

# Entanglement of Several Classical and Dynamic Estimates with Unified Approach on Time Scales

Faryal Chaudhry<sup>1</sup> and Muhammad Jibril Shahab Sahir<sup>1,†</sup>

**Abstract** In this research article, we present several generalizations of Qi's inequality on time scales. We establish dynamic versions of Callebaut's inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality on time scales. To establish our results, we apply the diamond-alpha integral and the time scale  $\Delta$  or  $\nabla$ -Riemann-Liouville type fractional integrals. Our findings unify and extend discrete, continuous and quantum analogues.

**Keywords** Time scales, fractional Riemann-Liouville integrals, Qi's, Callebaut's and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequalities

**MSC(2010)** 26D15, 26E70, 34N05, 26A33.

## 1. Introduction

The calculus of time scales was initiated by Stefan Hilger [13]. A time scale is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers. This hybrid theory is also widely applied on dynamic inequalities, see [2, 15–20, 23, 24]. The basic ideas concerning the calculus of time scales are given in [7, 8].

The following Qi's inequality is proved in [12].

Let  $r \geq 1$  and  $\Phi$  be a nonnegative continuous function on  $[\xi, \omega]$  such that  $0 < \Phi(\lambda) \leq r(\omega - \xi)^{-1}$ . Then we have the following inequality

$$\left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} \Phi(\lambda) d\lambda \right)^r \leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} \exp \left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} \Phi(\lambda) d\lambda \right) \leq \frac{r^{2r}}{(\omega - \xi)^{1+r}} \int_{\xi}^{\omega} \Phi^{-r}(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (1.1)$$

The following Callebaut's inequality is given in [11].

Let  $x_k > 0$ ,  $y_k > 0$  and  $w_k \geq 0$  for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^n w_k = 1$ . If there exist the constants  $m$ ,  $M > 0$  such that  $0 < m \leq \frac{x_k}{y_k} \leq M < \infty$  for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n w_k x_k^{2(1-v)} y_k^{2v} \sum_{k=1}^n w_k x_k^{2v} y_k^{2(1-v)} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n w_k x_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n w_k y_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>†</sup>the corresponding author.

Email address: jibrielsahab@gmail.com (M. J. S. Sahir)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Lahore, Lahore 54590, Pakistan

$$\leq K^\delta \left( \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^2 \right) \sum_{k=1}^n w_k x_k^{2(1-v)} y_k^{2v} \sum_{k=1}^n w_k x_k^{2v} y_k^{2(1-v)}, \quad (1.2)$$

for any  $v \in [0, 1]$  and  $\delta = \max\{1 - v, v\}$ .

The following Qi's inequality is proved in [12].

Let  $0 < p < q \leq 1$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\Upsilon, \Phi$  be measurable nonnegative functions on  $[\xi, \omega]$  such that  $\int_\xi^\omega \Upsilon(\gamma) \Phi^q(\gamma) d\gamma < \infty$ . Then we have the following inequality

$$\left[ \left( \int_\xi^\omega \Upsilon(\gamma) \Phi^p(\gamma) d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^r \leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} \left( \int_\xi^\omega \Upsilon(\gamma) d\gamma \right)^{\frac{r}{p} - \frac{r}{q}} \exp \left( \int_\xi^\omega \Upsilon(\gamma) \Phi^q(\gamma) d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (1.3)$$

We shall unify and extend (1.1) and (1.2) in the calculus of time scales by applying the diamond-alpha integral. We shall also unify and extend (1.3) in the fractional calculus of time scales.

## 2. Preliminaries

Now we present a short introduction to the diamond- $\alpha$  derivative as given in [1, 21].

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale and  $\Phi(\lambda)$  be differentiable on  $\mathbb{T}$  in the  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$  senses. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ , the diamond- $\alpha$  dynamic derivative  $\Phi^{\diamond\alpha}(\lambda)$  is defined by

$$\Phi^{\diamond\alpha}(\lambda) = \alpha \Phi^\Delta(\lambda) + (1 - \alpha) \Phi^\nabla(\lambda), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Thus  $\Phi$  is diamond- $\alpha$  differentiable if and only if  $\Phi$  is  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$  differentiable.

The following definition is given in [21].

Let  $\xi, \kappa \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then the diamond- $\alpha$  integral from  $\xi$  to  $\kappa$  of  $\Phi$  is defined by

$$\int_\xi^\kappa \Phi(\lambda) \diamond_\alpha \lambda = \alpha \int_\xi^\kappa \Phi(\lambda) \Delta \lambda + (1 - \alpha) \int_\xi^\kappa \Phi(\lambda) \nabla \lambda, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \quad (2.1)$$

provided that there exist delta and nabla integrals of  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

The following inequality is given in [6, 22].

Let  $r > 0$  and  $z > 0$ . Then the following inequality is valid:

$$z^r \leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} e^z. \quad (2.2)$$

The following well-known Young's inequality holds:

For  $\Omega, \chi > 0$  and  $v \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\Omega^{1-v} \chi^v \leq (1 - v)\Omega + v\chi. \quad (2.3)$$

Kantorovich's ratio is defined by

$$K(h) := \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h},$$

where  $h > 0$ .

The following inequality is given in [14].

For any  $\Omega, \chi \in [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $v \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$(1-v)\Omega + v\chi \leq K^\delta \left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \Omega^{1-v} \chi^v, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\delta = \max\{1-v, v\}$ .

The following definition concerning the time scale  $\Delta$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral is given in [3, 5].

For  $\alpha \geq 1$ , the time scale  $\Delta$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral for a function  $\Phi \in C_{rd}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_\xi^\alpha \Phi(\kappa) = \int_\xi^\kappa h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma)) \Phi(\gamma) \Delta\gamma, \quad (2.5)$$

which is an integral on  $[\xi, \kappa]_\mathbb{T}$ , see [9] and  $h_\alpha : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  are the coordinate wise rd-continuous functions, such that  $h_0(\kappa, \zeta) = 1$ ,

$$h_{\alpha+1}(\kappa, \zeta) = \int_\zeta^\kappa h_\alpha(\gamma, \zeta) \Delta\gamma, \quad \forall \zeta, \kappa \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (2.6)$$

Notice that

$$\mathcal{I}_\xi^1 \Phi(\kappa) = \int_\xi^\kappa \Phi(\gamma) \Delta\gamma,$$

which is absolutely continuous in  $\kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_\mathbb{T}$ , see [9].

The following definition concerning the time scale  $\nabla$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral is given in [4, 5].

For  $\alpha \geq 1$ , the time scale  $\nabla$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral for a function  $\Phi \in C_{ld}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_\xi^\alpha \Phi(\kappa) = \int_\xi^\kappa \hat{h}_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \rho(\gamma)) \Phi(\gamma) \nabla\gamma, \quad (2.7)$$

which is an integral on  $(\xi, \kappa]_\mathbb{T}$ , see [9] and  $\hat{h}_\alpha : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  are the coordinate wise ld-continuous functions, such that  $\hat{h}_0(\kappa, \zeta) = 1$ ,

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha+1}(\kappa, \zeta) = \int_\zeta^\kappa \hat{h}_\alpha(\gamma, \zeta) \nabla\gamma, \quad \forall \zeta, \kappa \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (2.8)$$

Notice that

$$\mathcal{J}_\xi^1 \Phi(\kappa) = \int_\xi^\kappa \Phi(\gamma) \nabla\gamma,$$

which is absolutely continuous in  $\kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_\mathbb{T}$ , see [9].

**Theorem 2.1** ([1]). *Let  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\Psi \in C_{rd}([\xi, \omega]_\mathbb{T}, (\eta_1, \eta_2))$  and  $\Upsilon \in C_{rd}([\xi, \omega]_\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $\int_\xi^\omega |\Upsilon(\lambda)| \Delta\lambda > 0$ . If  $F \in C((\eta_1, \eta_2), \mathbb{R})$  is convex, then*

$$F \left( \frac{\int_\xi^\omega |\Upsilon(\lambda)| \Psi(\lambda) \Delta\lambda}{\int_\xi^\omega |\Upsilon(\lambda)| \Delta\lambda} \right) \leq \frac{\int_\xi^\omega |\Upsilon(\lambda)| F(\Psi(\lambda)) \Delta\lambda}{\int_\xi^\omega |\Upsilon(\lambda)| \Delta\lambda}. \quad (2.9)$$

*If  $F$  is strictly convex, then the inequality  $\leq$  can be replaced by  $<$ .*

In this paper, it is assumed that all considerable integrals exist and are finite. Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale,  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $\xi < \omega$  and an interval  $[\xi, \omega]_\mathbb{T}$  means the intersection of the real interval with the given time scale.

### 3. Integral inequalities

First, we give an extension of Feng Qi's inequality by using the diamond-alpha integral.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $r \geq 1$  and  $\Upsilon, \Phi \in C([\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R} - \{0\})$  be  $\diamond_{\alpha}$ -integrable functions such that  $0 < |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)| \leq r(\omega - \xi)^{-1}$  on the set  $[\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)| \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \right)^r &\leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} \exp \left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)| \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \right) \\ &\leq \frac{r^{2r}}{(\omega - \xi)^{1+r}} \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)|^{-r} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

**Proof.** From the given condition, we have

$$\int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)| \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \leq r \text{ and } r^{-r}(\omega - \xi)^r \leq |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)|^{-r}.$$

Applying (2.2) to  $z = \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)| \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{e^r}{r^r} \left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)| \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \right)^r \\ &\leq \exp \left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)| \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \right) \\ &\leq e^r r^{-r} (\omega - \xi)^{-1-r} r^r (\omega - \xi)^{1+r} \\ &\leq \frac{e^r r^r}{(\omega - \xi)^{1+r}} \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda)|^{-r} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Upsilon \equiv 1$  and  $\Phi > 0$ . Then inequality (3.1) reduces to inequality (1.1).

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\xi = 1$ ,  $\omega = n + 1$ ,  $\Upsilon \equiv 1$  and  $\Phi(k) = x_k > 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then inequality (3.1) reduces to

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right)^r \leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \leq \frac{r^{2r}}{(\omega - \xi)^{1+r}} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{-r}. \quad (3.2)$$

Throughout this section, we will assume that neither  $\Phi \equiv 0$  nor  $\Psi \equiv 0$ . Now, we present Callebaut's inequality [10] and reverse Callebaut's inequality on time scales by applying the diamond-alpha integral.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\Upsilon, \Phi, \Psi \in C([\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$  be  $\diamond_{\alpha}$ -integrable functions. Assume further that  $0 < m \leq \frac{|\Phi(\lambda)|}{|\Psi(\lambda)|} \leq M < \infty$  on the set  $[\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Let  $v \in [0, 1]$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2(1-v)} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2v} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2v} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2(1-v)} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \\ &\leq \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^2 \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Psi(\lambda)|^2 \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \\ &\leq K^{\delta} \left( \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^2 \right) \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2(1-v)} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2v} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2v} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2(1-v)} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\delta = \max\{1-v, v\}$ .

**Proof.** For  $\lambda, \gamma \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , it is clear that

$$m^2 \leq \frac{|\Phi(\lambda)|^2}{|\Psi(\lambda)|^2}, \frac{|\Phi(\gamma)|^2}{|\Psi(\gamma)|^2} \leq M^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Let  $\Omega(\lambda) = \frac{|\Phi(\lambda)|^2}{|\Psi(\lambda)|^2}$  and  $\chi(\gamma) = \frac{|\Phi(\gamma)|^2}{|\Psi(\gamma)|^2}$ ,  $\lambda, \gamma \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Using (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{|\Phi(\lambda)|^2}{|\Psi(\lambda)|^2} \right)^{1-v} \left( \frac{|\Phi(\gamma)|^2}{|\Psi(\gamma)|^2} \right)^v &\leq (1-v) \frac{|\Phi(\lambda)|^2}{|\Psi(\lambda)|^2} + v \frac{|\Phi(\gamma)|^2}{|\Psi(\gamma)|^2} \\ &\leq K^{\delta} \left( \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^2 \right) \left( \frac{|\Phi(\lambda)|^2}{|\Psi(\lambda)|^2} \right)^{1-v} \left( \frac{|\Phi(\gamma)|^2}{|\Psi(\gamma)|^2} \right)^v. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Multiplying by  $|\Psi(\lambda)|^2 |\Psi(\gamma)|^2$ ,  $\lambda, \gamma \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , (3.5) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Phi(\lambda)|^{2(1-v)} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2v} |\Phi(\gamma)|^{2v} |\Psi(\gamma)|^{2(1-v)} \\ &\leq (1-v) |\Phi(\lambda)|^2 |\Psi(\gamma)|^2 + v |\Psi(\lambda)|^2 |\Phi(\gamma)|^2 \\ &\leq K^{\delta} \left( \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^2 \right) |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2(1-v)} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2v} |\Phi(\gamma)|^{2v} |\Psi(\gamma)|^{2(1-v)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Multiplying by  $|\Upsilon(\lambda)|$  and integrating (3.6) with respect to  $\lambda$  from  $\xi$  to  $\omega$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2(1-v)} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2v} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \right) |\Phi(\gamma)|^{2v} |\Psi(\gamma)|^{2(1-v)} \\ &\leq (1-v) \left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^2 \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \right) |\Psi(\gamma)|^2 \\ &\quad + v \left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Psi(\lambda)|^2 \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \right) |\Phi(\gamma)|^2 \\ &\leq K^{\delta} \left( \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^2 \right) \left( \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2(1-v)} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2v} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \right) |\Phi(\gamma)|^{2v} |\Psi(\gamma)|^{2(1-v)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Again, multiplying by  $|\Upsilon(\gamma)|$  and integrating (3.7) with respect to  $\gamma$  from  $\xi$  to  $\omega$ , we obtain the desired inequality (3.3).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\xi = 1$ ,  $\omega = n+1$ ,  $\Phi(k) = x_k > 0$ ,  $\Psi(k) = y_k > 0$  and  $\Upsilon(k) = w_k \geq 0$  for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^n w_k = 1$ . Then inequality (3.3) reduces to (1.2).

**Remark 3.4.** We have the following results:

(i) If we replace  $v$  by  $\frac{1}{2}(1-v)$  with  $v \in [0, 1]$  in (3.3), then we get

$$\int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{1+v} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{1-v} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{1-v} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{1+v} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^2 \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Psi(\lambda)|^2 \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \\
& \leq K^{\frac{1+v}{2}} \left( \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^2 \right) \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{1+v} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{1-v} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \\
& \quad \times \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{1-v} |\Psi(\lambda)|^{1+v} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

(ii) Also, if we take  $v = \frac{1}{2}u$  with  $u \in [0, 2]$  in (3.3), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2-u} |\Psi(\lambda)|^u \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^u |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2-u} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \\
& \leq \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^2 \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Psi(\lambda)|^2 \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \\
& \leq K^{\varsigma} \left( \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^2 \right) \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^{2-u} |\Psi(\lambda)|^u \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda \\
& \quad \times \int_{\xi}^{\omega} |\Upsilon(\lambda)| |\Phi(\lambda)|^u |\Psi(\lambda)|^{2-u} \diamond_{\alpha} \lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where  $\varsigma = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}u, 1 - \frac{1}{2}u \right\}$ .

## 4. Fractional inequalities

In this section, we give an extension of Qi's inequality by using the time scale  $\Delta$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $0 < p < q \leq 1$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\Upsilon, \Phi \in C_{rd}([\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$  be  $\Delta$ -integrable functions such that  $\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa)|^q) < \infty$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $h_{\alpha-1}(\cdot, \cdot) > 0$ , we have the following inequality*

$$\left( \mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa)|^p) \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} \left( \mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)|) \right)^{\frac{r}{p} - \frac{r}{q}} \exp \left( \mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa)|^q) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.1}$$

**Proof.** Applying the inequality (2.2) for  $z = \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)|^q \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ , we have

$$\left[ \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)|^q \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]^r \leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} \exp \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)|^q \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Choosing  $F(\gamma) = \gamma^{\frac{q}{p}}$  in Theorem 2.1, which for  $0 < p < q \leq 1$  is obviously a convex function on  $[0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)|^p \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{r}{p} - \frac{r}{q}} \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)|^q \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \\
& \leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{r}{p} - \frac{r}{q}} \exp \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)|^q \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $|\Upsilon(\gamma)|$  by  $h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma))|\Upsilon(\gamma)|$  in the last inequalities, we get the desired inequality. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed.  $\square$

Next, we give an extension of Qi's inequality by using the time scale  $\nabla$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $0 < p < q \leq 1$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\Upsilon, \Phi \in C_{ld}([\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$  be  $\nabla$ -integrable functions such that  $\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)||\Phi(\kappa)|^q) < \infty$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\hat{h}_{\alpha-1}(\cdot, \cdot) > 0$ , we have the following inequality*

$$(\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)||\Phi(\kappa)|^p))^{\frac{r}{p}} \leq \frac{r^r}{e^r} (\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)|))^{\frac{r}{p}-\frac{r}{q}} \exp(\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)||\Phi(\kappa)|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (4.3)$$

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\kappa = \omega$  and  $\Upsilon, \Phi \geq 0$ . Then inequality (4.1) reduces to inequality (1.3).

Next, we give another extension of Qi's inequality by using the time scale  $\Delta$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$  for  $r, s > 1$  and  $\Upsilon, \Phi, \Psi \in C_{rd}([\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R} - \{0\})$  be  $\Delta$ -integrable functions such that  $0 < m \leq \frac{|\Phi(\gamma)|^r}{|\Psi(\gamma)|^s} \leq M < \infty$  on the set  $[\xi, \kappa]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $h_{\alpha-1}(\cdot, \cdot) > 0$ . Then we have the following inequality*

$$(\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)||\Phi(\kappa)|^r))^{\frac{1}{r}} (\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)||\Psi(\kappa)|^s))^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{rs}} \mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)||\Phi(\kappa)\Psi(\kappa)|), \quad (4.4)$$

and hence deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa)|^r)) (\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Psi(\kappa)|^{\frac{r}{r-1}}))^{r-1} &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} (\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa)\Psi(\kappa)|))^r \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \frac{r^r}{e^r} \exp(\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa)\Psi(\kappa)|)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

**Proof.** Using the given condition, for  $\gamma \in [\xi, \kappa]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$|\Psi(\gamma)| \geq M^{-\frac{1}{s}} |\Phi(\gamma)|^{\frac{r}{s}}.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma))|\Upsilon(\gamma)|$  and integrating over  $\gamma$  from  $\xi$  to  $\kappa$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\xi}^{\kappa} h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma))|\Upsilon(\gamma)||\Phi(\gamma)|^r \Delta\gamma\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq M^{\frac{1}{rs}} \left(\int_{\xi}^{\kappa} h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma))|\Upsilon(\gamma)||\Phi(\gamma)\Psi(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|\Phi(\gamma)| \geq m^{\frac{1}{r}} |\Psi(\gamma)|^{\frac{s}{r}}.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma))|\Upsilon(\gamma)|$  and integrating over  $\gamma$  from  $\xi$  to  $\kappa$ , we have

$$\left(\int_{\xi}^{\kappa} h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma))|\Upsilon(\gamma)||\Psi(\gamma)|^s \Delta\gamma\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\leq m^{-\frac{1}{rs}} \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma)) |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma) \Psi(\gamma)| \Delta \gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Combining (4.6) and (4.7), we get (4.4).

When  $\Upsilon \equiv 1$ , then (4.4) takes the form

$$(\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa)|^r))^{\frac{1}{r}} (\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Psi(\kappa)|^s))^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{rs}} \mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa) \Psi(\kappa)|). \quad (4.8)$$

Applying (2.2) to  $z = \mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa) \Psi(\kappa)|)$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we get (4.5) from (4.8). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

Next, we give another extension of Qi's inequality by using the time scale  $\nabla$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$  for  $r, s > 1$  and  $\Upsilon, \Phi, \Psi \in C_{ld}([\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R} - \{0\})$  be  $\nabla$ -integrable functions such that  $0 < m \leq \frac{|\Phi(\gamma)|^r}{|\Psi(\gamma)|^s} \leq M < \infty$  on the set  $[\xi, \kappa]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\hat{h}_{\alpha-1}(\cdot, \cdot) > 0$ . Then we have the following inequality

$$(\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa)|^r))^{\frac{1}{r}} (\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Psi(\kappa)|^s))^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{rs}} \mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa) \Psi(\kappa)|), \quad (4.9)$$

and hence deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa)|^r)) (\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Psi(\kappa)|^{\frac{r}{r-1}}))^{r-1} &\leq \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} (\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa) \Psi(\kappa)|))^r \\ &\leq \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \frac{r^r}{e^r} \exp(\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Phi(\kappa) \Psi(\kappa)|)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

Now, we give an extension of Cauchy–Schwarz's inequality by using the time scale  $\Delta$ -Riemann–Liouville type fractional integral.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $\Upsilon, \Phi, \Psi \in C_{rd}([\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$  be  $\Delta$ -integrable functions. We assume that  $m, n, M, N \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $(N|\Psi(\gamma)| - m|\Phi(\gamma)|)(M|\Phi(\gamma)| - n|\Psi(\gamma)|) \geq 0$  on the set  $[\xi, \kappa]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $h_{\alpha-1}(\cdot, \cdot) > 0$ . Then we have the following inequality

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa)|) \mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Psi(\kappa)|)}{\mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)|) \mathcal{I}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa) \Psi(\kappa)|)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{MN}{mn}} + \sqrt{\frac{mn}{MN}} \right). \quad (4.11)$$

**Proof.** From the given condition, for  $\gamma \in [\xi, \kappa]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$(MN + mn)|\Phi(\gamma) \Psi(\gamma)| \geq Mm|\Phi(\gamma)|^2 + Nn|\Psi(\gamma)|^2. \quad (4.12)$$

Multiplying both sides of inequality (4.12) by  $|\Upsilon(\gamma)|$  and integrating over  $\gamma$  from  $\xi$  to  $\kappa$ , we obtain

$$(MN + mn) \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma) \Psi(\gamma)| \Delta \gamma$$



$$\geq Mm \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)|^2 \Delta\gamma + Nn \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Psi(\gamma)|^2 \Delta\gamma. \quad (4.13)$$

From Jensen's inequality (2.9), we have

$$\left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right) \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)|^2 \Delta\gamma \right), \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Psi(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right) \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Psi(\gamma)|^2 \Delta\gamma \right). \quad (4.15)$$

By using inequalities (4.14) and (4.15) and applying the AM-GM inequality, the inequality (4.13) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & (MN + mn) \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right) \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)| |\Psi(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right) \\ & \geq Mm \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right)^2 + Nn \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Psi(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right)^2 \\ & \geq 2\sqrt{MNmn} \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Phi(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right) \left( \int_{\xi}^{\kappa} |\Upsilon(\gamma)| |\Psi(\gamma)| \Delta\gamma \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Replacing  $|\Upsilon(\gamma)|$  by  $h_{\alpha-1}(\kappa, \sigma(\gamma))|\Upsilon(\gamma)|$  in (4.16), we obtain the desired claim.  $\square$

Next, we give an extension of Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality by using the time scale  $\nabla$ -Riemann-Liouville type fractional integral.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $\Upsilon, \Phi, \Psi \in C_{ld}([\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$  be  $\nabla$ -integrable functions. We assume that  $m, n, M, N \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $(N|\Psi(\gamma)| - m|\Phi(\gamma)|)(M|\Phi(\gamma)| - n|\Psi(\gamma)|) \geq 0$  on the set  $[\xi, \kappa]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\forall \kappa \in [\xi, \omega]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\hat{h}_{\alpha-1}(\cdot, \cdot) > 0$ . Then we have the following inequality*

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa)|) \mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Psi(\kappa)|)}{\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)|) \mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(|\Upsilon(\kappa)| |\Phi(\kappa)| |\Psi(\kappa)|)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{MN}{mn}} + \sqrt{\frac{mn}{MN}} \right). \quad (4.17)$$

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.5.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan and S.H. Saker, *Dynamic Inequalities on Time Scales*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, 2014.
- [2] L. Akin, *On innovations of  $n$ -dimensional integral-type inequality on time scales*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2021**, 148, (2021).
- [3] G.A. Anastassiou, *Principles of delta fractional calculus on time scales and inequalities*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, **52**(3-4), 556–566, (2010).
- [4] G.A. Anastassiou, *Foundations of nabla fractional calculus on time scales and inequalities*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, **59**(12), 3750–3762, (2010).
- [5] G.A. Anastassiou, *Integral operator inequalities on time scales*, International Journal of Difference Equations, **7**(2), 111–137, (2012).

- [6] B. Benharrat, A. El Farissi and Z. Latreuch, *On open problems of F. Qi*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., **10**(3), Art. 90, (2009).
- [7] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [8] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [9] M. Bohner and H. Luo, *Singular second-order multipoint dynamic boundary value problems with mixed derivatives*, Advances in Difference Equations, 1–15, (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1155/ade/2006/54989>.
- [10] D.K. Callebaut, *Generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **12**, 491–494, (1965).
- [11] S.S. Dragomir, *Some results for isotonic functionals via an inequality due to Liao, Wu and Zhao*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll., 11 pages, (2015).
- [12] B. Halim and A. Senouci, *Some generalizations involving open problems of F. Qi*, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., **12**(1), 9–21, (2019).
- [13] S. Hilger, *Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg, 1988.
- [14] W. Liao, J. Wu and J. Zhao, *New versions of reverse Young and Heinz mean inequalities with the Kantorovich constant*, Taiwanese J. Math., **19**(2), 467–479, (2015).
- [15] H.M. Rezk, G. ALNemer, A.I. Saied, E. Awwad and M. Zakarya, *Multidimensional reverse Hölder inequality on time scales*, Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation, **13**(1), 298–312, (2023).
- [16] M.J.S. Sahir, *Consonancy of dynamic inequalities correlated on time scale calculus*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, **51**(3), 233–243, (2020).
- [17] M.J.S. Sahir, *Homogeneity of classical and dynamic inequalities compatible on time scales*, International Journal of Difference Equations, **15**(1), 173–186, (2020).
- [18] M.J.S. Sahir, *Analogy of classical and dynamic inequalities merging on time scales*, Journal of Mathematics and Applications, **43**, 139–152, (2020).
- [19] M.J.S. Sahir, *Reconciliation of discrete and continuous versions of some dynamic inequalities synthesized on time scale calculus*, Communications in Mathematics, **28**, 277–287, (2020).
- [20] S.H. Saker, M.M. Osman and D.R. Anderson, *On a new class of dynamic Hardy-type inequalities and some related generalizations*, Aequat. Math., **96**, 773–793, (2022).
- [21] Q. Sheng, M. Fadag, J. Henderson and J.M. Davis, *An exploration of combined dynamic derivatives on time scales and their applications*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., **7**(3), 395–413, (2006).
- [22] H.N. Shi, *Solution of an open problem proposed by Feng Qi*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll., **10**(4), Art. 9, (2007).
- [23] M. Zakarya, H.A. Abd El-Hamid, G. ALNemer and H.M. Rezk, *More on Hölder's inequality and its reverse via the diamond-alpha integral*, Symmetry, **2020**, 12, 1716; doi:10.3390/sym12101716.

- 
- [24] M. Zakarya, M. Altanji, G. AlNemer, H.A. Abd El-Hamid, C. Cesarano and H.M. Rezk, *Fractional reverse Coposn's inequalities via conformable calculus on time scales*, Symmetry, **13**(4), 1–16, (2021).