NON-CLASSICAL ELLIPTIC PROJECTIONS AND L²-ERROR ESTIMATES FOR GALERKIN METHODS FOR PARABOLIC INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS*

Lin Yan-ping
(Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Washington State University, USA)

Abstract

In this paper we shall define a so-called "non-classical" elliptic projection associated with an integro-differential operator. The properties of this projection will be analyzed and used to obtain the optimal L^2 error estimates for the continuous and discrete time Galerkin procedures when applied to linear integro-differential equations of parabolic type.

§1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open bounded subset in $R^n (n \ge 1)$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and consider the following integro-differential equation of parabolic type:

$$\rho(x)u_t(x,t) = \nabla \cdot [a(x)\nabla u(x,t)] + \int_0^t \nabla \cdot [b(x,t,\tau)\nabla u(x,\tau)]d\tau + f(x,t), \text{ in } Q_T, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x), x\in\Omega, \qquad (1.2)$$

$$u(x,t) = 0$$
, on $S_T = \partial \Omega \times [0,T]$, (1.3)

where $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T], T > 0$; ∇ is the gradient operator in R^n ; $\rho(x)$, a(x), $b(x,t,\tau)$ and f(x,t) are known functions which are assumed to be as smooth as needed throughout this paper. In addition, we assume that there exist two positive constants c_* , c^* such that

$$0 < c_* \le \rho(x), \quad a(x) \le c^*, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{1.4}$$

Recently, some attention has been given to numerical approximations to the solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Sloan and Thomée^[15] considered the time discretization approximations, Cannon and Li and $\text{Lin}^{[4]}$ have formulated a Galerkin procedure for general linear equations. Optimal L^2 error estimates in the case when a(x) = 1 and $b(x, t, \tau) = b(t, \tau)$ appear in [11]. The problems of existence, uniqueness and stability of the solution can be found in [9, 12, 13, 17].

When $a(x) \neq \text{const.}$ and $b(x, t, \tau)$ is dependent upon x, the method developed in [11] fails to provide the desired L^2 error estimates. The main reason of this is that there are two second order operators on the right-hand side of (1.1), so the usual elliptic projection method discovered by Wheeler in [18] does not work in this case in general. This suggests that we need to treat the operator

$$\nabla \cdot [a(x)\nabla u] + \int_0^t \nabla \cdot [b(x,t,\tau)\nabla u(x,\tau)]d\tau \qquad (1.5)$$

^{*} Received May 6, 1988.

as a single unit. In this paper we shall define a "non-classical" elliptic projection suitable for (1.5). In the special case when b=0, our new projection reduces to the usual elliptic projection defined in [18].

Let $H^s(\Omega)$ denote Sobolev spaces on Ω and $\|\cdot\|_s$ the related norm, with $H^0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_s$. $H^1_0(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

Let $\{S_h\}_{0< h\leq 1}$ be the finite-dimensional subspaces in H_0^1 which satisfy the following approximation property:

$$\inf_{\chi \in S_h} \{ \|v - \chi\| + h \|v - \chi\|_1 \} \le Ch^s \|v\|_s, \quad v \in H^s \cap H_0^1, \quad s \ge 1, \tag{1.6}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of h and $v \in H^s \cap H^1_0$.

If X is a normed space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $\phi:[0,T]\to X$, we define

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}^2 = \int_0^T \|\phi(t)\|_X^2 dt, \quad \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(X)} = \operatorname{ess \ sup}_{0 \le t \le T} \|\phi(t)\|_X.$$

The continuous Galerkin approximation to the solution u of (1.1)-(1.3) is defined to be a map $U(t):[0,T] \to S_h$ such that

$$(\rho U_t, \chi) + \left(a\nabla U + \int_0^t b\nabla U(\tau)d\tau, \nabla\chi\right) = (f, \chi), \quad t > 0, \quad \chi \in S_h, \tag{1.7}$$

$$U(0,) - u_0$$
 small, (1.8)

where

$$(\phi,\psi)=\int_{\Omega}\phi(x)\psi(x)dx$$

for scalar and vector functions, respectively. The choice of U(0) will be described later. We know that (1.7)-(1.8) is actually a system of ordinary integro-differential equations and it can be easily checked that for any $U(0) \in S_h$ there exists a unique U(t) for t > 0.

Let N be a positive integer, $\Delta t = T/N$, $t_m = m\Delta t$ and $t_{m+1/2} = (m+1/2)\Delta t$; then we define $f_m = f(t_m)$ and $f_{m+1/2} = (1/2)(f_{m+1} + f_m)$. For $t(\tau)$, $g(\tau)$ smooth, we know that

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(\tau)g(\tau)d\tau = \Delta t f(t_{t+1/2})g_{k+1/2} + \varepsilon_k(f,g).$$

Since it is easy to verify

$$\begin{split} & \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} fg d\tau = \Delta t(fg)_{k+1/2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)(t_{k} - \tau) \frac{d^{2}(fg)}{d\tau^{2}} d\tau, \\ & (fg)_{k+1/2} = f_{k+1/2}g_{k+1/2} + \frac{1}{4} \Big(\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{df}{d\tau} d\tau \Big) \Big(\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{dg}{d\tau} \Big) d\tau, \\ & f_{k+1/2} = f(t_{k+1/2}) + \frac{1}{2} \Big[\int_{t_{k+1/2}}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau) \frac{d^{2}f}{d\tau^{2}} d\tau + \int_{t_{k+1/2}}^{t_{k}} (t_{k} - \tau) \frac{d^{2}f}{d\tau^{2}} d\tau \Big], \end{split}$$

we see that the error $\varepsilon_k(f,g)$ can be represented by

$$\varepsilon_{k}(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)(t_{k} - \tau) \frac{d^{2}(fg)}{d\tau^{2}} d\tau + \frac{\Delta t}{4} \left(\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{df}{d\tau} d\tau \right) \left(\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{dg}{d\tau} \right) d\tau + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left[\int_{t_{k+1/2}}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1/2} - \tau) \frac{d^{2}f}{d\tau^{2}} d\tau + \int_{t_{k+1/2}}^{t_{k}} (t_{k} - \tau) \frac{d^{2}f}{d\tau^{2}} d\tau \right] g_{k+1/2}.$$