dots, A_k X B_k = D_k\}, \quad (1.5)$$

find $\hat{X} \in H_3$ such that

$$\|\hat{X} - X^*\|_F = \min_{X \in H_3} \|X - X^*\|_F. \quad (1.6)$$

Using Kronecker product and Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of matrices, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of and the explicit expressions for the solution of Problem I, II and III are derived. Numerical methods and numerical experiments of finding the nearest solutions are also provided.

2. Solving Problems I, II and III

For matrix $A \in R^{m \times n}$, denotes by $vec(A)$ the following vector containing all the entries of matrix A :

$$vec(A) = [A(1, :), A(2, :), \dots, A(n, :)]^T \in R^{mn}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $A(i, :)$ denote i th row of matrix A . For vector $\mathbf{x} \in R^{n^2}$, denote by $vec_n^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ the following matrix containing all the entries of vector \mathbf{x} :

$$vec_n^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}(1 : n)^T \\ \mathbf{x}(n+1 : 2n)^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}[(n-1)n+1 : n^2]^T \end{pmatrix} \in R^{n \times n}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathbf{x}(i : j)$ denotes elements i to j of vector \mathbf{x} .

Let

$$vec(BSR^{n \times n}) = \{vec(A) : A \in BSR^{n \times n}\} \subset R^{n^2}, \quad (2.3)$$

then the dimension of the subspace $vec(BSR^{n \times n})$ is $r = (n+1)^2/4$ when n is odd or $r = n(n+2)/4$ when n is even. Suppose w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r is an orthonormal basis-set for $vec(BSR^{n \times n})$. For example, suitable w_i for $n = 3$ might be $w_1 = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]^T$, $w_2 = [0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0]^T$, $w_3 = [0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0]^T$, $w_4 = [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]^T$. Consequently

$$W = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r] \in R^{n^2 \times r} \quad (2.4)$$