On a Class of Nonlinear Elliptic Problems Involving the lpha(z)-Biharmonic Operator with an l(z)-Hardy Term

Aicha Oubaha¹, Noureddine Moujane^{1,†}, Mohamed El Ouaarabi^{1,2} and Abderrahmane Raji¹

Abstract By applying the Mountain Pass Theorem, we establish the existence of a weak solution for a class of nonlinear elliptic problem involving an $\alpha(z)$ -biharmonic operator and with an l(z)-hardy term in a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N . Provided that certain additional assumptions are made regarding the nonlinearities, the corresponding functional will satisfy the Palais-Smale condition.

Keywords $\alpha(z)$ -biharmonic operator, variable exponents, l(z)-Hardy term, Hardy-Rellich inequality, Mountain Pass Theorem

MSC(2010) 58E05, 35J60, 47J05.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the existence of weak solution of the following elliptic problem involving an $\alpha(z)$ -biharmonic operator and l(z)-hardy term

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta_{\alpha(z)}^2 v = \lambda_1 \frac{|v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} + \lambda_2 Q(z) |v|^{\beta(z)-2} v + \lambda_3 g(z, v) & in \quad \mathfrak{D}, \\
\Delta v = v = 0 & on \quad \partial \mathfrak{D},
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where \mathfrak{D} is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary. We indicate by $\gamma(z) := dist(z,\partial\mathfrak{D})$ the distance from the point $z\in\mathfrak{D}$ to the boundary $\partial\mathfrak{D},\ \Delta^2_{\alpha(z)}v=\Delta\left(|\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)-2}\Delta v\right)$ is the $\alpha(z)$ -biharmonic operator, the exponents $\alpha,\ \beta$ and l are continuous functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{D}},\ \lambda_1,\ \lambda_2,\ \lambda_3$ are three positive parameters, $g:\mathfrak{D}\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and Q is an indefinite weight function.

 $^{^{\}dagger} {\rm the~corresponding~author.}$

Email address: aoubaha200@gmail.com(A. Oubaha), moujanenoureddine95@gmail.com(N. Moujane), mohamed.elouaarabi@etu.univh2c.ma(M. El Ouaarabi),

rajiabd2@gmail.com(A. Raji)

¹Applied Mathematics and Scientific Computing Laboratory, Faculty of Science and Technics, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Beni Mellal, BP 523, 23000, Morocco.

²Mathematical Analysis, Algebra and Applications Laboratory, Faculty of Sciences Aïn Chock, Hassan II University, Casablanca, BP 5366, 20100, Morocco.

Nonlinear singular elliptic problems have been a popular topic of study in recent years. They arise in some parts of science, such as boundary layer phenomena for viscous fluids, chemical heterogeneous catalysts, nonlinear electrorheological fluids and the flow in porous media. This has led to a great deal of excitement and interest from a number of authors in recent years, as the investigation of the existence and multiplicity of solutions for problems involving biharmonic, α -biharmonic and $\alpha(z)$ -biharmonic operators, where α is a continuous function, has attracted significant interest (see [2, 4, 13–15, 18, 20, 23–25]).

The same problem, for $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ is studied by Laghzal and Touzani [18]. The authors determined that there is at least one non-decreasing sequence of non-negative eigenvalues for their problem.

In [1], Taarabti, El Allali and Hadddouch studied the existence of solutions to a nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, by considering different situations with respect to the growth and they proved that a continuous family of eigenvalues exists.

In [16], the present author studied the existence of the following fourth-order, nonlinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\alpha(z)}^2 v + a(z) |v|^{\alpha(z) - 2} v = \lambda f(z, v) & \text{in } \mathfrak{D}, \\ \\ v = \Delta v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \mathfrak{D}. \end{cases}$$

for $\lambda > 0$, by using the Mountain Pass Theorem.

The remaining sections are organised as follows. In Section 2, we present fundamental results for the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev $L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ and $W^{m,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$. Moreover, the Mountain Pass Theorem is recalled (Theorem 2.2). Section 3, we prove that weak solutions exist for (1.1) by presenting several lemmas.

2. Preliminaries

For the reader's convenience, we recall in what follows some necessary background knowledge and propositions concerning the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces $L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ and $W^{m,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ where \mathfrak{D} is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (see for example [3,6,7,9,11,12,17,19,22]).

Let

$$C_{+}(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}) = \{ \alpha \in C(\overline{\mathfrak{D}}) : \alpha(z) > 1, \text{ for every } z \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}} \}.$$

For every $\alpha \in C_+(\overline{\mathfrak{D}})$, we define

$$\alpha^{+} = \max\{\alpha(z); \ z \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}\} \ and \ \alpha^{-} = \min\{\alpha(z); \ z \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}\}.$$

The generalized Lebesgue space $L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ is defined as

$$L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ \upsilon : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable and } \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\upsilon(z)|^{\alpha(z)} dz < \infty \right\}.$$

We endow it with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|v\|_{\alpha(z)} = \inf \Big\{ \theta > 0 : \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Big| \frac{v(z)}{\theta} \Big|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le 1 \Big\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. [9, 10, 21] The space $(L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D}), \|.\|_{\alpha(z)})$ is separable, uniformly convex, reflexive and its conjugate space is $L^{\beta(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ where $\beta(z)$ is the conjugate function of $\alpha(z)$, i.e

$$\frac{1}{\alpha(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta(z)} = 1$$
 for every $z \in \mathfrak{D}$.

For $v \in L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ and $v \in L^{\beta(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$, the Hölder inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} v(z)v(z)dz \right| \le \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{-}} + \frac{1}{\beta^{-}} \right) \|v\|_{\alpha(z)} \|v\|_{\beta(z)} \le 2\|v\|_{\alpha(z)} \|v\|_{\beta(z)},$$

holds true.

We denote by modular ρ the quantity

$$\rho(v) = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |v|^{\alpha(z)} dz.$$

Proposition 2.2. [9,17] if $v \in L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ and $\alpha^+ < \infty$, then

- 1. $\|v\|_{\alpha(z)} < 1 = 1; > 1$ equivalent $\rho(v) < 1 = 1; > 1$;
- 2. if $\|v\|_{\alpha(z)} > 1$, then $\|v\|_{\alpha(z)}^{\alpha^{-}} \le \rho(v) \le \|v\|_{\alpha(z)}^{\alpha^{+}}$;
- 3. if $\|v\|_{\alpha(z)} < 1$, then $\|v\|_{\alpha(z)}^{\alpha^+} \le \rho(v) \le \|v\|_{\alpha(z)}^{\alpha^-}$.

For every positive integer m, the Sobolev space with the variable exponent $W^{m,\alpha(z)}$ is given by

$$W^{m,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D}) = \left\{ v \in L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D}) : D^{\alpha}v \in L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D}), \quad |\alpha| \leq m \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$||v||_{m,\alpha(z)} = \sum_{|\kappa| \le m} |D^{\kappa}v|_{\alpha(z)},$$

where $D^{\kappa}v = \frac{\partial^{|\kappa|}}{\partial z_1^{\kappa_1}\partial z_2^{\kappa_2}...\partial z_N^{\kappa_N}}v$, with $\kappa = (\kappa_1, ..., \kappa_N)$ a multi-index and $|\kappa| = \sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i$.

The space $W^{m,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ is also a separable and reflexive Banach space. We refer the reader to the papers to [8,9,17].

Theorem 2.1. Let us consider the case where α and s are elements of $C(\overline{\mathfrak{D}})$, such that $s(z) \leq \alpha_m^*(z)$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{D}$, In this situation, a continuous embedding is available:

$$W^{m,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D}) \subset L^{s(z)}(\mathfrak{D}),$$

where

$$\alpha_m^*(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{N\alpha(z)}{N - m\alpha(z)} & if \quad m\alpha(z) < N, \\ +\infty & if \quad m\alpha(z) \ge N. \end{cases}$$

A change from the symbol \leq to < results in a compact embedding.

We'll call $W_0^{m,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{D})$ in $W^{m,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$.

In this paper, we shall look in the following space for a weak solution to problem (1.1).

$$\mathcal{W} = W_0^{1,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D}) \cap W^{2,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D}),$$

equipped with the norm

$$||v||_{\mathcal{W}} = ||v||_{1,\alpha(z)} + ||v||_{2,\alpha(z)}.$$

As stated in [26], the norm $\|.\|_{\mathcal{W}}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\Delta.\|_{\alpha(z)}$ in the space \mathcal{W} . Therefore, the norms $\|.\|_{2,\alpha(z)}$, $\|.\|_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\|\Delta.\|_{\alpha(z)}$ are equivalent. We may consider the following norm to be an equivalent norm in the space \mathcal{W} :

$$||v|| = ||\Delta v||_{\alpha(z)},$$

i.e.

$$||v|| = \inf \Big\{ \theta > 0 : \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Big| \frac{\Delta v(z)}{\theta} \Big|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le 1 \Big\}.$$

From Proposition 2.2 we get the following modular-type inequalities.

Proposition 2.3. [9,17] If $v \in L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ and $\alpha^+ < \infty$, then

1.
$$||v|| < 1 (=1; > 1)$$
 equivalent $\int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz < 1 (=1; > 1);$

2. if
$$||v|| > 1$$
, then $||v||^{\alpha^{-}} \le \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le ||v||^{\alpha^{+}}$;

3. if
$$||v|| < 1$$
, then $||v||^{\alpha^+} \le \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le ||v||^{\alpha^-}$.

In the third section we need the $l(\cdot)$ -Hardy-Rellich inequality, which is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [18] Assume that $1 < l^- \le l^+ < \alpha^- \le \alpha^+ < \frac{N}{2}$ and $l^+ < \alpha_2^*(z)$, for any $z \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that the $l(\cdot)$ -Hardy-Rellich inequality

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{\alpha(z)} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le C \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{l(z)} \frac{|v|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz,$$

holds in one of the following cases for all $v \in W_0^{2,\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$:

- $|v| \le \gamma(z)^2$ and $|\Delta v| \ge 1$.
- $|v| \ge \gamma(z)^2$ and $|\Delta v| \le 1$.

Now, we present the theorem underlying this work, the Mountain Pass Theorem:

Theorem 2.2. Let $(X, ||.||_X)$ be a Banach space. Assume that $\phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, $\phi(0) = 0$ and satisfies the three conditions

- 1. There exists $\rho, b > 0$ such that $\phi(v) \geq b$ for $||v||_X = \rho$.
- 2. There exists $v_0 \in X$ with $\|v_0\|_X > \varrho$ and such that $\phi(v_0) \leq 0$.

3. ϕ satisfies the condition of $(PS)_c$, that is, any sequence $(v_n)_n \subset X$ such that $\phi(v_n) \to c$ and $\phi'(v_n) \to 0$ in X^* as $n \to \infty$, has a convergent subsequence..

Then c is a critical value of ϕ , with

$$c = \inf_{\iota \in \Delta} \max_{h \in [0,1]} \phi(\iota(h)),$$

where Δ is the set of all paths connecting the origin to v_0 of X:

$$\Delta = \{ \iota \in C([0,1], X), \ \iota(0) = 0, \ \iota(1) = \upsilon_0 \} .$$

3. Basic assumptions and technical lemmas

In this section, we look at the existence of weak solutions to (1.1). To establish the existence result, we outline the assumptions pertinent to our problem. We assume that $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \mathfrak{D}$, $\alpha \in C_+(\overline{\mathfrak{D}})$ satisfies the log-Hölder continuity condition, $\beta, l \in C_+(\overline{\mathfrak{D}})$ and $\alpha(z) < \frac{N}{2}$ with $1 < l^- < l^+ < \alpha^- < \alpha^+ < \beta^- < \beta^+ \le \alpha_2^*(z)$ and $g: \mathfrak{D} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that:

 (\mathfrak{H}_1) g is a Carathéodory function, such that

$$|g(z,\upsilon)| \leq r(z) |\upsilon|^{\frac{\alpha(z)}{s_1(z)}}, \text{ for all } (z,\upsilon) \in \mathfrak{D} \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $r \in L^{s_1(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ is non-negative function and $\frac{1}{s_1(z)} + \frac{1}{\alpha(z)} = 1$.

 (\mathfrak{H}_2) There exists $\alpha^+ < \Theta < \beta^-$, such that

$$0 < \Theta G(z, v) < v q(z, v)$$
, for all $z \in \mathfrak{D}$,

where $G(z,v) = \int_0^v g(z,t)dt$.

 (\mathfrak{H}_3) The potential $Q \in L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{D}) \cap L^{s_2(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ is non-negative function, and $\frac{1}{s_2(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta(z)} = 1$.

Before presenting our main result, let us first recall the definition of weak solutions to equation (1.1).

Definition 3.1. $v \in \mathcal{W}$ is a weak solution of (1.1), if for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)-2} \Delta v \Delta \varphi dz - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{|v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \varphi dz - \lambda_2 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) |v|^{\beta(z)-2} v \varphi dz - \lambda_3 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} g(z,v) \varphi dz = 0.$$

Theorem 3.1. If the hypotheses (\mathfrak{H}_1) - (\mathfrak{H}_3) are satisfied, then problem (1.1) has a non-trivial weak solution for all $\lambda_1 \in (0, \lambda_1^*)$, $\lambda_2 \in (0, \lambda_2^*)$ and $\lambda_3 \in (0, \lambda_3^*)$.

The energy functional corresponding to the problem (1.1) is defined by the following equation

$$\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v) = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{\alpha(z)} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{\lambda_1}{l(z)} \frac{|v|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz - \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_2 \frac{Q(z)}{\beta(z)} |v|^{\beta(z)} dz - \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_3 G(z,v) dz.$$

Lemma 3.1. The functional $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}$ is well defined and $C^1(\mathbb{W},\mathcal{R})$. Moreover

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}'(v),\varphi\right\rangle &= \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)-2} \Delta v \Delta \varphi dz - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{|v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \varphi dz \\ &- \lambda_2 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) |v|^{\beta(z)-2} v \varphi dz - \lambda_3 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} g(z,v) \varphi dz. \end{split}$$

By combining (\mathfrak{H}_1) with (\mathfrak{H}_3) , it is easy to see that $\phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}$ belongs to the topological dual of \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 3.2. There exist $\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*, \lambda_3^* > 0$ such that for any $\lambda_1 \in (0, \lambda_1^*), \lambda_2 \in (0, \lambda_2^*)$ and $\lambda_3 \in (0, \lambda_3^*)$ there exist $\varrho, b > 0$ such that $\phi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3}(v) \geq b$ on $||v|| = \varrho$.

Proof. By l(z)-Hardy-Rellich inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{\alpha(z)} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le C \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{l(z)} \frac{|v|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz, \tag{3.1}$$

then

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{\alpha(z)} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{l(z)} \frac{|v|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\alpha^+} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \frac{\lambda_1}{C\alpha^+} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^+} - \frac{\lambda_1}{C\alpha^+}\right) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz.$$

On the other hand, by the use of the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} |G(z,v)| dz \leq \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left| \frac{r(z)}{\beta(z)} |v|^{\beta(z)} \right| dz$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\beta^{-}} ||r||_{s_{1}(z)} ||v|^{\beta(z)}|_{\alpha(z)}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\beta^{-}} ||r||_{s_{1}(z)} ||v||_{\alpha(z)}^{\beta^{i}},$$

with $\alpha(z) \leq \alpha_2^*(z)$. Theorem 2.1 which gives us the embedding $\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ is continuous, and we can find a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that:

$$||v||_{\alpha(z)} \le c_1 ||v||, \forall v \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} |G(z,v)| dz \le \frac{2c_1}{\beta^-} ||r||_{s_1(z)} ||v||^{\beta i},$$

where

$$i = \pm$$
 if $||v|| \ge 1$.

And we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Big| \frac{Q(z)}{\beta(z)} |v|^{\beta(z)} \Big| dz &\leq \frac{2}{\beta^{-}} \|Q\|_{s_{2}(z)} \|v|^{\beta(z)} \Big|_{s'_{2}(z)} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\beta^{-}} \|Q\|_{s_{2}(z)} \|v\|^{\beta^{i}}_{\beta(z)s'_{2}(z)}. \end{split}$$

Since the embedding $W \hookrightarrow L^{s'(z)\beta(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$ is continuous, we can find a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that:

$$||v||_{s_2'(z)\beta(z)} \le c_2||v||, \forall v \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left| \frac{Q(z)}{\beta(z)} |v|^{\beta(z)} \right| dz \le \frac{2c_2}{\beta^-} ||Q||_{s_2(z)} ||v||^{\beta^i},$$

with

$$i = \pm$$
 if $||v|| \ge 1$.

The above gives us

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3}}(v) &= \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{\alpha(z)} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \lambda_{1} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{l(z)} \frac{|v|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz - \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{2} \frac{Q(z)}{\beta(z)} |v|^{\beta(z)} dz \\ &- \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{3} G(z,v) dz \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{C\alpha^{+}} \right) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \frac{2\lambda_{2} c_{2}}{\beta^{-}} \|Q\|_{s_{2}(z)} \|v\|^{\beta^{i}} \\ &- \frac{2\lambda_{3} c_{1}}{\beta^{-}} \|r\|_{s_{1}(z)} \|v\|^{\beta^{i}} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{C\alpha^{+}} \right) \|v\|^{\alpha^{i}} - \left(\frac{2\lambda_{2} c_{2}}{\beta^{-}} \|Q\|_{s_{2}(z)} + \frac{2\lambda_{3} c_{1}}{\beta^{-}} \|r\|_{s_{1}(z)} \right) \|v\|^{\beta^{i}}, \end{split}$$

and c_1, c_2 are positives constants, for any $v \in \mathcal{W}$, with $||v|| = \varrho$, we have

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3}}(v) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{C\alpha^{+}}\right) \|v\|^{\alpha^{i}} - \left(\frac{2\lambda_{2}c_{2}}{\beta^{-}} \|Q\|_{s_{2}(z)} + \frac{2\lambda_{3}c_{1}}{\beta^{-}} \|r\|_{s_{1}(z)}\right) \|v\|^{\beta^{i}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{C\alpha^{+}}\right) \varrho^{\alpha^{i}} - \left(\frac{2\lambda_{2}c_{2}}{\beta^{-}} \|Q\|_{s_{2}(z)} + \frac{2\lambda_{3}c_{1}}{\beta^{-}} \|r\|_{s_{1}(z)}\right) \varrho^{\beta^{i}} \\ &= \varrho^{\beta^{i}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{C\alpha^{+}}\right) \varrho^{\alpha^{i} - \beta^{i}} - \frac{2\lambda_{2}c_{2}}{\beta^{-}} \|Q\|_{s_{2}(z)} - \frac{2\lambda_{3}c_{1}}{\beta^{-}} \|r\|_{s_{1}(z)}\right), \end{split}$$

where

$$i = \pm$$
 if $||v|| \ge 1$.

Putting

$$\lambda_1^* = \frac{C(\beta^- - 6)}{\beta^-} < C, \quad \lambda_2^* = \frac{\varrho^{\alpha^i - \beta^i}}{\alpha^+ c_2 \|Q\|_{s_2(z)}}, \quad \lambda_3^* = \frac{\varrho^{\alpha^i - \beta^i}}{\alpha^+ c_1 \|r\|_{s_1(z)}},$$

then for any $\lambda_1 \in (0, \lambda_1^*)$, $\lambda_2 \in (0, \lambda_2^*)$, $\lambda_3 \in (0, \lambda_3^*)$ and $v \in \mathcal{G}$, with $||v|| = \varrho$ sufficiently small, there exists $b = \frac{2\varrho^{\alpha^i - \beta^i}}{\alpha^+ \beta^-}$ such that

$$\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v) \ge b > 0.$$

Lemma 3.3. There exists $v_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ with $||v_0|| > \varrho$ such that $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_0) < 0$.

Proof. Now, we demonstrate that $v_0 \neq 0$ i.e. v_0 is a weak nontrivial solution of problem (1.1). Let $z_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_0$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in C_+(\bar{\mathfrak{D}})$, we can choose a > 0 small enough such that $B_a(z_0) \subset \mathfrak{D}_0$ and $\alpha_0^- := \min_{z \in B_a(z_0)} \alpha(z) < \beta_0^+ := \max_{z \in B_a(z_0)} \beta(z)$. Now, let us choose $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathfrak{D})$ with $|\psi| \leq 1$, $||\psi||_{W^{2,\alpha(z)}(B_a(z_0)) \cap W_0^{1,\alpha(z)}(B_a(z_0))} \leq c(a)$ and $|\psi|_{L^{s(z)}(B_a(z_0))} > 0$. Thus, for any $0 < t < \delta$ we deduce from (3.1) that

$$\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(t\psi)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{\alpha(z)} |\Delta t \psi|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{\lambda_1}{l(z)} \frac{|t \psi|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2\beta(z)}} dz - \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_2 \frac{Q(z)}{\beta(z)} |t \psi|^{\beta(z)} dz - \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_3 G(z, t \psi) dz \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha_0^-}}{\alpha^-} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta \psi|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \frac{\lambda_1 t^{\alpha_0^-}}{C} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta \psi|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \frac{2\lambda_2 c t^{\beta^-}}{\beta^+} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) |\psi|^{\beta(z)} dz \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha_0^-}}{\alpha^-} \max \left\{ c(a)^{\alpha_0^-}, c(a)^{p_0^+} \right\} - \frac{\lambda_1 t^{\alpha_0^-}}{\alpha^-} \min \left\{ c(a)^{\alpha_0^-}, c(a)^{\alpha_0^+} \right\} - \frac{2\lambda_2 c t^{\beta^-}}{\beta^+} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) |\psi|^{\beta(z)} dz. \end{split}$$

Since $\alpha_0^- < \beta_0^+$, we get $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(t_1\psi) < 0$ by taking $0 < t_1 < \delta$ small enough. Hence, $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_0) \le \phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(t_1\phi) < 0$.

Lemma 3.4. The functional $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition $(PS)_c$, for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let (v_n) be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for the functional $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}$ in \mathcal{W} i.e. $\phi(v_n)$ is bounded and $\phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_n) \to 0$. Then the sequence v_n is bounded in \mathcal{W} . In fact, since $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_n)$ is bounded, we have

$$\begin{split} C^{'} \geq & \phi_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3}}(v_{n}) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(z)} |\Delta v_{n}|^{\alpha(z)} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{l(z)} \frac{|v_{n}|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} - \lambda_{2} \frac{Q(z)}{\beta(z)} |v_{n}|^{\beta(z)} \right) dz - \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{3} G(z,v_{n}) dz \\ &\geq \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(z)} |\Delta v_{n}|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{l(z)} \frac{|v_{n}|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz - \lambda_{2} \frac{Q(z)}{\beta(z)} |v_{n}|^{\beta(z)} \right) dz \\ &- \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{\lambda_{3} v_{n}}{\Theta} g\left(z,v_{n}\right) dz. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\langle \phi'_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3}(v_n), v_n \rangle = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v_n|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{|v_n|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz - \lambda_2 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) |v_n|^{\beta(z)} dz - \lambda_3 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} g(z, v_n) v_n dz,$$

then

$$\begin{split} C^{'} \geq & \frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v_{n}|^{\alpha(z)} dz - \frac{1}{l^{-}} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{1} \frac{|v_{n}|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz - \frac{1}{\beta^{-}} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{2} Q(z) |v_{n}|^{\beta(z)} dz \\ & + \frac{1}{\Theta} \left\langle \phi_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3}}^{\prime} \left(v_{n} \right), v_{n} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\Theta} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v_{n}|^{\beta(z)} dz + \frac{1}{\Theta} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{1} \frac{|v_{n}|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz \\ & + \frac{1}{\Theta} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{2} Q(z) |v_{n}|^{\beta(z)} dz \\ \geq & \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} - \frac{1}{\Theta} \right) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v_{n}|^{\alpha(z)} dz + \left(\frac{1}{\Theta} - \frac{1}{l^{-}} \right) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{1} \frac{|v_{n}|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{\Theta} - \frac{1}{\beta^{-}} \right) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{2} Q(z) |v_{n}|^{\beta(z)} dz + \frac{1}{\Theta} \left\langle \phi_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3}}^{\prime} \left(v_{n} \right), v_{n} \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

By contradiction, we assume that (v_n) is unbounded in \mathcal{W} . In particular, for n large enough, we can choose $||v_n|| \geq 1$. Therefore, there exists C'' > 0 in such a way that

$$-C''\|v_n\| \le \langle \phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_n), v_n \rangle \le C''\|v_n\|,$$

because $\phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_n) \to 0$. To that end,

$$C' \geq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} - \frac{1}{\Theta}\right) \|v_{n}\|^{\alpha^{-}} + \left(\frac{1}{\Theta} - \frac{1}{l^{-}}\right) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{1} \frac{|v_{n}|^{l(z)}}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} dz$$
$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\Theta} - \frac{1}{\beta^{-}}\right) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \lambda_{2} Q(z) |v_{n}|^{\beta(z)} dz - \frac{1}{\Theta} C'' \|v_{n}\|$$
$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{+}} - \frac{1}{\Theta}\right) \|v_{n}\|^{\alpha^{-}} - \frac{1}{\Theta} C'' \|v_{n}\|.$$

If we divide by $||v_n||^{\alpha^-}$ in the last inequality and let $n \to \infty$, we get a contradiction. The consequence is that the sequence $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in \mathcal{W} . Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{v_n\}$ is weakly convergent to v in \mathcal{W} . Then for all $s(z) < \alpha_2^*(z)$, $\{v_n\}$ converges strongly to v in $L^{s(z)}(\mathfrak{D})$.

Since
$$\phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3} \longrightarrow 0$$
 in \mathcal{W}^* , we conclude that $\left\langle \phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_n), v_n - v \right\rangle \longrightarrow 0$.

We also have $\left\langle \phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v), v_n - v \right\rangle \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ because v_n converges weakly to v in \mathcal{W} .

Thus,

$$\langle \phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_n) - \phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v), v_n - v \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using \mathfrak{H}_1 , we get

$$\begin{split} & \left| \lambda_3 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(g\left(z, v_n \right) - g(z, v) \right) \left(v_n - v \right) dz \right| \\ \leq & \lambda_3 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left| g\left(z, v_n \right) - g(z, v) \right| \left| v_n - v \right| dz \\ \leq & \lambda_3 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} r(z) \left(\left| v_n \right|^{\alpha(z)} + \left| v \right|^{\alpha(z) - 2} v_n v + \left| v \right|^{\alpha(z)} + \left| v_n \right|^{\alpha(z) - 2} v_n v \right) dz. \end{split}$$

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} r(z) |v_n|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le 2 ||r||_{s_1(z)} ||v_n|^{\alpha(z)}|_{\alpha(z)} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Using Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} r(z) |v_n|^{\alpha(z)-1} |v| dz &\leq \int_{\mathfrak{D}} r(z) \left(|v_n|^{\alpha(z)} + |v|^{\alpha(z)} \right) dz \\ &\leq 2 \|r\|_{s_1(z)} \left(\|v_n\|^{\alpha(z)} \|_{\alpha(z)} + \|v|^{\alpha(z)} |_{\alpha(z)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we show that the last two terms are less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. Then

$$\left| \lambda_3 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(g(z, v_n) - g(z, v) \right) (v_n - v) \, dz \right| \longrightarrow 0, \text{ when } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$
 (3.2)

On the other hand, we show that

$$\left| \lambda_2 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) \left(|v_n|^{\beta(z) - 2} v_n - |v|^{\beta(z) - 2} \right) (v_n - v) dz \right| \longrightarrow 0, \text{ when } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) |v_n|^{\beta(z)} dz \le 2 \|Q\|_{s_2(z)} \|v_n|^{\beta(z)}|_{\beta(z)} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Using Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) |v_n|^{\beta(z) - 1} |v| dz &\leq \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) \left(|v_n|^{\beta(z)} + |v|^{\beta(z)} \right) dz \\ &\leq 2 \|Q\|_{s_2(z)} \left(|v_n|^{\beta(z)}|_{\beta(z)} + \|v|^{\beta(z)}|_{\beta(z)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\left| \lambda_2 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) \left(|v_n|^{\beta(z) - 2} v_n - |v|^{\beta(z) - 2} \right) (v_n - v) dz \right|$$

$$\leq \lambda_2 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) \left(|v_n|^{\beta(z)} + |v|^{\beta(z) - 2} v_n v + |v|^{\beta(z)} + |v_n|^{\beta(z) - 2} v_n v \right) dz$$

$$\leq \varepsilon. \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{|v_n|^{l(z)-2} v_n - |v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right) (v_n - v) dz \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\{z \in \mathfrak{D}: \gamma(z) > 1\}} \left| \frac{|v_n|^{l(z)-2} v_n - |v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right| |v_n - v| dz$$

$$+ \int_{\{z \in \mathfrak{D}: \gamma(z) \leq 1\}} \left| \frac{|v_n|^{l(z)-2} v_n - |v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right| |v_n - v| dz.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{|v_n|^{l(z)-2} v_n - |v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right) (v_n - v) dz \right| \\ \leq & \int_{\{z \in \mathfrak{D}: \gamma(z) > 1\}} \left(|v_n|^{l(z)} + |v|^{l(z)-1} v_n + |v_n|^{l(z)-1} v + |v|^{l(z)} \right) dz \\ & + \int_{\{z \in \mathfrak{D}: \gamma(z) \leq 1\}} \frac{1}{\gamma(z)^2} \frac{\left(|v_n|^{l(z)} + |v|^{l(z)-2} v_n v + |v_n|^{l(z)-2} v_n v + |v|^{l(z)} \right)}{\gamma(z)^{2(l(z)-1)}} dz. \end{split}$$

By applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{|\upsilon_{n}|^{l(z)-2} \upsilon_{n} - |\upsilon|^{l(z)-2} \upsilon}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right) (\upsilon_{n} - \upsilon) dz \right| \\ \leq & c_{7} \left(\|\upsilon_{n}\|_{\beta(z)}^{l(z)} + \|\upsilon\|_{s_{2}(z)}^{l(z)-1} \|\upsilon_{n}\|_{\beta(z)} + \|\upsilon_{n}\|_{s_{2}(z)}^{l(z)-1} \|\upsilon\|_{\beta(z)} \\ & + \|\upsilon_{n}\|_{\alpha(z)}^{l(z)} + \|\frac{\upsilon_{n}}{\gamma(z)^{2}}\|_{\beta(z)}^{l(z)} + \|\frac{\upsilon}{\gamma(z)^{2}}\|_{s_{2}(z)}^{l(z)-1} \|\frac{\upsilon_{n}}{\gamma(z)^{2}}\|_{\beta(z)} \\ & + \|\frac{\upsilon_{n}}{\gamma(z)^{2}}\|_{s_{2}(z)}^{l(z)-1} \|\frac{\upsilon}{\gamma(z)^{2}}\|_{\beta(z)} + \|\frac{\upsilon}{\gamma(z)^{2}}\|_{\beta(z)}^{l(z)} \right). \end{split}$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{|v_{n}|^{l(z)-2}v_{n} - |v|^{l(z)-2}v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right) (v_{n} - v) dz \right|$$

$$\leq c_{7} \left(\|v_{n}\|_{\beta(z)}^{l(z)} + \|v\|_{s_{2}(z)}^{l(z)-1} \|v_{n}\|_{\beta(z)} + \|v_{n}\|_{s_{2}(z)}^{l(z)-1} \|v\|_{\beta(z)} + \|v_{n}\|_{\alpha(z)}^{l(z)} + \frac{1}{C} \left(\|\Delta v_{n}\|_{\beta(z)}^{l(z)} + \frac{1}{C} \|\Delta v\|_{s_{2}(z)}^{l(z)-1} \|\Delta v_{n}\|_{\beta(z)} + \frac{1}{C} \|\Delta v_{n}\|_{s_{2}(z)}^{l(z)-1} \|\Delta v\|_{\beta(z)} + \|\Delta v\|_{\beta(z)} \right) \right).$$

Then

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{|v_n|^{l(z)-2} v_n - |v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right) (v_n - v) dz \right|$$

$$\leq c_7 \left(k_1 \left(\|v_n\|^{l(z)} + \|v\|^{l(z)-1} \|v_n\| + \|v_n\|^{l(z)-1} \|v\| + \|v_n\|^{\beta(z)} \right) + \frac{1}{C} \left(\|v_n\|^{l(z)} + \frac{1}{C} \|v\|^{l(z)-1} \|v\| + \frac{1}{C} \|v_n\|^{l(z)-1} \|v\| + \|v\|^{l(z)} \right) \right)$$

where k_1 is a constant given by the embedding of $W_0^{2,\alpha(\cdot)}(\mathfrak{D})$ in $L^{\beta(\cdot)}(\mathfrak{D})$. Hence

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{|v_n|^{l(z)-2} v_n - |v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right) (v_n - v) dz \right|$$

$$\leq c_7 \left(k_1 + \frac{1}{C} \right) \left(\|v_n\|^{l(z)} + \|v\|^{l(z)} \right)$$

$$+ c_7 \left(k_1 + \frac{1}{C^2} \right) \left(\|v\|^{l(z)-1} \|v_n\| + \|v_n\|^{l(z)-1} \|v\| \right)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon.$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\frac{|v_n|^{l(z)-2} v_n - |v|^{l(z)-2} v}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} \right) (v_n - v) dz \right| \longrightarrow 0, \text{ when } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$
 (3.4)

The last step consists of using the following elementary inequalities (see [5]):

$$(|\nu|^{j-2}\nu - |\varsigma|^{j-2}\varsigma)(\nu - \varsigma) \ge \frac{1}{2^j}|\nu - \varsigma|^j, \quad j \ge 2,$$
 (3.5)

$$(|\nu|^{j-2}\nu - |\varsigma|^{j-2}\varsigma)(\nu - \varsigma)(|\nu| + |\varsigma|)^{2-j} \ge (j-1)|\nu - \varsigma|^2, \quad 1 < j < 2, \tag{3.6}$$

for all $\varsigma, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Put

$$\mathfrak{U}_{\alpha(z)} := \{ z \in \mathfrak{D} : \alpha(z) \ge 2 \}, \quad \mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)} := \{ z \in \mathfrak{D} : 1 < \alpha(z) < 2 \},$$

Then, from (3.5) and (3.6) it follows that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{U}_{\alpha(z)}} |\Delta v_n - \Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le c_8 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda^{(1)} (\Delta v_n, \Delta v) dz, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\int_{\nu_{\alpha(z)}} |\nabla \nu_n - \nabla \nu|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le c_8 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda^{(N)} (\nabla \nu_n, \nabla \nu) dz, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} |\Delta v_n - \Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le c_9 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_n, \Delta v \right) \right)^{\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} \left(\Upsilon^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_n, \Delta v \right) \right)^{(2-\alpha(z))\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} dz,$$

$$\int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \le c_9 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\Lambda^{(N)} \left(\nabla v_n, \nabla v \right) \right)^{\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} \left(v^{(N)} \left(\nabla v_n, \nabla v \right) \right)^{(2-\alpha(z)\frac{\alpha(z)}{2})} dz, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\Lambda^{(k)}, \Upsilon^{(k)}: \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}, k = 1, N$, are defined by the following expressions

$$\Lambda^{(k)}(\nu,\varsigma) := \left(|\nu|^{\alpha(z)-2}\nu - |\varsigma|^{\alpha(z)-2}\varsigma \right) (\nu-\varsigma), \quad \Upsilon^{(k)}(\nu,\varsigma) := |\nu| + |\varsigma|,$$

for all $\varsigma, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^k, k = 1, N$. Now, according to the definition of the function $\phi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3}$ and relations (3.7), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\left| \Delta v_n \right|^{\alpha(z)-2} \Delta v_n - \left| \Delta v \right|^{\alpha(z)-2} \Delta v \right) \left(\Delta v_n - \Delta v \right) dz \\ &= \left\langle \phi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3} \left(v_n \right) - \varphi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3} (v), v_n - v \right\rangle + \lambda_1 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{\left(\left| v_n \right|^{l(z)-2} v_n - \left| v \right|^{l(z)-2} v \right)}{\gamma(z)^{2l(z)}} (v_n - v) dz \\ &+ \lambda_2 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} Q(z) \left(\left| v_n \right|^{\beta(z)-2} v_n - \left| v \right|^{\beta(z)-2} \right) (v_n - v) dz + \lambda_3 \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(g\left(z, v_n \right) - g(z, v) \right) \left(v_n - v \right) dz, \\ &\to 0 \end{split}$$

when $n \to \infty$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda^{(1)} (\Delta v_n, \Delta v) dz = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda^{(N)} (\nabla v_n, \nabla v) dz = 0.$$
 (3.11)

We can therefore assume that $0 \leq \int_{-1}^{1} \Lambda^{(1)}(\Delta v_n, \Delta v) dz < 1$.

Then, if $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda^{(1)} (\Delta v_n, \Delta v) dz = 0$, then $\Lambda^{(1)} (\Delta v_n, \Delta v) = 0$ since $\Lambda^{(1)} (\Delta v_n, \Delta v) \ge 0$

If $0 < \int_{\Omega} \lambda^{(1)} (\Delta v_n, \Delta v) dz < 1$, then, due to the Young inequality

$$AB \le \frac{A^d}{d} + \frac{B^{d'}}{d'}, \quad \forall A, B > 0, \quad \frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{d'} = 1, \quad d, d' \in (1, +\infty),$$

with

$$A = \left(\Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_n, \Delta v\right)\right)^{\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} \Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_n, \Delta v\right) dz\right)^{\frac{-\alpha(z)}{2}},$$

$$B = \left(\Upsilon^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_n, \Delta v\right)\right)^{\frac{(2-\alpha(z))\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}}{2}},$$

$$d = \frac{2}{\alpha(z)} \text{ and } d' = \frac{2}{2-\alpha(z)},$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{split} &\left(\int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} \Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right) dz\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{V_{\alpha(z)}} \left(\Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right)\right)^{\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} \\ &\times \left(\Upsilon^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right)\right)^{(2-\alpha(z))\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} dz \\ &\leq \int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} \left(\Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right)\right)^{\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} \Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right) dz\right)^{-\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} \\ &\times \left(C^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right)\right)^{(2-\alpha(z))\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}} dz \\ &\leq \int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} \left(\Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right) \left(\int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} \Lambda^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right) dz\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\Upsilon^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right)\right)^{\alpha(z)} dz \\ &\leq 1 + \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\Upsilon^{(1)} \left(\Delta v_{n}, \Delta v\right)\right)^{\alpha(z)} dz. \end{split}$$

Hence, by relation (3.9),

$$\frac{1}{c_9} \int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} |\Delta v_n - \Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} \Lambda^{(1)} (\Delta v_n, \Delta v) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\Upsilon^{(1)} (\Delta v_n, \Delta v) \right)^{\alpha(z)} dz \right).$$

We also have

$$\frac{1}{c_{9}} \int_{\mathfrak{U}_{\alpha(z)}} |\nabla v_{n} - \nabla v|^{\alpha(z)} dz$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathfrak{U}_{\alpha(z)}} \Lambda^{(N)} (\nabla v_{n}, \nabla v) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(\Upsilon^{(N)} (\nabla v_{n}, \nabla v) \right)^{\alpha(z)} dz \right).$$
(3.12)

By (3.7), (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\Delta v_n - \Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz = \int_{\mathfrak{U}_{\alpha(z)}} |\Delta v_n - \Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz + \int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} |\Delta v_n - \Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \to 0,$$

if $n \to \infty$. In a similar way, from (3.8), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{\alpha(z)} dz = \int_{\mathfrak{U}_{\alpha(z)}} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{\alpha(z)} dz + \int_{\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha(z)}} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{\alpha(z)} dz \to 0.$$

Therefore,

$$|v_n - v|^{\alpha^+} \le \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \left(|\Delta v_n - \Delta v|^{\alpha(z)} + |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{\alpha(z)} \right) d \to 0,$$

when $n \to \infty$. So, the sequence $\{v_n\}$ strongly converges to $v \in \mathcal{W}$ and the functional $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition in \mathcal{W} .

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Set

$$\Delta = \{ \iota \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{W}), \quad \iota(0) = 0, \quad \iota(1) = \upsilon_0 \},$$

$$c = \inf_{\iota \in \Delta} \max_{h \in [0, 1]} \phi(\iota(h)).$$

The energy functional $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}$ satisfies the geometric conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem according to Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4. Hence c is a critical value of $\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}$ associated with a critical point $v \in \mathcal{W}$, which is exactly a solution of (1.1).

Data availability statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Conflict of interest

This work does not have any conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Z.E. Allali, M.K. Hamdani and S. Taarabti, Three solutions to a Neumann boundary value problem driven by p(x)-biharmonic operator, Journal of Elliptic and Parabolic Equations, 2024, 10(1), 195–209.
- [2] M. Allalou, M. El Ouaarabi and A. Raji, On a Class of p(z)-Biharmonic Kirch-hoff Type Problems with Indefinite Weight and No-Flow Boundary Condition, Iran J Sci, 2025, 49, 151–160.
- [3] M. Allalou, M. El Ouaarabi and A. Raji, On a class of nonhomogeneous anisotropic elliptic problem with variable exponents, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2, 2024, 73(8), 3195–3209.
- [4] M.M. Boureanu, V.R. ădulescu and D. Repovš, On a p(.)-biharmonic problem with no-flux boundary condition, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 2016, 72(9), 2505–2515.
- [5] N.T. Chung, Existence of solutions for perturbed fourth order elliptic equations with variable exponents, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations, 2018, 2018(96), 1–19.
- [6] M. El Ouaarabi, N. Moujane and S. Melliani, Existence of three solutions to a p(z)-Laplacian-Like Robin problem, ANNALI DELL'UNIVERSITA'DI FER-RARA, 2024, 70(4), 1375–1388.

Ĺ

- [7] M. El Ouaarabi, C. Allalou and S. Melliani, Existence result for Neumann problems with p(x)-Laplacian-like operators in generalized Sobolev spaces, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2, 2023, 72, 1337–1350.
- [8] M. El Ouaarabi, N. Moujane, C. Allalou and S. Melliani, On a Neumann problem driven by p(x)-Laplacian-like operators in variable-exponent Sobolev spaces, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 2024, 13(1).
- [9] X. Fan and D. Zhao, On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2001, 263(2), 424–446.
- [10] Y. Fadil, M. El Ouaarabi, C. Allalou and M. Oukessou, Nonlinear degenerate Navier problem involving the weighted biharmonic operator with measure data in weighted Sobolev spaces, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex, 2024 30, 13.
- [11] M. K. Hamdani, N. T. Chung and D. D. Repovš, New class of sixth-order nonhomogeneous p(x)-Kirchhoff problems with sign-changing weight functions, Advances in Nonlinear Analysis, 2021 10(1), 1117–1131.
- [12] M. K. Hamdani, A. Harrabi, F. Mtiri and D. D. Repovš, Existence and multiplicity results for a new p(x)-Kirchhoff problem, Nonlinear Analysis, 2020 190, 111598.
- [13] A. Harrabi, M. K. Hamdani and A. Fiscella, On m(x)-polyharmonic Kirch-hoff problem without any growth near 0 and Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz conditions, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 2024.
- [14] A. Khaleghi, A. Razani and F. Safari, Three Weak Solutions for a Class of p(x)-Kirchhoff Type Biharmonic Problems, Lobachevskii J. Math, 2023 44, 5298–5305.
- [15] A. Khaleghi and A. Razani, Multiple Solutions for a Class of Biharmonic Nonlocal Elliptic Systems, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys, 2024, 31, 31.
- [16] L. Kong, Multiple solutions for fourth order elliptic problems with p(x)biharmonic operators, Opuscula Mathematica, 2016, 36(2), 253–264.
- [17] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{q(x)}$ and $W^{k,q(x)}$, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 1991, 41(4), 592–618.
- [18] M. Laghzal, A. Touzani, Existence of Mountain-pass solutions for $p(\cdot)$ -biharmonic equations with Rellich-type term, Filomat, 2023, 37(5), 1549–1560.
- [19] J. Liu, K. Kefi and M. K. Hamdani, Existence of solutions for singular elliptic equations with mixed boundary conditions, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 2024, 1–14.
- [20] A. Messaoudi, Existence results for problems involving the p(x)-biharmonic operator, Mathematical Reports, 2023, 25(4), 527–542.
- [21] N. Moujane and M. El Ouaarabi, On a class of Schrödinger-Kirchhoff-double phase problems with convection term and variable exponents, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 2025, 141, 108453.
- [22] Moujane, N., Melliani, S., El Ouaarabi, M., On a class of Leray-Lions type problem in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series A: Mathematical Analysis, 2024, 31(6), 431–445.
- [23] M.E. Ould El Mokhtar, Existence and nonexistence for boundary problem involving the p-biharmonic operator and singular nonlinearities, Journal of Function Spaces, 2023, 2023(1), 7311332.

- [24] A. Razani, G.S. Costa and G.M. Figueiredo, A Positive Solution for a Weighted p-Laplace Equation with Hardy-Sobolev's Critical Exponent, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc, 2024, 47, 61.
- [25] Q. Wang and C. Xia, Universal bounds for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator on Riemannian manifolds, Journal of Functional Analysis, 2007 245(1), 334–352.
- [26] A.Y. Zang and Q. Fu, Interpolation inequalities for derivatives in variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces, Nonlinear Analysis, 2008, 69, 3629–3636.