

## ON $S$ -STABILITY \*

ZHAO SHUANG-SUO    DONG GUO-XIONG    QIAO NING  
(Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu)

### Abstract

We prove in this paper that no consistent and well-defined Runge-Kutta method is  $S$ -stable and point out the errors of the theorems on  $S$ -stability in [1].

### 1. Introduction

To further study the stability of a general R-K method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i k_i, \quad k_i = hf(t_n + c_i h, y_n + \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} k_j), \quad i = 1(1)r, \quad (1.1)$$

which is used to solve a stiff initial value problem

$$y' = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \quad y_0, y, f \in R^N, t_0 < t \leq T, \quad (1.2)$$

A. Prothero and A. Robinson presented in [1] the concepts of  $S$ -stability and strong  $S$ -stability, and derived necessary and sufficient conditions for both stabilities (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in [1]). Then they discussed stabilities of several classes of well-defined and consistent R-K methods and concluded that these methods are  $S$ -stable or strongly  $S$ -stable.

Their work has a great influence on the research of numerical methods of stiff O. D. E.. The concepts and theorems of  $S$ -stability and strong  $S$ -stability have been adopted by many authors (see [2]-[7]).

Based on the definition of  $S$ -stability in [1], we now prove that consistent and well-defined R-K methods are not  $S$ -stable, and therefore not strongly  $S$ -stable. Then we point out the errors in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in [1].

For convenience, here we introduce briefly the definitions and some main conclusions of  $S$ -stability and strong  $S$ -stability in [1] and adopt the symbols of [1] as much as we can.

---

\* Received October 22, 1986.

**2. Definition of *S*-Stability and Some Main Conclusions in [1]**

**Definition 2.1.** A *R-K* method (1.1) is said to be *S*-stable if it is applied to the test equation

$$y' = \lambda(y - g(t)) + g'(t), \quad g \in G \tag{2.1}$$

(where  $\lambda$  is a complex constant with  $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ , and  $G$  is the set of all functions defined in  $[t_0, T]$ , which have first bounded-derivative), and for any real positive constant  $\lambda_0$  and any  $g(t) \in G$ , there exists a real positive constant  $h_0$ , such that

$$|\epsilon_{n+1}| < |\epsilon_n|, \quad \forall h \in (0, h_0), \quad \forall \lambda \text{ with } \text{Re}(-\lambda) \geq \lambda_0, \quad t_n, t_{n+1} \in [t_0, T] \tag{2.2}$$

provided  $y_n \neq g(t_n)$ , where  $\epsilon_n = y_n - g(t_n)$ .

Furthermore, (1.1) is said to be strongly *S*-stable if it is *S*-stable and

$$\epsilon_{n+1}/\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall h \in (0, h_0), \text{ as } \text{Re}(-\lambda) \rightarrow \infty, \quad t_n, t_{n+1} \in [t_0, T]. \tag{2.3}$$

Since the solution of (2.1) is  $y(t) = g(t) + (y_0 - g(t_0))e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}$  and  $g(t)$  is quite arbitrary, the methods with *S*-stability and strong *S*-stability are very satisfactory. That is why many authors studied the construction of *S*-stable and strongly *S*-stable methods.

Correspondingly to [1], note  $z = 1/(\lambda h)$ . Applying (1.1) to (2.1), we obtain

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = \alpha(z)\epsilon_n + h\beta(z),$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha(z) = 1 - b^T(A - zI)^{-1}e, \quad A = (a_{ij}), \\ e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T, \quad b = (b_1, \dots, b_r)^T, \\ \beta(z) = -G_0 + b^T(A - zI)^{-1}(\frac{1}{h}(\tilde{g} - g(t_n)e) - z\tilde{g}'), \\ G_0 = (g(t_{n+1}) - g(t_n))/h, \\ \tilde{g} = (g(t_n + c_1h), \dots, g(t_n + c_rh))^T, \\ \tilde{g}' = (g'(t_n + c_1h), \dots, g'(t_n + c_rh))^T. \end{array} \right. \tag{2.4}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume  $R = \{z | 0 < \text{Re}(-z) \leq \bar{z}\}$  and  $\bar{z}$  is a real positive number. Define

$$\epsilon(z, h, \epsilon_0) = \alpha(z)\epsilon_0 + h\beta(z), \quad \forall \epsilon_0 \in C, \quad \forall h \in (0, \bar{h}), \quad \forall z \in R, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\bar{h}$  is a real positive number. Then for any  $g \in G$ , there exists a real positive number  $h_0 = h_0(\bar{z}, \epsilon_0) \leq \bar{h}$ , such that

$$|\epsilon(z, h, \epsilon_0)| < |\epsilon_0|, \quad \forall \epsilon_0 \neq 0, \quad \forall h \in (0, h_0), \quad \forall z \in R$$

if and only if