

## EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR A GENERALIZED NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

Liu Xiaofeng

(The Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, 200433)

(E-mail: lxfn7381@hotmail.com)

(Received Nov. 8, 2002)

**Abstract** We consider the Cauchy problem for a generalized Navier-Stokes equations with hyperdissipation, with the initial data in  $L^p_\sigma$ . We follow the theme of [1] but with more complicated analysis on the symbol and obtain the existence and uniqueness results.

**Key Words** Cauchy problem; generalized Navier-Stokes equations; hyperdissipation; mild solution.

**2000 MR Subject Classification** 35Q30, 35A05, 35A07, 76D03, 76D05.

**Chinese Library Classification** O175.29, O175.8.

### 1. Introduction

We consider the Generalized Navier-Stokes equations in whole space:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^d u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times [0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times [0, T), \\ u|_{t=0} = a \in L^p_\sigma, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $d$  is a positive real number,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $(-\Delta)^d$  is defined by  $|\xi|^{2d} \hat{f}(\xi) = ((-\widehat{\Delta})^d f)(\xi)$ . When  $d = 1$ , (1) is the normal Navier-Stokes equations and has been studied by many authors. When  $n = 2$  and  $d \geq 1$ , (1) was studied by S. Tourville ([2]) and was referred as the Navier-Stokes equations with hyperdissipation. [2] also explained the derivation of the equations on the numerical aspects. See [3], [4], [5] and [6] for more detail. In this paper we follow the theme of [1] and give the existence and uniqueness of (1) for  $d > 1/2$ . The basic idea is the following. One firstly transforms (1) into mild integral equations, then gives a bilinear estimates, finally by the common iterative arguments, obtains the results. The key to this paper is Theorem 2.2. When  $d$  is any positive integer, it is easy to prove Theorem 2.2, because in the case,  $\hat{\Omega}(\xi) = e^{-t|\xi|^{2d}} \in \mathcal{S}$ , the Schwartz class, so does  $\Omega(x)$  and decreases rapidly in infinity. But when  $d$  is not an integer,  $\hat{\Omega}(\xi) \notin \mathcal{S}$ , neither  $\Omega$ , then we cannot conclude directly

that  $\Omega$  decreases in a given order we need in infinity, so we need some more complicated analysis to obtain Theorem 2.2. Our results contain the results listed in [1]

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we will give some notations and basic but important propositions. Section 3 contains a bilinear estimate. Finally, in Section 4, we give the main theorems on the existence and uniqueness of (1) without proofs.

## 2. Preliminary

Now we give some notations and conventions. Let

$$C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{f(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) : \operatorname{div} f = 0\},$$

and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  be the norm of  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $L_\sigma^p$  be the completion of  $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  in  $L^p$ . Let  $I$  be an interval in  $\mathbf{R}^+$  and  $L^q(I, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$  be the Lebesgue space with mixed norm

$$\|f\|_{L^q(I, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \int \left( \int |f_j(x, t)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} dt \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

For simplicity we denote the norm of  $L^q(I, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,I}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,T}$  for  $I = [0, T)$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$  for  $I = \mathbf{R}^+$  and let  $L^{p,q}(S_T) = L^q((0, T); L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be a multiindex,  $\partial^\alpha f = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} f$ ,  $\partial^{(k)} f$  be any  $\partial^\alpha f$  with  $|\alpha| = k$ ,  $\xi^\alpha = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\xi^{(k)}$  be any  $\xi^\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = k$ . Let  $P_{a,k}$  be any expression with the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^k c_{(j)} \xi^{(j)} |\xi|^{a-k-j}, \tag{2}$$

where  $c_{(j)}$  is a real number,  $a, k$  are integers and  $k \geq 0$ , the expression may be taken differently from line to line. It is easy to verify the following basic Lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** *By the definition of  $P_{a,k}$ , we have*

$$\partial^{(1)} P_{a,k} = P_{a,k+1}, \tag{3}$$

$$P_{a,k}(\xi) |\xi|^{-2} \xi^{(1)} = P_{a,k+1}(\xi), \tag{4}$$

$$P_{a,k} + P_{a,k} = P_{a,k}, \tag{5}$$

$$P_{a,k} \times P_{b,l} = P_{a+b,k+l}. \tag{6}$$

**Remark 2.1** In Lemma 2.1, we mean that “=” holds in these equalities if there exist  $P_{a,k}$ ,  $P_{b,l}$  and  $P_{a,k+1}$  and so on with the form (2). Also in this paper we always have the following convention. If an expression with  $P_{a,k}$  holds, we mean that there is some  $P_{a,k}$  with the form of (2) such that the expression holds.