

## THE STRONG SOLUTION OF A CLASS OF GENERALIZED NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS\*

Miao Changxing

(Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P.O. Box 8009, Beijing 100088, China)

(Received May 18, 1998; revised June 28, 1999)

**Abstract** We study initial boundary value (IBV) problem for a class of generalized Navier-Stokes equations in  $L^q([0, T]; L^p(\Omega))$ . Our main tools are regularity of analytic semigroup by Stokes operator and space-time estimates. As an application we can obtain some classical results of the Navier-Stokes equations such as global classical solution of 2-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation etc.

**Key Words** Admissible triple; generalized Navier-Stokes equations; initial boundary value problem; space-time estimates.

**1991 MR Subject Classification** 35K22, 35K25, 35Q10, 76D05.

**Chinese Library Classification** O175.29, O175.26.

### 1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper we consider the following IBV problem for a class of generalized Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + \nabla P = f(u, \nabla u), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \\ \operatorname{div} u(\cdot, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), & x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is a vector value function,  $P(x, t)$  is a scalar value function,  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$  is an initial data. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be nonlinear vector functions,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded smooth domain. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L^p = L^p(\Omega)$  denote the standard Lebesgue space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ ,  $E^p(\Omega) = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \mid u_i \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ and } \operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in the sense of distribution}\}$ .  $L^q([0, T]; L^p(\Omega))$  denotes space-time Lebesgue space,  $L_T^{p,q} = L^q([0, T]; E^p(\Omega))$  is the subspace of  $L^q([0, T]; (L^p(\Omega))^n)$  with norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{p,q,T} = \left( \int_0^T \|\cdot\|_p^q dt \right)^{1/q}$$

\* The project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, No.19601005 and the NSF of China Academy of Engineering Physics.

Let  $r \geq 1$  and  $\sigma, p$  satisfy  $0 \leq \sigma = \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{n}{2} < 1$ , we define

$$X_{p,T}^r = \left\{ u \mid u \in C_b([0, T]; E^p), \|u\|_{X_{p,T}^r} = \sup_{0 \leq t < T} t^\sigma \|u(t)\|_p < \infty \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\|u\|_p = \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|_p$ . When  $T = \infty$ , we usually denote  $X_p^r = X_{p,\infty}^r$

According to Helmholtz decomposition (See [1]) we have

$$(L^p)^n = E^p \oplus G^p \text{ (direct sum)} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $G^p = \{\nabla g; g \in W^{1,p}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_p$  be the continuous projection from  $(L^p)^n$  to  $E^p$  associated with this decomposition, and let  $B_p$  be the Laplace operator with zero boundary condition. Now we define  $A_p = -\mathcal{P}_p \Delta$  with domain  $D(A_p) = E^p \cap D(B_p)$ . It is easy to verify that when  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $A_p$  generates an analytic semigroup  $e^{-A_p t}$  in  $E_p$ , and  $A_p$  has a bounded inverse, where  $D(A_p) = \{u \mid u \in W_0^{2,p} \cap E_p\}$ . Hence we can define the fractional power  $A_p^\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and

$$\|A_p^\alpha e^{-A_p t}\| \leq C_\alpha t^{-\alpha}, \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq 0, t > 0 \quad (1.4)$$

(For detail see [1-4]). Usually we drop the subscript  $p$  attached to  $A$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ .

This paper is devoted to establish well-posedness theory of (1.1) in  $L_T^{p,q}$  and  $X_{p,T}^r$ . As an application we can obtain well known classical results of the classical Navier-Stokes equations. In this problem the function  $P$  is automatically determined (up to a function of  $t$ ) if  $u$  is a known vector function, indeed,  $\partial P = (I - \mathcal{P})f(u, \nabla u)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $(L^p)^n$  into  $E^p$ . For this reason it suffices to consider  $u$  only when we talk about the solution of (1.1).

For the sake of convenience we first introduce some notations.  $\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)$ ,  $\nabla_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ ,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes usual  $L^2$  inner product with respect to space variable.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $q > r > 1$ ,  $p \geq r$ , we call  $(p, q, r)$  as admissible triple if

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

As is a standard practice, applying  $\mathcal{P}$  to (1.1), we have

$$\frac{du}{dt} + A_p u = F(u, \nabla u), \quad t > 0; \quad u(0) = \varphi(x) \quad (1.5)$$

where  $F(u, \nabla u) = \mathcal{P}f(u, \nabla u)$ . Hence we study (1.1) via the corresponding integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{-At} \varphi(x) + \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)} F(u, \nabla u) ds \quad (1.6)$$

in  $L_T^{p,q}$  and  $X_{p,T}^r$ .