Natural Convection Heat Transfer in a Porous Cavity with Sinusoidal Temperature Distribution Using Cu/Water Nanofluid: Double MRT Lattice Boltzmann Method

Hasan Sajjadi$^{1,*}$, Amin Amiri Delouei$^1$, Rasul Mohebbi$^2$, Mohsen Izadi$^3$ and Sauro Succi$^{4,5}$

1 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Bojnord, Bojnord, Iran.
2 School of Engineering, Damghan University, Damghan, Iran.
3 Mechanical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Lorestan University, Khorramabad, Iran.
4 Center for Life Nanoscience, Italian Institute of Technology, Viale Regina Margherita 295, 00161, Roma, Italy.
5 Physics Department, Harvard University, 29 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

Received 1 January 2020; Accepted (in revised version) 16 May 2020

Abstract. In this study, natural convection flow in a porous cavity with sinusoidal temperature distribution has been analyzed by a new double multi relaxation time (MRT) Lattice Boltzmann method (LBM). We consider a copper/water nanofluid filling a porous cavity. For simulating the temperature and flow fields, D2Q5 and D2Q9 lattices are utilized respectively, and the effects of different Darcy numbers ($Da$) (0.001-0.1) and various Rayleigh numbers ($Ra$) ($10^3 - 10^5$) for porosity ($\epsilon$) between 0.4 and 0.9 have been considered. Phase deviation ($\theta$) changed from 0 to $\pi$ and the volume fraction of nanoparticles ($\phi$) varied from 0 to 6%. The present results show a good agreement with the previous works, thus confirming the reliability the new numerical method proposed in this paper. It is indicated that the heat transfer rate increases at increasing Darcy number, porosity, Rayleigh number, the volume fraction of nanoparticles and phase deviation. However, the most sensitive parameter is the Rayleigh number. The maximum Nusselt deviation is 10%, 32% and 33% for $Ra=10^3$, $10^4$ and $10^5$, respectively, with $\epsilon = 0.4$ to $\epsilon = 0.9$. It can be concluded that the effect of Darcy number on the heat transfer rate increases at increasing Rayleigh number, yielding a maximum enhancement of the average Nusselt number around 12% and 61% for $Ra=10^3$ and $Ra=10^5$, respectively.
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Nomenclature

\( f_i \) Distribution function for velocity field
\( h_i \) Distribution function for temperature field
\( \beta \) Thermal expansion coefficient
\( \alpha \) Thermal diffusivity
\( \rho \) Density
\( U \) Velocity in \( x \)-direction
\( V \) Velocity in \( y \)-direction
\( \epsilon \) Porosity
\( v \) Kinematic viscosity
\( G \) Gravity
\( T \) Temperature
\( Ra \) Rayleigh number
\( \mu \) Dynamic viscosity
\( C_p \) Heat capacitance
\( K \) Thermal conductivity

1 Introduction

In the last decades, the Lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) has developed into a powerful mesoscopic technique to simulate a broad variety of complex flows, such as turbulent flows, flows in porous media, nanofluids, and many others [1–14].

The LBM is consisting of two main steps: collision and streaming, and various models are used to perform the collision step, the simplest and most common being the Lattice Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (LBGK) [15–26]. Single relaxation time (SRT) has been utilized for the LBGK model, but researchers reported that the LBGK may suffer instability when applied to flows with energy conservation [27]. Ginzburg [29] concluded that two-relaxation-time (TRT) is a suitable model for collision step and this model can overcome the disadvantage of the SRT model. Chikatamarla et al. [29] investigated the Entropic Lattice Boltzmann Model for collision step and reported that the new model is stable and guarantees the thermodynamic consistency of the problem. Also, a comprehensive study on the models which are used for collision step has been down by Luo et al. [30]. They
compared the various models named multiple-relaxation-time (MRT), TRT, SRT, and the entropic lattice Boltzmann equation (ELBE) and concluded that the MRT model is better than ELBE and LBGK models regarding accuracy, stability, and computational efficiency. Recently Sajjadi et al. [31] applied a new double MRT LBM to solve MHD natural convection in three-dimensional geometry. They used the MRT model for both momentum and energy equations and showed that the new model captured the results well.

Low thermal conductivity of pure fluids which are used for various goals such as: heat exchangers, air-conditioners, solar water heater, etc. motivated research to improve the thermal properties of fluids and it is found that adding even a small amount of nanoparticles to the pure liquids would lead to a substantial increase of the thermal conductivity [32–39]. Numerical simulation of natural convection of Cu/water nanofluid in a cavity with a sinusoidal wall has been considered by Sheikholeslami et al. [40]. They showed that the heat transfer rate increases by adding nanoparticles to pure fluid, and also enhancing the amplitude of the sinusoidal wall would augment the average Nusselt number. Effects of nanoparticle and phase deviation on heat transfer in a two-dimensional enclosure with two sinusoidal wavy walls have been investigated by Tang et al. [41]. Their results showed that the enhancement of the volume fraction of nanoparticles increases the average Nusselt number and by changing the phase deviation, heat transfer rate varied significantly. LBM mesoscopic simulation of three-dimensional natural convection of nanofluid has been performed by Sheikholeslami and Ellahi [42]. These authors concluded that the Nusselt number increases when the Rayleigh number and nanofluid volume fraction are increased. Alsabery et al. [43] used the finite difference method to solve the natural convection of the nanofluid in a two-dimensional cavity. They considered different nanoparticles such as: Ag, Cu, Al2O3, and TiO2 and reported that the average Nusselt number is considerably enhanced by increasing the solid wall thickness. Mohebbi et al. [44] investigated the effect of a new nanofluid (MWCNT-Fe3O4/water hybrid nanofluid) on the heat transfer in a channel. Their results showed that heat transfer rate increases by adding the nanoparticles for all cases.

Flow in porous media is essential in various applications such as: geothermal reservoirs, heat exchangers, prevention of subsoil water pollution, etc. [45–47]. Numerical simulation of convective heat transfer in a porous media have been investigated by various methods [48, 49], one of the techniques that has been receiving increasing attention for transport in porous media is LBM [50, 51], due its simplicity in dealing with grossly irregular geometries.

This method can be used in porous media with two main scales: the first one is the pore scale method and the second one is the representative elementary volume (REV) [51–53]. For the REV scale, various models such as: Darcy model, the Brinkman-extended Darcy model, the Forchheimer-extended Darcy model and Brinkman-Forchheimer-extended Darcy model are used to add an extra term to the standard LB equation to consider the effect of porous media [52, 54]. Guo and Zhao [55] concluded that the Brinkman-Forchheimer-extended Darcy model, named “generalized model”, overcomes some limitations of the Darcy model, Brinkman-extended Darcy model, and Forchheimer-extended
Darcy model. Kefayati [56] used Finite Difference Lattice Boltzmann method (FDLBM) to investigate natural convection of nanofluid in a porous cavity: this author applied the REV scale and showed that this method recovers the correct results. Ashorynejad and Hoseinpour [57] investigated the effect of porosity on entropy generation and reported that the augmentation of porosity enhances the total entropy generation, because of enhancement in fluid friction.

The double MRT LBM is known as a recent method to solve the flows numerically, and needs more work to develop in complex flow with various boundary conditions. The primary aim of this study is to use the double MRT LBM to investigate the natural convection of nanofluid in a porous cavity with a sinusoidal temperature distribution on the boundary conditions as a complex one. The REV scale with the generalized model is used to consider the effect of porous media and D2Q5, and D2Q9 lattices are applied to simulate the temperature and flow fields respectively. Also, it is endeavored to capture the impact of various parameters (Ra, Da, ε, θ, ϕ) on the heat transfer rate.

2 Problem statement

The two-dimensional square cavity considered in this study, is shown in Fig. 1. The temperature of the left side is set at a constant value $T_h$, while the right side obeys a sinusoidal law $T = T_0 + A \sin \left(\frac{2\pi y}{L} + \theta\right)$, while the top and bottom walls are adiabatic. All four walls are fixed and non-slip boundary condition is used for them. The porous cavity is filled with Cu/water nanofluid assumed as a Newtonian and incompressible fluid. Also, the flow is laminar, and viscous heat dissipation is negligible. At the REV scale and based on the generalized model the dimensional equations can be written as [54]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} &= 0, \quad (2.1) \\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{U \partial U}{\varepsilon \partial X} + \frac{V \partial U}{\varepsilon \partial Y} &= -\varepsilon \frac{\partial P}{\rho \partial X} + \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} \right) + F_x, \quad (2.2) \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{U \partial V}{\varepsilon \partial X} + \frac{V \partial V}{\varepsilon \partial Y} &= -\varepsilon \frac{\partial P}{\rho \partial Y} + \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y^2} \right) + F_y, \quad (2.3) \\
\sigma \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial T}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} &= \alpha \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial Y^2} \right), \quad (2.4)
\end{align*}
\]

where $\sigma = \rho C_p$, $F_x$ and $F_y$ calculated as [52]

\[
\begin{align*}
F_x &= -\frac{e v}{K} U - \frac{e F_x}{\sqrt{K}} U \sqrt{|U|^2 + |V|^2}, \quad (2.5) \\
F_y &= -\frac{e v}{K} V - \frac{e F_y}{\sqrt{K}} V \sqrt{|U|^2 + |V|^2} + \varepsilon (g \beta (T - T_{ref})). \quad (2.6)
\end{align*}
\]
In Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6), $K$ is permeability and $F_\varepsilon$ obtained as [52]:

$$ F_\varepsilon = \frac{1.75}{\sqrt{150\varepsilon}}. \tag{2.7} $$

\section{MRT Lattice Boltzmann method for flow field}

In the present study for flow field, lattice Boltzmann method with MRT model is used, and D2Q9 lattice (Fig. 2) is utilized to evaluate the distribution functions for velocity as [52]:

$$ f_i(x + c_i \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = f_i(x, t) - M_{ij}^{-1}.S_{jk}.[m_k(x, t) - m_k^{eq}(x, t)] + M_{ij}^{-1}\Delta t \left[I - \frac{S_{jk}}{2}\right] R. \tag{3.1} $$

In Eq. (3.1) $f_i$ is the velocity distribution function, $\Delta t$ denotes the lattice time step that was set to unity. $c_i$ is the discrete fluid particle velocity vector for D2Q9 model that is defined in Fig. 2 and is reported as [34]:

$$ c_i = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, \\ c(\pm 1,0), & i = 1,3, \\ c(0,\pm 1), & i = 2,4, \\ c(\pm 1,\pm 1), & i = 5,6,7,8, \end{cases} \tag{3.2} $$

where $c$ is the lattice speed and equals to $\Delta x/\Delta t$ which also set equal to unity. $M_{ij}$ is the transformation matrix, $S_{jk}$ is the diagonal matrix of relaxation rates for momentum...
distribution and they can be calculated as follow for D2Q9 [52]:

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-4 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
4 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)
\]

\[
S_{ij} = \text{diag}(s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_8), \quad (3.4)
\]

where \(s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_8\) are the relaxation times in various directions which are as the following value for this study:

\[
s_{0,3,5} = 1, \quad s_{7,8} = \frac{1}{\tau_\nu}, \quad s_{1,2} = 1.1, \quad s_{4,6} = 1.2. \quad (3.5)
\]

Here \(\tau_\nu = 3\nu + 0.5\) and to evaluate the velocity moments \(m_k\) in Eq. (3.1) we have:

\[
m = Mf. \quad (3.6)
\]

Also, the equilibrium moments \(m_k^{\text{eq}}\) for the velocity moments \(m_k\) in Eq. (3.1) can be obtained as:

\[
m^{\text{eq}} = \left(\rho, -2\rho + \frac{3\rho|u|^2}{\varepsilon}, \rho - \frac{3\rho|u|^2}{\varepsilon}, \rho U, -\rho U, \rho U, -\rho V, \rho (U^2 - V^2), \frac{\rho U V}{\varepsilon}\right). \quad (3.7)
\]
In Eq. (3.1), \( I \) is the unit matrix and finally, \( R \) is the force term in the moment space and is given as [52]:

\[
R_0 = 0, \quad R_1 = \frac{6\rho(UF_x + VF_y)}{\varepsilon}, \quad (3.8a)
\]

\[
R_2 = -\frac{6\rho(UF_x + VF_y)}{\varepsilon}, \quad R_3 = \rho F_x, \quad (3.8b)
\]

\[
R_4 = -\rho F_x, \quad R_5 = \rho F_y, \quad R_6 = -\rho F_y, \quad (3.8c)
\]

\[
R_7 = \frac{2\rho(UF_x - VF_y)}{\varepsilon}, \quad R_8 = \frac{\rho(UF_y + VF_x)}{\varepsilon}. \quad (3.8d)
\]

### 4 MRT Lattice Boltzmann method for temperature field

In this paper, the MRT Lattice Boltzmann method is used for solving both the fluid equation and the temperature dynamics. For the latter, the D2Q5 lattice (Fig. 3) is utilized as [31]:

\[
h_i(x + e_i\Delta t, t + \Delta t) = h_i(x, t) - N_{ij}^{-1}Q_{jk}[n_k(x, t) - n_k^{eq}(x, t)]. \quad (4.1)
\]

Here \( h_i \) is the distribution function for the temperature and \( e_i \) is the discrete fluid particle velocity vector for D2Q5 (Fig. 3) and is defined as:

\[
e_i = \begin{cases} 
0, & i = 0, \\
c(\pm 1, 0), & i = 1, 3, \\
c(0, \pm 1), & i = 2, 4.
\end{cases} \quad (4.2)
\]

In Eq. (4.1) \( N_{ij} \) is the transformation matrix, \( Q_{ij} \) is the diagonal matrix of relaxation rates and can be obtained by [52]:

\[
N = \begin{pmatrix} 
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
-4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.3)
\]

\[
Q_{ij} = \text{diag}(q_0, q_{1,2}, \ldots, q_4). \quad (4.4)
\]

Components of the matrix of relaxation time for the present study are defined as:

\[
q_0 = 1, \quad q_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\tau_T}, \quad q_{3,4} = 1.5, \quad (4.5)
\]

where \( \tau_T = 5a + 0.5 \). The velocity moments of \( h_i(n_k) \) calculated as:

\[
n = Nh. \quad (4.6)
\]
Finally, the equilibrium moments \( n_k^{eq} \) of the velocity moments of \( h_i \) for the present geometry is given as:

\[
n_k^{eq} = \left( T, \frac{UT}{\sigma}, \frac{VT}{\sigma}, -2T, 0 \right).
\]

After obtaining the velocity and temperature distribution functions \( f_i \) and \( h_i \) in all directions, the macroscopic quantities \( u \) and \( T \) are given as:

\[
u = \frac{W}{a_0 + \sqrt{a_0^2 + a_1 |W|}},
\]

where \( a_0, a_1 \) and \( W \) are calculated as:

\[
a_0 = 0.5 \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon \nu}{2k} \right),
\]

\[
a_1 = \frac{\epsilon F}{2\sqrt{k}}
\]

\[
W = \sum_0^8 c_i f_i / \rho + \epsilon g \beta \Delta T / 2,
\]

\[
T = \sum_0^4 h_i.
\]

5 Lattice Boltzmann method for nanofluid

For natural convection flow, Rayleigh number is one of the most important parameters and is given as:
Table 1: Thermophysical properties of water and copper [31].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity ((\mu)) (kg/ms)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity ((C_p)) (J/kg k)</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>4179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density ((\rho)) (kg/m^3)</td>
<td>8954</td>
<td>997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion coefficient ((\beta)) (k^{-1})</td>
<td>1.67 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.1 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity ((k)) (w/m k)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
Ra = \frac{\beta g H^3 \Pr (T_H - T_C)}{\nu^2},
\]

where \(\beta\) is the thermal expansion coefficient (equals to \(1/T\), for ideal gases, where \(T\) is absolute temperature), \(g\) is acceleration due to gravity, \(\nu\) is the kinematic viscosity, \(\Pr\) is the Prandtl number and \(H\) is the cavity length.

In this work, we assume that the nanofluid is similar to the base fluid and nanofluid properties have been computed using the equations and the thermo-physical properties of solid and fluid phases given in Table 1.

To compute the thermal expansion coefficient \((\beta)\) of the nanofluid, the following equation is applied [28]:

\[
(\rho \beta)_{nf} = (1 - \phi)(\rho \beta)_f + \phi(\rho \beta)_s.
\]

The heat capacitance \((c_p)\) and the effective density of the nanofluid are given as [31]:

\[
(\rho c_p)_{nf} = (1 - \phi)(\rho c_p)_f + \phi(\rho c_p)_s,
\]

\[
\rho_{nf} = (1 - \phi)\rho_f + \phi \rho_s.
\]

Also, the dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid is calculated as [31]:

\[
\mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_f}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}}.
\]

To evaluate the effective thermal conductivity of the nanofluid, the Maxwell-Garnetts (MG) model is utilized [31]:

\[
\frac{k_{nf}}{k_f} = \frac{k_s + 2k_f - 2\phi(k_f - k_s)}{k_s + 2k_f + \phi(k_f - k_s)},
\]

where in Eqs. (5.2)-(5.6), \(\phi\) is the volume fraction of the solid particles and subscripts \(s\), \(nf\) and \(f\) are used for solid, nanofluid and base fluid, respectively.
6 Code validation and grid independence

In this paper, the average and local Nusselt numbers are calculated as:

\[
Nu_{Local} = \frac{H}{\Delta T} \left. \frac{-\partial T}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0,L'},
\]

\[
Nu_{avg} = \int_0^H Nu_{local} \, dy.
\]

An extensive mesh testing procedure was conducted to guarantee the independence of solution of grid sizes. The average Nusselt number on the hot wall at \( Ra = 10^5 \), \( Da = 10^{-2} \), \( \varepsilon = 0.4 \) and \( \theta = 0 \) for the base fluid, were calculated over a range of grid resolutions and shown in the Table 2. As the results show, the average Nusselt number did not change significantly when grid resolutions increase from M3 to M4, so a \((140 \times 140)\) grid resolution was chosen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100×100</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>1.151</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>1.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Validation with the previous works has been performed in two subjects to ensure the accuracy of the present work. First, the solution for nanofluid is validated against the work of Khanafer et al. [58] in Fig. 4. As it is seen in Fig. 4, the present method captures the results for the nanofluid within a maximum error less than 5%.

![Figure 4: Comparison of the average Nusselt number for various volumetric fractions of the nanoparticles with the previous work [58].](image)
The second validation was performed for natural convection in a porous media, and the present results are validated against the work of Nithiarasu et al. [59] and Guo et al. [60] in Fig. 5. The results show a good agreement with the previous work, with a maximum error around 4%. We conclude that the present method is suitable for flows in porous media well.
7 Results and discussion

In this study, the effect of various parameters such as Rayleigh number, Darcy number, porosity, phase deviation and volumetric fraction of the nanoparticles on the heat transfer and flow field of the nanofluid in the porous cavity have been discussed. Figs. 6-11 illustrate the temperature contours and streamlines for various parameters at $\emptyset = 0$. It is observed that the isotherms and streamlines change significantly with the porosity. Since porosity is directly associated with the permeability of porous medium, by increasing the porosity, isotherms spread across the porous cavity, thereby approaching the walls. Therefore, the convection process is becoming stronger with increasing the porosity, for all Rayleigh numbers, Darcy numbers, and phase deviations. Also, the streamlines confirm the above picture in isotherms as the enhancement in porosity concludes in the ex-

Figure 6: Temperature contours for $\theta = 0$, $\emptyset = 0$, various Darcy and Rayleigh numbers and different porosities $\varepsilon = 0.4$ (solid), $\varepsilon = 0.6$ (dashed), $\varepsilon = 0.9$ (dashed-dot).
pansion of the streamlines and improving the maximum stream functions. The effect of the porosity on the heat and flow field increases by enhancing of Darcy number and Rayleigh number, with the most intense effect observed at $\text{Da} = 10^{-1}$ and $\text{Ra} = 10^5$ (Figs. 6-11). Enhancing the Darcy number and Rayleigh number also affects the flow pattern, as the higher Darcy and Rayleigh number reinforce convective motion and thermal conductance, that results in an enhanced heat transfer rate (Figs. 6-11). In addition, the Rayleigh number has the greatest effect on the flow pattern in comparison with porosity and Darcy number.

The effects of porosity, Rayleigh number and Darcy number on the local Nusselt number are shown in Fig. 12 for the right wall. It is evident that the enhancement of porosity slightly increases the peaks of the local Nusselt number for all Darcy and Rayleigh numbers, which means an increase of the heat transfer rate. Also, the effect of porosity
Figure 8: Temperature contours for $\theta = \pi/2$, $\varphi = 0$, various Darcy and Rayleigh numbers and different porosities $\varepsilon = 0.4$ (solid), $\varepsilon = 0.6$ (dashed), $\varepsilon = 0.9$ (dashed-dot).

The average Nusselt number for the left wall is reported in the Tables 3, 4 and 5 for $Ra = 10^3$, $10^4$ and $10^5$, respectively. Results show that the average Nusselt number increases with Rayleigh number, as the augmentation of positive peak is 1%, 20% and 22% for $Da = 10^{-2}$ and $Ra = 10^3$, $10^4$ and $10^5$, respectively, when the porosity increases from 0.4 to 0.9 which is important for designing the solar heating system and geothermal reservoirs. In addition, changing the Rayleigh number results in a significant increase of the local Nusselt number (Fig. 12). Additionally, Darcy number positively affect the local Nusselt number, as by enhancement of Darcy number maximum value of the local Nusselt number augments smoothly. Generally, Fig. 12 indicates that the Rayleigh number has the greatest effect on the local Nusselt number, which confirms the results shown in Figs. 8-11.
Figure 9: Streamlines for $\theta = \pi/2$, $\varnothing = 0$, various Darcy and Rayleigh numbers and different porosities $\epsilon = 0.4$ (solid), $\epsilon = 0.6$ (dashed), $\epsilon = 0.9$ (dashed-dot).

Table 3: The average Nusselt number on the left wall for $Ra = 10^3$ and $\varnothing = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\epsilon = 0.4$</th>
<th></th>
<th>$\epsilon = 0.6$</th>
<th></th>
<th>$\epsilon = 0.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\theta = 0$</td>
<td>$\theta = \pi/2$</td>
<td>$\theta = \pi$</td>
<td>$\theta = 0$</td>
<td>$\theta = \pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da=$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da=$10^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da=$10^{-1}$</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

increases by porosity for all cases, as the maximum Nusselt deviation is 10%, 32% and 33% for $Ra = 10^3$, $10^4$ and $10^5$, respectively, for $\epsilon = 0.4$ to $\epsilon = 0.9$ which is noticeable for designing an optimum system in engineering applications such as building thermal insulation and direct contact heat exchangers. Also, it is seen that the average Nusselt number increases
considerably with Rayleigh number under all situations, the maximum increase (344\%) occurring at Da=10^{-1}, \(\epsilon=0.9\), and \(\theta=0\), with increasing of Rayleigh number from 10^3 to 10^5. It can be concluded that the effect of Darcy number on the heat transfer rate increases

Figure 10: Temperature contours for \(\theta=\pi\), \(\varnothing=0\), various Darcy and Rayleigh numbers and different porosities \(\epsilon=0.4\) (solid), \(\epsilon=0.6\) (dashed), \(\epsilon=0.9\) (dashed-dot).

Table 4: The average Nusselt number on the left wall for Ra=10^4 and \(\varnothing=0\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\varnothing)</th>
<th>(\epsilon=0.4)</th>
<th>(\epsilon=0.6)</th>
<th>(\epsilon=0.9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\theta=0)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta=\pi/2)</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta=\pi)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\varnothing)</td>
<td>(\epsilon=0.6)</td>
<td>(\epsilon=0.9)</td>
<td>(\epsilon=0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta=0)</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta=\pi/2)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta=\pi)</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 11: Streamlines for $\theta = \pi$, $\varnothing = 0$, various Darcy and Rayleigh numbers and different porosities $\varepsilon = 0.4$ (solid), $\varepsilon = 0.6$ (dashed), $\varepsilon = 0.9$ (dashed-dot).

Table 5: The average Nusselt number on the left wall for $Ra = 10^5$ and $\varnothing = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\varepsilon = 0.4$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon = 0.6$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon = 0.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varnothing$</td>
<td>$\theta = 0$  $\theta = \pi/2$ $\theta = \pi$</td>
<td>$\theta = 0$  $\theta = \pi/2$ $\theta = \pi$</td>
<td>$\theta = 0$  $\theta = \pi/2$ $\theta = \pi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da = $10^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.64  2.94  2.96</td>
<td>2.76  3.04  3.06</td>
<td>3.29  3.46  3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da = $10^{-2}$</td>
<td>3.52  4.07  4.09</td>
<td>4.04  4.65  4.60</td>
<td>4.56  5.10  5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da = $10^{-1}$</td>
<td>3.66  4.30  4.35</td>
<td>4.23  4.87  4.95</td>
<td>4.84  5.48  5.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by augmentation of Rayleigh number, as the maximum enhancement of average Nusselt number is 12% for $Ra = 10^3$, whereas for $Ra = 10^5$, it is 61%. Phase deviation affects the flow field and rise of phase deviation results to enhance the average Nusselt number, this augmentation for $\theta = 0$ to $\pi/2$ is more than $\theta = \pi/2$ to $\pi$ (Tables 3-5).
Temperature contours and streamlines for the various volumetric fraction of the nanoparticles at \(Ra = 10^4\), \(\varepsilon = 0.4\), \(Da = 0.01\), and \(\theta = 0\) are illustrated in Figs. 13 and 14, which show the effect of nanoparticles on heat transfer and flow field. As it is displayed in Fig. 13, by adding the nanoparticles, the gradient of the boundary layer increases, also the isotherms of nanofluid traverse more than base fluid which resulted to augment the heat transfer rate. Fig. 14 shows that nanoparticles expand the streamlines more than the pure fluid, which results into an increase of the maximum value of streamlines and heat transfer rate.

Figs. 15 and 16 indicate the local Nusselt number on the left and right walls at \(Ra = 10^4\), \(\varepsilon = 0.4\), \(Da = 0.01\), and \(\theta = 0\) for different volume fractions on nanoparticles, respectively. The local Nusselt number on the left wall increases by increasing volume fraction at the bottom of the cavity, but this increase is lesser in the \(y\)-direction and finally the local Nusselt number declines at the top of the enclosure. To understand this trend, see Fig. 13,
that shows the boundary layer gradient increase by adding the nanoparticles for $Y < 0.5$, while for $Y > 0.5$, the boundary layer gradient decreases. As the isotherms do not change significantly on the right wall (see Fig. 13) the local Nusselt number doesn’t vary significantly, just at $Y = 0.8$ where the quantity gets the maximum value.
Figure 15: Comparison of the local Nusselt number on the left wall of the cavity for various volumetric fraction of the nanoparticles, $Ra=10^4$, $\varepsilon=0.4$, $Da=0.01$ and $\theta=0$.

Figure 16: Comparison of the local Nusselt number on the right wall of the cavity for the various volumetric fraction of the nanoparticles, $Ra=10^4$, $\varepsilon=0.4$, $Da=0.01$ and $\theta=0$.

Figs. 17-19 indicate the local Nusselt number on the right wall for $\varepsilon=0.4$, $\varepsilon=0.6$, and $\varepsilon=0.9$, respectively. It is demonstrated that the maximum Nusselt number at the right wall decreases by augmentation of the phase deviation. Also the position of the peak falls down in $Y$-direction. Changing the Darcy number and porosity does not affect the local Nusselt number much, for all cases, and the value of the peaks varies smoothly. How-
Figure 17: Variation of the local Nusselt number for the right wall at $\varepsilon = 0.4$.

ever, the variation of Rayleigh number alters the local Nusselt number remarkably, as the maximum of local Nusselt number increases around 100% for all cases, by amplification of Rayleigh number from $10^4$ to $10^5$, so the buoyancy force has the most significant effect on the heat transfer rate.

8 Conclusion

In this work, the double MRT-LBM is utilized to investigate the natural convection of a nanofluid in a porous cavity with a complex boundary conditions. The effect of various parameters on the flow pattern is considered and, based on the present results, the following conclusions are drawn:

- The double MRT-LBM method is adequate to solve complex nanoflows in porous media with heat transfer.
Porosity affects the flow and temperature fields, and heat transfer rate is enhanced by increasing the porosity and the value of this enhancement rises by Rayleigh number.

Adding nanoparticles improves the thermal conductivity of the pure fluid, the Nusselt number increasing by 10% upon adding 4% cu nanoparticle.

Changing the temperature distribution of the right wall altered the buoyancy force, as the heat transfer rate increases by phase deviation and maximum growth of average Nusselt number is 18% when the phase deviation rises to $\pi$, for $Ra=10^5$.

Among the set of parameters considered here, the most intense effect on heat transfer rate is observed by changing the Rayleigh number, with a maximum enhancement in average Nusselt number of 344% in going from $Ra=10^3$ to $Ra=10^5$. 
Figure 19: Variation of the local Nusselt number for the right wall at $\varepsilon = 0.9$.
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