

## GLOBAL ATTRACTORS OF REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEMS AND THEIR HOMOGENIZATION\*

Zhang Xingyou and Hu Xiaohong

( College of Math. and Physics, Chongqing University, 400030, China)

(E-mail: zhangxy@cqu.edu.cn; xiaohongcq@hotmail.com)

(Received Jun. 12, 2003)

**Abstract** In this paper, we study the existence of the global attractor  $\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon$  of reaction-diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon(x, t) = A_\varepsilon u^\varepsilon(x, t) - f(x, \varepsilon^{-1}x, u^\varepsilon(x, t)),$$

and the homogenized attractor  $\mathcal{A}^0$  of the corresponding homogenized equation, then give explicit estimates for the distance between the attractor  $\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon$  and the homogenized attractor  $\mathcal{A}^0$ .

**Key Words** Homogenization; global attractor; reaction-diffusion systems; almost-periodic function; Diophantine conditions.

**2000 MR Subject Classification** 35B40, 35K57.

**Chinese Library Classification** O175.25, O175.29.

### 1. Introduction and Main Results

We consider the reaction-diffusion system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^\varepsilon(x, t) = A_\varepsilon u^\varepsilon(x, t) - f(x, \varepsilon^{-1}x, u^\varepsilon(x, t)), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+, \\ u^\varepsilon(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad u^\varepsilon(x, t)|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 < 1$ . Here  $u^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon(x, t) = (u_\varepsilon^1, \dots, u_\varepsilon^k)$  is an unknown vector-valued function. The second order elliptic differential operators  $A_\varepsilon$  have the form as follows:

$$A_\varepsilon u := \text{diag}(A_\varepsilon^1 u^1, \dots, A_\varepsilon^k u^k), \quad (1.2)$$

with

$$A_\varepsilon^l u^l = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_{x_i} (a_{ij}^l(\varepsilon^{-1}x) \partial_{x_j} u^l(x)), \quad (1.3)$$

\*This work was supported by the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars Foundations of Educational Ministry and The Key Teachers' Foundations of Chongqing University.

where the functions  $a_{ij}^l(y)$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $y \in \mathbf{R}^3$ , are assumed to be symmetric, smooth and  $\mathbf{Y}$ -periodic with respect to  $y \in \mathbf{R}^3$ , where  $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{R}^3$  is a fixed cube. The uniform ellipticity condition

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij}^l(y) \zeta_i \zeta_j \geq \nu |\zeta|^2, \quad \forall y, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^3, \quad (1.4)$$

is also assumed (with an appropriate  $\nu > 0$ ) to be valid for operators  $A_\varepsilon^l$ . We impose that  $f(x, y, u)$  is almost-periodic ([1]) with respect to  $y \in \mathbf{R}^3$  and satisfies the conditions as follows:

$$f \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^k, \mathbf{R}^k), \quad \partial_z f(x, y, z) \zeta \zeta \geq -C_2 \zeta \zeta, \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^k, \quad (1.5)$$

$$|f(x, y, u)| \leq C(1 + |u|^p), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^3, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^k f^l u^l |u^l|^{p_l} \geq C \sum_{l=1}^k |u^l|^{p_l+2} - C_1, \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{R}^k, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $p \geq 1, p_i \geq 2(p-1)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . It is assumed also that the initial data  $u_0 \in (L^2(\Omega))^k$ .

Efendiev and Zelik (see [2]) studied the problem (1.1) when  $f(x, y, u)$  is independent of  $y$ . Fiedler and Vishik (see [3]) studied the case when the  $A_\varepsilon u$  in (1.1) is replaced by  $a\Delta u$ . In fact, one can obtain the existence of solutions and attractors for (1.1) with  $f(x, y, u)$  depending on  $y$  by the standard method as those in [4]. However, when estimate the distance between the attractors for (1.1) and the attractors of the homogenized equation, the arguments in [2] or [3] don't work. We have to overcome these difficulties by combining the ideas in [3], [2] and analyzing carefully the properties of periodic and almost-periodic functions.

In order to simplify our expression, we denote  $H = (L^2(\Omega))^k$ ,  $V = (W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))^k$ ,  $F = (L^\infty(\Omega))^k$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{(W^{l,p}(\Omega))^k} = \|\cdot\|_{l,p}$ .

**Theorem 1.1** *If the assumptions (1.2) – (1.7) hold, and the initial data  $u_0 \in H$ , then for any  $T > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the problem (1.1) possesses a unique solution  $u^\varepsilon(x, t) \in L^\infty([0, T]; H) \cap L^2([0, T]; V)$ ,  $u^\varepsilon \in C(R^+; H)$ . The mapping  $S_t^\varepsilon: u_0 \rightarrow u^\varepsilon(x, t)$  defines a continuous semigroup  $S_t^\varepsilon: H \rightarrow H$ . If, furthermore,  $u_0 \in V$ , then  $u^\varepsilon(x, t) \in L^\infty([0, T]; V) \cap L^2([0, T]; W^{2,2}(\Omega))$ ,  $u^\varepsilon \in C(R^+; V)$ .*

**Theorem 1.2** *If the assumptions (1.2) – (1.7) hold, and  $u_0 \in H$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the semigroup  $S_t^\varepsilon$  generated by the equation (1.1) possesses a global compact attractor  $\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon$  in  $H$ .*

Theorem 1.1 can be proved by the Faedo-Galerkin method with the help of R. Temam [4], and the details of the proof are omitted. Similar arguments as in [4] for the problem (1.1) yield the a priori estimates needed about  $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$  in  $H$  and  $V$ , and we omit the details. Then Theorem 1.2, whose proof is also omitted, can be easily proved by the standard arguments [4, Theorem 1.1.1].

By the standard homogenization theory, one can obtain the homogenized problem (2.11), for which one can prove the similar results to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In order to