

## Numerical Calculation of Monotonicity Properties of the Blow-Up Time of NLS

Hans Peter Stimming\*

*Wolfgang Pauli Institute, c/o Institut für Mathematik, Universität Wien,  
Boltzmannngasse 9, 1090 Wien, Austria.*

Received 9 October 2007; Accepted (in revised version) 18 December 2007

Available online 1 August 2008

---

**Abstract.** We investigate blow-up of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, in the critical and supercritical cases. Numerical simulations are performed to examine the dependence of the time at which blow-up occurs on properties of the data or the equation. Three cases are considered: dependence on the scale of the nonlinearity when the initial data are fixed; dependence upon the strength of a quadratic oscillation in the initial data when the equation and the initial profile are fixed; and dependence upon a damping factor when the initial data are fixed. In most of these situations, monotonicity in the evolution of the blow-up time does not occur.

**AMS subject classifications:** 35Q55, 65M70, 81Q05

**Key words:** Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, finite time blow-up, wave collapse.

---

### 1 Introduction

Finite time blow-up of Nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLS) is an interesting topic that has drawn much attention. A (by far) non-exhaustive list of important papers on the topic is [10, 15–19, 23], and we refer to [21] for a nice survey of the latest results. As recalled in [21], the main three directions of research in this subject are: giving sufficient conditions to have finite time blow-up in the energy space; estimating the blow-up rate and the stability of the blow-up regimes; describing the spatial structure of the singularity formation.

The question addressed in this paper is to investigate the blow-up time and its possible relations to features of the equation or the data. This point of view differs from much of the other work on the subject of blow-up in the sense that it is not concerned only with properties of the solution “close” to the blow-up, but also needs to take into account parts

---

\*Corresponding author. *Email address:* hans.peter.stimming@univie.ac.at (H. P. Stimming)

of the solution which actually are “far away” (either in space or in time) from the actual blow-up region.

The present paper is an extension of [8], where a detailed study of the relevant analytic results is done in addition to the numerical tests.

Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = -\lambda |u|^{2\sigma} u, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n; \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad (1.1)$$

with a focusing power nonlinearity, where  $\lambda, \sigma > 0$ . Such an equation arises in nonlinear optics as an envelope equation in the propagation of laser beams (see, e.g., [22]), and also in applications of quantum mechanics, where other terms like confining potentials and coupling to Poisson’s equations are usually also a part of the model equation. It is well known that if  $\sigma < \frac{2}{n-2}$ , then for  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the equation (1.1) has a unique solution in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , defined locally in time. In general this solution does not remain in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  globally in time, when  $\sigma \geq \frac{2}{n} = \sigma_{crit}$ , finite time blow-up may occur ( $\lambda > 0$  means that the nonlinearity is focusing). For proofs of these standard results we refer to the monographs [11, 22]. The  $L^2$ -norm or mass of  $u(t, \cdot)$  is independent of time, and finite time blow-up means that there exists  $T^* < \infty$  such that:

$$\|\nabla_x u(t)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T^*.$$

In this paper, we investigate by numerical experiments the dependence of the blow-up time upon, for instance, a varying coupling constant  $\lambda$  when the initial datum  $u_0$  is fixed. To motivate our study, we recall now some results from [12, 13]. In [12], the authors prove that if the initial datum  $u_0(x)$  is replaced by  $u_0(x)e^{-ib|x|^2/4}$ , then the blow-up time of the corresponding new solution  $u_b$  can be related explicitly to that of  $u$ , in the case of a critical nonlinearity,  $\sigma = \frac{2}{n}$ . It is a consequence of the conformal invariance. In the super-critical case  $\sigma > \frac{2}{n}$ , the conformal transform does not leave (1.1) invariant. It is also established that if  $u$  has negative energy (in this case, there is finite time blow-up at least if  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  [14]) then for large  $b$ , blow-up occurs sooner than for  $b = 0$ ; unlike in the conformally invariant case, one does not know whether the blow-up time is monotonous with respect to  $b$ . The numerical experiments we present here show that it is not monotonous with respect to  $b$ .

In [13], the author considers the damped cubic Schrödinger equation in space dimension two:

$$i\partial_t \psi + \Delta \psi = -i\delta \psi - |\psi|^{2\sigma} \psi, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2; \quad \psi|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad (1.2)$$

with  $\sigma = 1$ . It is conjectured that the blow-up time is monotonous with respect to  $\delta > 0$ . Our numerical experiments show that this guess is not satisfied. The guess is plausible when one thinks of the initial data  $u_0$  as a single hump, for example a gaussian. In this case the experiments show monotonicity; however when the data  $u_0$  is made of, say, two humps, the intuition goes wrong.

In addition to the linear damping term in (1.2), often also a cubic or quintic nonlinear damping term is considered, for example in BEC modeling. A study of this case is beyond