

## The Nonexistence of the Solutions for the Non-Newtonian Filtration Equation with Absorption

OU Qitong\*

School of Applied Mathematics, Xiamen University of Technology, Xiamen 361024, China.

Received 24 December 2020; Accepted 3 July 2021

---

**Abstract.** The paper proves the nonexistence of the solution for the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \operatorname{div} \left( |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m \right) - \lambda u^q, & (x, t) \in S_T = \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = \delta(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

under some conditions on  $m, p, q, \lambda$ , where  $\delta$  is Dirac function.

**AMS Subject Classifications:** 35B40, 35K65, 35K55

**Chinese Library Classifications:** O175.29, O175.26

**Key Words:** Non-Newtonian filtration equation; Cauchy problem; nonexistence.

---

### 1 Introduction

The polytropic filtration equation  $u_t = \operatorname{div} \left( |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m \right)$  has profound physical background [1]. If  $p=2$ , it is a porous media equation. If  $m=1$ , it is an evolutionary  $p$ -Laplacian equation. In this paper, we mainly pay attention on the following Cauchy problem in which the initial value is a Dirac measure

$$u_t = \operatorname{div} \left( |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m \right) - \lambda u^q, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(x, 0) = \delta(x). \tag{1.2}$$

We denote  $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ , and introduce the following definition.

---

\*Corresponding author. Email address: ouqitong@xmut.edu.cn (Q. T. Ou)

**Definition 1.1.** A nonnegative function  $u(x, t)$  is said to be a weak solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2), if for any  $\tau > 0, R > 0$ ,

$$u \in C\left([0, T]; L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)\right) \cap L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^N \times (\tau, T)\right), \tag{1.3}$$

$$u^m \in L^p_{loc}\left([0, T]; W^{1,p}\left(B_R\right)\right), \tag{1.4}$$

and for any function  $\varphi \in C^1_0(S_T), \chi \in C^1_0(\mathbb{R}^N), u(x, t)$  satisfies

$$\iint_{S_T} \left[ u\varphi_t - |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m \nabla \varphi - \lambda u^q \varphi \right] dx dt = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi(x) u(x, t) dx = \chi(0). \tag{1.6}$$

Gmira proved the nonexistence of the solutions of Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2), when  $\lambda=1, p>2, m>0, q>0, q \geq m(p-1) + \frac{p}{N}$  [2]. Zhan proved there is not nonnegative solution for Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2), when  $\lambda=1, 1 < p < 2, m > 1, q > p-1$ , and  $2-p + \frac{p}{N} < m(p-1) + \frac{p}{N} \leq 1$  [3]. Chen showed the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) admits a weak solution in the sense of Definition 1.1 with  $\lambda=1, p>2, m>0, q>0, m(p-1) < q < m(p-1) + \frac{p}{N}$  [4]. Yang and Zhao have researched the existence of the solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) for  $m=1$  [5,6]. In this paper, after complicate calculations, the results in [5] are extended to the following general results.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that

$$1 < p \leq \frac{(m+1)N}{mN+1}, \lambda \geq 0, q \geq 0, \max\left(\frac{1}{p(p-1)}, \frac{2-p}{p-1}\right) < m < \frac{1}{p-1}. \tag{1.7}$$

Then Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) has no solution.

Zhao and Yuan have verified the existence and uniqueness of the solution of Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) when  $\lambda=0, m > \frac{1}{p-1}$  and  $u_0(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  [7].

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that

$$1 < p < 2, \lambda \geq 0, q \geq 0, \max\left(\frac{1}{p(p-1)}, \frac{2-p}{p-1}\right) < m < \frac{1}{p-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the solution  $u(x, t)$  of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) satisfies

$$\sup_{0 < \tau < t} \int_{B_R} u(x, \tau) dx \leq c + ct^{\frac{1}{1-mp+m}} R^{N - \frac{p}{1-mp+m}}, \tag{2.2}$$